arXiv:0812.3057v1 [gr-qc] 16 Dec 2008

Charged Particles and the Electro-Magnetic Field in Non-Inertial Frames of Minkowski Spacetime.

David Alba

Sezione INFN di Firenze
Polo Scientifico, via Sansone 1
50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy
E-mail ALBA@FI.INFN.IT

Luca Lusanna

Sezione INFN di Firenze
Polo Scientifico
Via Sansone 1
50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy
Phone: 0039-055-4572334
FAX: 0039-055-4572364
E-mail: lusanna@fi.infn.it

Abstract

By using the 3+1 point of view and parametrized Minkowski theories we develop the theory of *non-inertial* frames in Minkowski space-time. The transition from a non-inertial frame to another one is a gauge transformation connecting the respective notions of instantaneous 3-space (clock synchronization convention) and of the 3-coordinates inside them. As a particular case we get the extension of the inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics to the non-inertial rest-frame one. We show that every isolated system can be described as an external decoupled non-covariant canonical center of mass (described by frozen Jacobi data) carrying a pole-dipole structure: the invariant mass and an effective spin. Moreover we identify the constraints eliminating the internal 3-center of mass inside the instantaneous 3-spaces.

In the case of the isolated system of positive-energy scalar particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electro-magnetic field we obtain both Maxwell equations and their Hamiltonian description in non-inertial frames. Then by means of a non-covariant decomposition we define the non-inertial radiation gauge and we find the form of the non-covariant Coulomb potential. We identify the coordinate-dependent relativistic inertial potentials and we show that they have the correct Newtonian limit.

Then we study properties of Maxwell equations in non-inertial frames like the wrap-up effect and the Faraday rotation in astrophysics. Also the 3+1 description without coordinate-singularities of the rotating disk and the Sagnac effect are given, with added comments on pulsar magnetosphere and on a relativistic extension of the Earth-fixed coordinate system.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a consequence of many years of research devoted to try to establish a consistent formulation of relativistic mechanics, we have now a description of every isolated system (particles, strings, fields, fluids), admitting a Lagrangian formulation, in arbitrary global inertial or non-inertial frames in Minkowski space-time by means of *parametrized Minkowski theories* [1, 2, 3, 4] (see Ref.[5] for a review). They allow one to get a Hamiltonian description of the relativistic isolated systems, in which the transition from a non-inertial (or inertial) frame to another one is a gauge transformation generated by suitable first-class Dirac constraints. Therefore, all the admissible conventions for clock synchronization, identifying the instantaneous 3-spaces containing the system and allowing a formulation of the Cauchy problem for the equations of the fields present in the system, turn out to be gauge equivalent.

The only known way to have a global description of non-inertial frames is to choose an arbitrary time-like observer and a 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time, namely a foliation with space-like hyper-surfaces (namely an arbitrary clock synchronization convention) with a set of 4-coordinates (observer-dependent Lorentz-scalar radar 4-coordinates $\sigma^A = (\tau; \sigma^r)$, $A = \{\tau, r\}$) adapted to the foliation and having the observer as origin of the 3-coordinates σ^r on each instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ. The time parameter τ, labeling the leaves of the foliation, is an arbitrary monotonically increasing function of the proper time of the observer. Each such foliation defines a *global non-inertial frame centered on the given observer* if it satisfies the Møller admissibility conditions [6], [3, 5], and if the instantaneous (in general non-Euclidean) 3-spaces, described by the functions $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ giving their embedding in a reference inertial frame in Minkowski space-time, tend to space-like hyper-planes at spatial infinity [3]. The 4-metric $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^r) = z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^r)\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, z^\nu_B(\tau, \sigma^r)$, $z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^r) = \frac{\partial\, z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)}{\partial\, \sigma^A}$, in the non-inertial frame is a function of the embedding obtained from the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ in inertial Cartesian 4-coordinates x^μ by means of a general coordinate transformation $x^\mu \mapsto \sigma^A = (\tau; \sigma^r)$ with inverse transformation $\sigma^A \mapsto x^\mu = z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$.

If we couple the Lagrangian of the isolated system to an external gravitational field, we replace the external gravitational 4-metric with the embedding-dependent 4-metric of a non-inertial frame and we re-express the components of the isolated system in adapted radar 4-coordinates knowing the instantaneous 3-spaces [1], we get the Lagrangian of the parametrized Minkowski theory for the given isolated system. It is a function of the matter and fields of the isolated system (now described as Lorentz-scalar quantities in a non-inertial frame) and of the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ of the instantaneous 3-spaces of the non-inertial frame in Minkowski space-time. The main property of the action functional associated with these Lagrangians is the invariance [1, 3, 5] under frame-preserving diffeomorphisms [2] : this implies that the embeddings are *gauge variables*, so that all Møller-admissible clock synchronization conventions (i.e. any definition of instantaneous 3-spaces in space-times with Lorentz signature) are gauge equivalent.

---

[1] For a scalar field $\tilde\phi(x)$ we get $\phi(\tau, \sigma^r) = \tilde\phi(z(\tau, \sigma^r))$. For the electro-magnetic potential $\tilde A_\mu(x)$ and field strength $\tilde F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ we get the Lorentz-scalar fields $A_A(\tau, \sigma^r) = \tilde A_\mu(z(\tau, \sigma^r))\, z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^r)$, $F_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^r) = (\partial_A\, A_B - \partial_B\, A_A)(\tau, \sigma^r) = \tilde F_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau, \sigma^r)\, z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^r)\, z^\nu_B(\tau, \sigma^r)$. Differently from $\tilde\phi(x)$ and $\tilde A_\mu(x)$, the fields $\phi(\tau, \sigma^r)$ and $A_A(\tau, \sigma^r)$ *know* the whole instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ. Scalar particles are described with

Inertial frames are the special class of frames connected by the transformations of the Poincare' group (the relativity principle) selected by the law of inertia. For every configuration of an isolated system there is a special inertial frame intrinsically selected by the system itself, the *rest frame*, whose instantaneous 3-spaces (the Wigner 3-spaces with Wigner covariance) are orthogonal to the conserved 4-momentum of the configuration. This gives rise to the *rest-frame instant form of the dynamics*. In Ref. [8] there is a full account of the rest-frame instant form for arbitrary isolated systems, with special emphasis on the system of "N charged positive-energy scalar particles with mutual Coulomb interaction plus the transverse electro-magnetic field of the radiation gauge" [9]. The particles have Grassmann-valued electric charges (each replaced by a two-level system, charge $+e$ - charge $-e$, described by a Clifford algebra, after quantization)

a) to make a ultraviolet regularization of the Coulomb self-energies;

b) to make a infrared regularization killing the emission of soft photons and loops;

c) to allow us to have the Lienard-Wiechert transverse potential and electric field expressible as functions only of the 3-positions and 3-momenta of the particles, independently from the chosen Green function (retarded, advanced, symmetric, ..).

This allows us to have a description of the one-photon exchange diagram by means of a potential in the framework of a well defined Cauchy problem for Maxwell equations.

In the rest-frame instant form there are two realizations of the Poincare' algebra:

Lorentz-scalar 3-coordinates $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$ in Σ_τ defined by $x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$, $i = 1, .., N$, i.e. by the intersection of their world-lines $x^\mu_i(\tau)$ (parametrized not with their proper time, but with the observer's one) with Σ_τ. As a consequence, each particle must have a well defined sign of the energy. Both the world-lines $x^\mu_i(\tau)$ and the associated 4-momenta $p^\mu_i(\tau)$, satisfying $p^2_i(\tau) = \epsilon\, m^2_i$ even in presence of interactions, are derived quantities.

[2] Schmutzer and Plebanski [7] were the only ones emphasizing the relevance of this subgroup of diffeomorphisms in their attempt to obtain the theory of non-inertial frames in Minkowski space-time as a limit from Einstein's general relativity.

1) An *external* one, in which the isolated system is simulated by means of a *decoupled point particle carrying a pole-dipole structure*: the invariant mass M and the rest spin $\vec{\bar{S}}$ of the isolated system. This decoupled point particle is described by the canonical frozen Jacobi data of the non-covariant external relativistic 3-center of mass: a non-covariant variable $\vec{z} = Mc\,\vec{x}_{NW}(0)$ ($\vec{x}_{NW}(0)$ is the Cauchy datum of the Newton-Wigner 3-position $\vec{x}_{NW}(\tau)$) and an adimensional 3-velocity $\vec{h} = \vec{P}/Mc$, $\{z^i, h^j\} = \delta^{ij}$. This universal (i.e. independent from the isolated system) breaking of manifest Lorentz covariance is irrelevant since the 3-center of mass is decoupled from the internal dynamics. Since the Poincare' generators are global quantities, the relativistic center of mass (a known function of such generators) is a *global quantity* not locally determinable (see Ref.[8] for the non-local aspects of the Newton-Wigner position). The non-covariant canonical external 4-center of mass (or center of spin) $\tilde{x}^\mu(\tau) = (\tilde{x}^o(\tau); \vec{\tilde{x}}(\tau))$, the covariant non-canonical external Fokker-Pryce 4-center of inertia $Y^\mu(\tau) = (\tilde{x}^o(\tau); \vec{Y}(\tau))$ and the non-covariant non-canonical external Møller 4-center of energy $R^\mu(\tau) = (\tilde{x}^o(\tau); \vec{R}(\tau))$ are known functions of τ, $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, M, $\vec{\bar{S}}$ given in Ref.[8]. All these collective variables have the same constant 4-velocity: $\dot{Y}^\mu(\tau) = \dot{\tilde{x}}^\mu(\tau) = \dot{R}^\mu(\tau) = P^\mu/Mc = h^\mu$.

The embedding identifying the Wigner 3-spaces is ($\tau = cT$ is the Lorentz-scalar rest time)

$$z^\mu_W(\tau, \sigma^u) = Y^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\,\sigma^r, \tag{1.1}$$

where $Y^\mu(\tau)$ is the covariant non-canonical Fokker-Pryce external 4-center of inertia (a known function of τ, $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, M and $\vec{\bar{S}}$) and the 3 space-like 4-vectors $\epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})$ are determined by the standard Wigner boost $L^\mu{}_\nu(P, \overset{\circ}{P})$ for time-like orbits sending the rest form $\overset{\circ}{P}{}^\mu = Mc\,(1; \vec{0})$ of the total momentum into $P^\mu = Mc\,u^\mu(P) = Mc\,\epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h}) = Mc\,(\sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}; \vec{h}) = Mc\,h^\mu$ (we collect here the various notations used in previous papers), i.e. $\epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h}) = L^\mu{}_{\nu=A}(P, \overset{\circ}{P})$. We have $\epsilon^o_\tau(\vec{h}) = \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}$, $\epsilon^i_\tau(\vec{h}) = h^i$, $\epsilon^o_r(\vec{h}) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, h_r$, $\epsilon^i_r(\vec{h}) = \delta^i_r - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \frac{h^i\, h_r}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}}$ (see the next Section for the conventions on the 4-metric).

2) A *unfaithful internal* one inside the Wigner 3-spaces, whose generators are determined by the energy-momentum tensor, obtained from the Lagrangian of the parametrized Minkowski theory associated with the given isolated system. The only non-vanishing generators are M and $\vec{\bar{S}}$. The vanishing of the internal 3-momentum is the *rest-frame condition*, while the vanishing of the internal (interaction-dependent) Lorentz boosts *eliminates the internal 3-center of mass* (this avoids a double counting of the center of mass). As a consequence, the dynamics inside the instantaneous Wigner 3-spaces is described *only by Wigner-covariant relative variable and momenta* ($\vec{\rho}_a(\tau)$, $\vec{\pi}_a(\tau)$, $a = 1, .., N-1$, for particles). The invariant mass M is the Hamiltonian for the internal Hamilton equations. It is possible

to make an orbit reconstruction [4] for the particles in the form $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) = \vec{f}_i(\vec{\rho}_a(\tau), \vec{\pi}_a(\tau))$ and to determine the world-lines [3],

$$x_i^\mu(\tau) = z_W^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) = Y^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon_r^\mu(\vec{h})\, f_i^r(\vec{\rho}_a(\tau), \vec{\pi}_a(\tau)). \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper we study in detail the properties of global admissible non-inertial frames in Minkowski space-time, generalizing the notions defined in the inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics. We show that also in non-inertial frames every isolated system can be described as an external decoupled non-covariant canonical center of mass (described by frozen Jacobi data) carrying a pole-dipole structure: the invariant mass and an effective spin. Moreover, following the same methods developed for the inertial rest frame, we identify the constraints eliminating the internal 3-center of mass inside the instantaneous 3-spaces.

In the admissible non-inertial frames the instantaneous 3-spaces are orthogonal to a given fixed 4-vector $l^\mu_{(\infty)}$ at spatial infinity [4].

Then we will restrict the description to the special family of non-inertial frames, in which the instantaneous 3-spaces tend to Wigner 3-spaces, orthogonal to the conserved 4-momentum of the isolated system, at spatial infinity (i.e. $l^\mu_{(\infty)} = h^\mu = P^\mu/Mc$): they are the *non-inertial rest frames*, a non-inertial extension of the inertial ones. This will allow us to define the non-inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics. The non-inertial rest frame are the only ones allowed by the equivalence principle in the treatment of canonical metric and tetrad gravity in asymptotically flat and globally hyperbolic space-times without super-translations as shown in Refs. [5, 11].

Even if in a non-covariant way, which is however consistent with the coordinate-dependence of the inertial effects, we will give a unified special relativistic description of many properties of isolated systems in accelerated frames, which are scattered in the literature and treated without a global interpretative framework.

Then, as in Ref.[8], we consider the description of the isolated system of positive-energy scalar particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electro-magnetic field as a parametrized Minkowski theory. As a consequence we obtain both Maxwell equations and their Hamiltonian description in non-inertial frames.

---

[3] They turn out to be *covariant non-canonical predictive coordinates*: $\{x_i^\mu(\tau), x_j^\nu(\tau)\} \neq 0$ for all i and j, μ and ν. Let us remark that this does not imply a breaking of microcausality, which is preserved at the level of the 3-coordinates $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$.

[4] A preliminary description of particles and of their quantization in a class of such frames was given in Ref.[10]. There we introduced an auxiliary decoupled scalar particle whose 4-momentum coincides with $l^\mu_{(\infty)}$. Here we will avoid to use this method.

By means of a non-covariant decomposition we define the *non-inertial non-covariant radiation gauge*: this allows to visualize the non-inertial dynamics of transverse electro-magnetic fields, the electro-magnetic Dirac observables. We find the modification of the Coulomb potential in a non-inertial frame: its non-covariance is due to same type of coordinate-dependence present in the relativistic inertial potentials, which are explicitly identified for the first time and shown to have the correct Newtonian limit. The final Dirac Hamiltonian will contain not only the invariant mass Mc but also the modifications induced by the potentials associated with the inertial effects present in the given non-inertial frame.

Then we study properties of Maxwell equations in non-inertial frames like the wrap-up effect, the Faraday rotation in astrophysics, pulsar magnetosphere .... Also the 3+1 description of the rotating disk and the Sagnac effect are given.

In Section II we review the admissible 3+1 splittings of Minkowski space-time and the properties of the associated global non-inertial frames (Subsection A), we compare them with the accelerated coordinate systems associated with the 1+3 point of view (Subsection B) and we define the non-covariant notations for the electro-magnetic field in non-inertial frames (Subsection C).

In Section III we study the description of the isolated system "charged scalar positive-energy particles plus the electro-magnetic field" in the framework of parametrized Minkowski theories. In particular we show that in non-inertial frames and also in inertial frames with non-Cartesian coordinates there is no true conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor: like in general relativity one could introduce a coordinate-dependent energy-momentum pseudo-tensor describing the contribution of the foliation associated with the admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time. However, reverting to inertial frames, it is possible to find the conserved (Poincare' 4-vector) 4-momentum of the isolated system.

In Section IV we give the Hamiltonian description and the Hamilton equations of the isolated system "charged scalar positive-energy particles plus the electro-magnetic field" in admissible non-inertial frames (Subsection A). Then we introduce the non-covariant radiation gauge for the electro-magnetic field and we find both the inertial forces and the non-inertial expression of the coulomb potential (Subsection B). Finally we evaluate the non-relativistic limit recovering the Newtonian apparent inertial forces (Subsection C).

In Section V we review the determination of the internal Poincare' generators and of the constraints eliminating the internal 3-center of mass in the inertial rest frames (Subsection A). Then we show how these results are modified in the special family of the non-inertial rest frames (Subsections B and C) and in arbitrary admissible non-inertial frames (Subsection D) .

In Section VI we give the 3+1 point of view in admissible nearly rigidly rotating frames of the Wrap Up effect, of the Sagnac effect and of the inertial Faraday rotation by studying electro-magnetic wave solutions of the non-inertial Maxwell equations.

In the Conclusions we give an overview of the results obtained in this paper and we identify the still open problems about electro-magnetism in non-inertial frames.

In Appendix A there is a review of the rotating disk and of the Sagnac effect in the 1+3 point of view followed by their description in the framework of the 3+1 point of view (Subsection A1) and by a discussion on the ITRS rotating 3-coordinates fixed on the Earth surface (Subsection A2).

In Appendix B there is the expression of the Landau-Lifschitz non-inertial electro-magnetic fields in the 3+1 point of view.

In Appendix C there is a comparison of the covariant and non-covariant decompositions of the electro-magnetic field in non-inertial frames and the definition of the non-covariant radiation gauge.

II. ADMISSIBLE 3+1 SPLITTINGS OF MINKOWSKI SPACE-TIME AND NOTATIONS

We use the signature convention $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,(+---)$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \pm 1$, for the flat Minkowski metric ($\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = +1$ is the particle physics convention, while $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = -1$ is the one of general relativity), since it has been used in Refs.[11] for canonical gravity. Since in Ref. [8] the convention $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = +1$ was used, in this Section we also introduce the notations needed for the treatment of the electro-magnetic field in non-inertial frames.

A. Admissible 3+1 Splittings of Minkowski Space-Time

Let us consider an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time, whose instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ are identified by the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$. The radar 4-coordinates $\sigma^A = (\tau; \sigma^r)$ are adapted to an arbitrary time-like observer with world-line $x^\mu(\tau)$ in the reference inertial frame, chosen as the origin of the curvilinear 3-coordinates σ^r on each Σ_τ. The Lorentz-scalar time τ, with dimensions $[\tau] = [c\,t] = [l]$, is a monotonically increasing function of the proper time of the observer. Therefore, we can put the embeddings in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) &= x^\mu(\tau) + F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu_o + \epsilon^\mu_A \Big[f^A(\tau) + F^A(\tau, \sigma^u) \Big], \qquad F^\mu(\tau, \vec{o}) = 0, \\ x^\mu(\tau) &= x^\mu_o + \epsilon^\mu_A\, f^A(\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

At spatial infinity $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ must tend in a direction-independent way to a space-like hyper-plane with unit time-like normal $l^\mu_{(\infty)} = \epsilon^\mu_\tau$: this implies $F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^s) \rightarrow \epsilon^\mu_{(\infty)\,r}\, \sigma^r$ with the 3 space-like 4-vectors $\epsilon^\mu_{(\infty)\,r} = \epsilon^\mu_r$ orthogonal to $l^\mu_{(\infty)}$. The asymptotic orthonormal tetrads ϵ^μ_A are associated to asymptotic inertial observers and satisfy $\epsilon^\mu_A\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, \epsilon^\nu_B = \eta_{AB}$. Let us remark that the natural notation for the asymptotic tetrads would be $\epsilon^\mu_{(A)}$. However, for the sake of simplicity we shall use the notation ϵ^μ_A for $\delta^{(B)}_A\, \epsilon^\mu_{(B)}$.

The time-like observer $x^\mu(\tau)$, origin of the 3-coordinates on the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ, has the following unit 4-velocity and 4-acceleration (we use the notation $\dot{x}^\mu(\tau) = \frac{d\,x^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau}$; it must be $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^2(\tau) > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu(\tau) &= \frac{\dot{x}^\mu(\tau)}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^2(\tau)}} = \epsilon^\mu_A\, u^A(\tau), \qquad u^2(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \\ u^A(\tau) &= \frac{\dot{f}^A(\tau)}{\sqrt{\Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau)\Big)^2 - \sum_u \Big(\dot{f}^u(\tau)\Big)^2}}, \qquad \Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau)\Big)^2 > \sum_u \Big(\dot{f}^u(\tau)\Big)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^\mu(\tau) \;&=\; \frac{du^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} = \epsilon^\mu_A\, a^A(\tau), \qquad a_\mu(\tau)\, u^\mu(\tau) = 0,\\
a^A(\tau) &= \frac{\ddot{f}^A(\tau)\Big(\Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau)\Big)^2 - \sum_u \Big(\dot{f}^u(\tau)\Big)^2\Big) - \dot{f}^A(\tau)\Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau)\,\ddot{f}^\tau(\tau) - \sum_u \dot{f}^u(\tau)\,\ddot{f}^u(\tau)\Big)}{\Big(\Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau)\Big)^2 - \sum_u \Big(\dot{f}^u(\tau)\Big)^2\Big)^{3/2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

As a consequence we can write $u^\mu(\tau) = L^\mu{}_\nu(u(\tau), \overset{\circ}{u})\, \overset{\circ}{u}{}^\nu$, $\overset{\circ}{u}{}^\mu = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,(1; \vec{0})$, by using the standard Wigner boost for time-like 4-vectors.

Eqs.(2.1) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \;&=\; \partial_\tau\, z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_A \Big(\dot{f}^A(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^A(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big) =\\
&=\; (1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u))\, l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) + h^{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, n_r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, z^\mu_s(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) \;&=\; \partial_r\, z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \partial_r\, F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, \partial_r\, F^A(\tau, \sigma^u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

While the 3 independent space-like 4-vectors $z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are tangent to Σ_τ, the time-like 4-vector $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)$ has been decomposed on them and on the unit normal $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$, $l^2(\tau, \sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, to Σ_τ ($l_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0$). This decomposition defines the *lapse and shift functions* $N(\tau, \sigma^u) = 1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$ and $N^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = n^r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ (we use the notation of Ref.[11]). At spatial infinity we have: $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \rightarrow l^\mu_{(\infty)} = \epsilon^\mu_\tau$, $N(\tau, \sigma^u) \rightarrow 1$ ($n(\tau, \sigma^u) \rightarrow 0$), $n^r(\tau, \sigma^u) \rightarrow 0$.

The 4-metric induced by the 3+1 splitting is $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u) = z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, z^\nu_B(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) \;&=\; \Big[z^\mu_\tau\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, z^\nu_\tau\Big](\tau, \sigma^u) =\\
&=\; \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big[\Big(\dot{f}^\tau(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^\tau(\tau, \sigma^v)\Big)^2 - \sum_u \Big(\dot{f}^u(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^u(\tau, \sigma^v)\Big)^2\Big] =\\
&=\; \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big[\Big(1 + n(\tau, \sigma^v)\Big)^2 - h^{rs}(\tau, \sigma^v)\, n_r(\tau, \sigma^v)\, n_s(\tau, \sigma^v)\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\tau r}(\tau,\sigma^v) &= \Big[z^\mu_\tau\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,z^\nu_r\Big](\tau,\sigma^v) = \\
&= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big[\sum_u \Big(\dot f^u(\tau) + \partial_\tau\,F^u(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big)\,\partial_r\,F^u(\tau,\sigma^v) - \\
&- \Big(\dot f^\tau(\tau) + \partial_\tau\,F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big)\,\partial_r\,F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big] = \\
&= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,n_r(\tau,\sigma^v) = g_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^v)\,n^s(\tau,\sigma^v) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^v)\,n^s(\tau,\sigma^v),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^v) &= \Big[z^\mu_r\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,z^\nu_s\Big](\tau,\sigma^v) = \\
&= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big[\sum_u \partial_r\,F^u(\tau,\sigma^v)\,\partial_s\,F^u(\tau,\sigma^v) - \partial_r\,F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v)\,\partial_s\,F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big] = \\
&= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^v).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

While the 3-metric g_{rs} in Σ_τ and its inverse γ^{rs} ($\gamma^{ru}\,g_{us} = \delta^r_s$) have signature $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,(---)$, the 3-metric h_{rs} and its inverse $h^{rs} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\gamma^{rs}$ ($h^{ru}\,h_{us} = \delta^r_s$) have signature $(+++)$.

For the inverse 4-metric g^{AB} ($g^{AC}\,g_{CB} = \delta^A_B$) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\tau\tau} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{(1+n)^2}, \qquad g^{\tau\tau}\,g^{rs} - g^{\tau r}\,g^{\tau s} = -\frac{h^{rs}}{(1+n)^2}, \\
g^{\tau r} &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\frac{n^r}{(1+n)^2}, \qquad g^{rs} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big(h^{rs} - \frac{n^r\,n^s}{(1+n)^2}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

For the determinants we have

$$
\gamma = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,det\,g_{rs} = det\,h_{rs} > 0, \qquad g = det\,g_{AB} < 0, \quad \Rightarrow\ \sqrt{-g} = (1+n)\,\sqrt{\gamma}.
\tag{2.6}
$$

Finally the unit normal to the simultaneity surfaces Σ_τ has the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big[\eta^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\,z^\alpha_1\,z^\beta_2\,z^\gamma_3\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) = \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\,\epsilon^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\,z^\alpha_1\,z^\beta_2\,z^\gamma_3\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A\,l^A(\tau,\sigma^v) = \epsilon^\mu_A\,\eta^{AE}\,\Big(\frac{\epsilon_{EBCD}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\,\partial_1\,F^B\,\partial_2\,F^C\,\partial_3\,F^D\Big)(\tau,\sigma^v) = \\
&= L^\mu{}_\nu(l(\tau,\sigma^v),\overset{\circ}{l})\,\overset{\circ}{l}{}^\nu, \qquad \overset{\circ}{l}{}^\mu = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,(1;\vec{0}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^2(\tau,\sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad &\Rightarrow \Big(l^\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)^2 > \sum_u \Big(l^u(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big)^2, \\
&\Rightarrow \quad \eta_{\mu\nu} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big(l_\mu\,l_\nu - z_{r\mu}\,h^{rs}\,z_{s\nu}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^v).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

The 3+1 splitting for which l^μ is constant, i.e. τ- and σ^r-independent, have the instantaneous 3-spaces corresponding to parallel space-like hyper-planes: when the frame is non-inertial these hyper-planes are not equally spaced due to linear acceleration and/or have rotating 3-coordinates, so that they are not Euclidean 3-spaces.

The Wigner boost sending $\overset{\circ}{l}{}^{\mu}$ into $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ ($\beta^i_l = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \beta_{l\,i}$) has the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L^\mu{}_\nu(l(\tau, \sigma^u), \overset{\circ}{l}) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_l & \gamma_l\, \beta^i_l \\ \gamma_l\, \beta^j_l & \delta^{ij} + (\gamma_l - 1)\, \frac{\beta^i_l\, \beta^j_l}{\sum_k (\beta^k_l)^2} \end{vmatrix} (\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = L^\mu{}_o(l(\tau, \sigma^u), \overset{\circ}{l}) = \gamma_l(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \Big(1; \beta^i_l(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, l^A(\tau, \sigma^u) \stackrel{def}{=} \epsilon^\mu_o(l(\tau, \sigma^u)),\\
&\epsilon^\mu_j(l(\tau, \sigma^u)) \stackrel{def}{=} L^\mu{}_j(l(\tau, \sigma^u), \overset{\circ}{l}),\\
&\gamma_l = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_u (\beta^u_l)^2}} = l^o = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, \epsilon^o_A\, \eta^{AE}\, \epsilon_{EBCD}\, \partial_1\, F^B\, \partial_2\, F^C\, \partial_3\, F^D,\\
&\beta^i_l = \gamma_l^{-1}\, l^i = \frac{\epsilon^i_A\, \eta^{AE}\, \epsilon_{EBCD}\, \partial_1\, F^B\, \partial_2\, F^C\, \partial_3\, F^D}{\epsilon^o_A\, \eta^{AE}\, \epsilon_{EBCD}\, \partial_1\, F^B\, \partial_2\, F^C\, \partial_3\, F^D}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.8}
$$

The orthonormal tetrads $\epsilon^\mu_A(l(\tau, \sigma^u)) = L^\mu{}_A(l(\tau, \sigma^u), \overset{\circ}{l})$, $\eta_{\mu\nu}\, \epsilon^\mu_A(l(\tau, \sigma^u))\, \epsilon^\mu_B(l(\tau, \sigma^u)) = \eta_{AB}$, are the columns of the Wigner boost.

The Wigner boosts $L^\mu{}_\nu(u(\tau), \overset{\circ}{u})$ has a similar parametrization in terms of parameters $\beta^i_u(\tau)$.

The Møller admissibility conditions [6], [3], implying that the 3+1 splitting gives rise to a nice foliation of Minkowski space-time with space-like leaves identifying the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big[(1 + n)^2 - h^{rs}\, n_r\, n_s\Big](\tau, \sigma^u)\ > 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{rr}(\tau, \sigma^u) = -h_{rr}(\tau, \sigma^u) < 0,\\
&\begin{vmatrix} g_{rr}(\tau, \sigma^u) & g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \\ g_{sr}(\tau, \sigma^u) & g_{ss}(\tau, \sigma^u) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} h_{rr}(\tau, \sigma^u) & h_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \\ h_{sr}(\tau, \sigma^u) & h_{ss}(\tau, \sigma^u) \end{vmatrix} > 0,\\
&\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, det\, [g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)] = -\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)\ < 0, \qquad \Rightarrow det\, [g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)]\ < 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}
$$

They are restrictions on the functions $F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ of Eqs.(2.1). When they are satisfied, Eqs.(2.1) define a *global (in general non-rigid) non-inertial frame*. While linear accelerations are not restricted by Eqs.(2.9), *rigid rotations are forbidden* [3]. The condition $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$ implies that in each point σ^u the tangential velocity $\omega(\tau, \sigma^u)\, r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is less than c: instead with $\omega = \omega(\tau)$, like it happens in standard rotating coordinate systems, we get $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, R^u) = 0$ at the distance R^u from the rotation axis where $\omega\, R = c$, so that the time-like vector $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)$ would become a null vector (the so-called *horizon problem* of the rotating disk).

Since $1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$ gives the proper time distance from Σ_τ to $\Sigma_{\tau+d\tau}$ along the world-line of the Eulerian observer through (τ, σ^u) with tangent vector $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$, the condition $1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$ implies that Σ_τ and $\Sigma_{\tau+d\tau}$ *intersect nowhere*. By continuity this implies that the Møller-admissible 3+1 splittings are nice foliations with space-like leaves tending to space-like hyper-planes at spatial infinity in a direction-independent way.

Since the 3-metric $h_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is a real symmetric matrix, it can be diagonalized with a rotation matrix $V(\theta^i(\tau, \sigma^u))$, $V^T = V^{-1}$ ($\theta^i(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are Euler angles). Therefore, by using the notations of Ref.[12] for canonical gravity in the York canonical basis, we can parametrize the 3-metric in the following form [5].

---

[5] As shown in Ref.[12] the basic variables of tetrad gravity are not the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ but tetrads $E^\mu_{(\alpha)}(\tau, \sigma^u)$, defined after an admissible 3+1 splitting of the space-time identifying the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ. The quantities $z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are now the *transition coefficients* from world components of tensors to Σ_τ-adapted components in radar coordinates $\sigma^A = (\tau, \sigma^u)$: $E^\mu_{(\alpha)} = z^\mu_A\, E^A_{(\alpha)}$. The 4-metric tensor is defined by the associated cotetrads: $g_{AB} = E^{(\alpha)}_A\, \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\, E^{(\beta)}_B$. The gauge variables of tetrad gravity in the York canonical basis are six parameters of the Lorentz group acting on the flat (α) indices of the tetrads $E^\mu_{(\alpha)}$, the lapse $(1+n)$ and shift (n_r) functions, the Euler angles θ^i and the momentum variable conjugate to $\phi^6 = \gamma^{1/2}$, i.e. the trace ${}^3K$ of the extrinsic curvature of the instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ. The volume variable $\phi = \gamma^{1/12}$ is determined by the super-hamiltonian constraint. The momenta $\pi^{(\theta)}_i$, conjugate to θ^i, are determined by the super-momentum constraints. The symmetric 3-metric $h_{rs} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{rs}$ can be put in the form $h_{rs} = \sum_a \lambda_a\, V_{ra}(\theta^i)\, V_{sa}(\theta^i)$, where the eigenvalues (assumed non degenerate) have the expression $\lambda_a = \phi^4\, e^{2\, \sum_{\bar a} \gamma_{\bar a a}\, R_{\bar a}}$. The two functions $R_{\bar a}$ describe the two physical degrees of freedom of the gravitational field. A gauge fixing for θ^i and ${}^3K$ implies the determination of the lapse and shift functions.

Instead in non-inertial frames in Minkowski space-time, where gravity is absent, all the functions (n, n_r, $\gamma = \phi^{12}$, θ^i, $R_{\bar a}$) parametrizing the components of the 4-metric g_{AB} of Eq.(2.4) are *gauge variables* globally described by the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ of Eq.(2.1).

In parametrized Minkowski theories (see the next Section), where the embedding is the basic variable, in absence of matter the super-hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints are replaced by the vanishing of the momentum $\rho_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, see Eq.(3.10), conjugated to $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$. If we fix $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ like in Eq.(4.1), so that the 3-metric is completely fixed (θ^i, γ and $R_{\bar a}$ are given), then Eqs.(4.2) determine the lapse and shift functions. The extrinsic curvature is determined either from the variation of the unit normal l^μ to Σ_τ or from ${}^3K_{rs} = \frac{1}{2\,(1+n)}\, (n_{r|s} + n_{s|r} - \partial_\tau\, h_{rs})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u) = \Big(\gamma^{1/3} \sum_a Q_a^2\, V_{ra}(\theta^i)\, V_{sa}(\theta^i)\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \sum_a e_{(a)r}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, e_{(a)s}(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
&\qquad e_{(a)r} = \gamma^{1/6}\, Q_a\, V_{ra}(\theta^i), \qquad e^r_{(a)} = \gamma^{-1/6}\, Q_a^{-1}\, V_{ra}(\theta^i),\\
&\qquad \gamma = det\, h_{rs}, \qquad Q_a = e^{\sum_{\bar a} \gamma_{\bar a a}\, R_{\bar a}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.10}
$$

where $e_{(a)r}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and $e^r_{(a)}(\tau,\sigma^u)$, ($\sum_a e^r_{(a)}\, e_{(a)s} = \delta^r_s$, $\sum_r e^r_{(a)}\, e_{(b)r} = \delta_{ab}$) are cotriads and triads on Σ_τ, respectively. At spatial infinity we have $e^r_{(a)}(\tau,\sigma^u) \rightarrow \delta^r_a$, $e_{(a)r}(\tau,\sigma^u) \rightarrow \delta_{ra}$. To express $e_{(a)r}$ in terms of $\partial_r\, F^A$, we must find the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix h_{rs} in the form given in Eqs.(2.4).

The three eigenvalues of the 3-metric are $\lambda_a = \gamma^{1/3}\, Q_a^2 > 0$. The positivity of the eigenvalues is implied by the Møller conditions (2.9): $\lambda_1\, \lambda_2\, \lambda_3 = \gamma > 0$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{33} > 0$, $\lambda_1\, \lambda_2 + \lambda_2\, \lambda_3 + \lambda_3\, \lambda_1 = \begin{vmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} h_{11} & h_{13} \\ h_{31} & h_{33} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{32} & h_{33} \end{vmatrix} > 0$.

This implies that the three 4-vectors $z^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u)$ are space-like for every $\vec{\sigma}$, so that the unit normal $l^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is time-like everywhere on the instantaneous 3-spaces.

The Møller condition $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\sigma^u) > 0$ of Eqs.(2.9) implies that $z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is everywhere time-like on the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ.

Let us remark that while the generic 3-spaces Σ_τ have a 3-metric with 3 distinct eigenvalues, there is a family of 3+1 splittings with two coinciding eigenvalues of $h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and another family with all the 3 eigenvalues coinciding: they correspond to the existence of symmetries corresponding to the Killing symmetries of Einstein general relativity.

The lapse and shift functions have the following expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 + n(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\, l_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) = \Big(\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\, z^\mu_\tau\, z^\alpha_1\, z^\beta_2\, z^\gamma_3\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \Big(\dot f^\tau(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)\, l^\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) - \\
&- \sum_u \Big(\dot f^u(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^u(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)\, l^u(\tau,\sigma^u) > 0,\\
n_r(\tau,\sigma^u) &= h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, n^s(\tau,\sigma^u) = \sum_u \Big(\dot f^u(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^u(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big)\, \partial_r\, F^u(\tau,\sigma^v) - \\
&- \Big(\dot f^\tau(\tau) + \partial_\tau\, F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v)\Big)\, \partial_r\, F^\tau(\tau,\sigma^v).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

Let us also remark that all the information carried by $\epsilon^\mu_A\, f^A(\tau)$, i.e. the velocity and acceleration of the time-like observer $x^\mu(\tau)$, is hidden in the lapse and shift functions.

The extrinsic curvature of the instantaneous 3-space $\Sigma\tau$ can be evaluated by means of the formula $^3K_{rs} = \frac{1}{2\,(1+n)}\,(n_{r|s} + n_{s|r} - \partial_\tau\, h_{rs})$, by using the Christoffel symbols associated to h_{rs} for the 3-covariant derivatives $n_{r|s}$.

In conclusion the relevant conditions on the functions $f^A(\tau)$, $F^A(\tau, \sigma^u)$ of an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time are $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^2(\tau) > 0$, $1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$ and $\lambda_a(\tau, \sigma^u) > 0$.

Finally Eq.(2.10) suggests that it must be $z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Lambda^\mu{}_a(\tau, \sigma^u)\, e_{(a)r}(\tau, \sigma^u)$, where $\Lambda(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is some Lorentz matrix, so that $-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{rs} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, \Lambda^\mu{}_a\, \Lambda^\nu{}_b\, e_{(a)r}\, e_{(b)s} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \eta_{ab}\, e_{(a)r}\, e_{(b)s} = h_{rs}$.

To find $\Lambda(\tau, \sigma^u)$ let us remember that in tetrad gravity in the York canonical basis (see Ref.[12]) the expression of the tetrads adapted to Σ_τ (Schwinger time gauge) in terms of the unit normal l^A and of the triads $e^r_{(a)}$ are $\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(o)} = l^A$, $\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)} = (0; e^r_{(a)})$. In terms of them we have $\overset{\circ}{V}{}^A = (1+n)\, \overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(o)} + e^s_{(a)}\, n_s\, \overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)} = (1; 0)^A$. The world components of this vector are $\overset{\circ}{V}{}^\mu = z^\mu_A\, \overset{\circ}{V}{}^A = z^\mu_\tau$, while those of $\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)}$ are $\overset{\circ}{E}{}^\mu_{(a)} = z^\mu_A\, \overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)} = z^\mu_r\, e^r_{(a)}$, so that we get $z^\mu_r = e_{(a)r}\, \overset{\circ}{E}{}^\mu_{(a)}$. For the unit normal we have $l^\mu = z^\mu_A\, l^A$.

In Minkowski space-time our parametrization of the embedding uses the asymptotic tetrads ϵ^μ_A and we have $z^\mu_A = \epsilon^\mu_B\, \partial_A\, F^B$ and $l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_A\, l^A = \epsilon^\mu_o(l)$. Therefore a set of tetrads adapted to Σ_τ in the point (τ, σ^u) is given by the orthonormal tetrads $\epsilon^\mu_A(l(\tau, \sigma^u))$ defined in Eqs.(2.8): they replace the adapted tetrads l^μ, $\overset{\circ}{E}{}^\mu_{(a)}$ of tetrad gravity. Therefore, consistently with Eq.(2.10), we must have

$$z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, \partial_r\, F^A(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_a(l(\tau, \sigma^u))\, e_{(a)r}(\tau, \sigma^u). \tag{2.12}$$

This implies $z^\mu_\tau = \Big[(1+n)\, l^A + \epsilon^s_{(a)}\, n_s\, \epsilon^\mu_a(l)\Big](\tau, \sigma^u) = L^\mu{}_\nu(l(\tau, \sigma^u), \overset{\circ}{l})\, G^\nu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ with $G^\mu = (1+n; e^s_{(r)}\, n_s)$. Eqs.(2.12) are a set of non-linear partial differential equations for $\partial_r\, F^A(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$.

It is difficult to construct explicit examples of admissible 3+1 splittings. Let us consider the following two examples in which the instantaneous 3-spaces are space-like hyper-planes.

A) *Rigid non-inertial reference frames with translational acceleration exist.* An example are the following embeddings

$$z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \; = \; x^\mu_o + \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, f(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \sigma^r,$$

$$g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \Big(\frac{df(\tau)}{d\tau}\Big)^2, \quad g_{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0, \quad g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) = - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \delta_{rs}. \tag{2.13}$$

This is a foliation with parallel hyper-planes with normal $l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau = const.$ and with the time-like observer $x^\mu(\tau) = x^\mu_o + \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, f(\tau)$ as origin of the 3-coordinates. The hyper-planes have translational acceleration $\ddot x^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \ddot f(\tau)$, so that they are not uniformly distributed like in the inertial case $f(\tau) = \tau$.

B) As shown in Refs.[3], the simplest example of 3+1 splitting, whose instantaneous 3-spaces are space-like hyper-planes carrying admissible differentially rotating 3-coordinates [6], is given by the embedding ($\sigma = |\vec \sigma|$; ϵ^μ_r are the asymptotic space-like axes and the unit normal is $l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau = const.$; $\alpha_i(\tau, \vec \sigma) = F(\sigma)\, \tilde \alpha_i(\tau)$, $i = 1,2,3$, are Euler angles; $R^r{}_s(\alpha_i(\tau, \sigma))$ is a rotation matrix satisfying the asymptotic conditions $R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \delta^r_s$, $\partial_A\, R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} 0$)

$$z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \; = \; x^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^s, \qquad x^\mu(\tau) = x^\mu_o + f^A(\tau)\, \epsilon^\mu_A,$$

$$R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma) \; = \; R^r{}_s(\alpha_i(\tau, \sigma)) = R^r{}_s(F(\sigma)\, \tilde \alpha_i(\tau)),$$

$$0 < F(\sigma) < \frac{1}{A\, \sigma}, \qquad \frac{d\, F(\sigma)}{d\sigma} \not= 0 \;(Moller\; conditions),$$

---

[6] As shown in Refs.[3], if we use the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \sigma^s$ such that $\Omega^r = \Omega^r(\tau)$, then the resulting $g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ violates Møller conditions, because it vanishes at $\sigma = \sigma_R = \frac{1}{\Omega(\tau)} \Big[- \dot x_\mu(\tau)\, \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\, (\hat \sigma \times \hat \Omega(\tau))^r + \sqrt{\dot x^2(\tau) + [\dot x_\mu(\tau)\, \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\, (\hat \sigma \times \hat \Omega(\tau))^r]^2} \Big]$. We use the notations $\sigma^u = \sigma\, \hat \sigma^u$, $\Omega^r = \Omega\, \hat \Omega^r$, $\hat \sigma^2 = \hat \Omega^2 = 1$. At this distance from the rotation axis the tangential rotational velocity becomes equal to the velocity of light. This is the *horizon problem* of the rotating disk. This pathology is common to most of the rotating coordinate systems quoted after Eq.(2.16) and in Appendices A and B. Let us remark that an analogous pathology happens on the event horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole, where the time-like Killing vector of the static space-time becomes light-like: in this case we do not have a coordinate singularity but an intrinsic geometric property of the solution of Einstein's equations. For the rotating Kerr black hole the same phenomenon happens already at the boundary of the ergosphere [13], as a consequence of the Killing vectors own by this solution. Let us remark that in the existing theory of rotating relativistic stars [14], where differential rotations are replacing the rigid ones in model building, it is assumed that in certain rotation regimes an ergosphere may form [15]: however in this case it is not known whether Killing vectors and a dynamical ergosphere exist, so that the horizon problem,arising if one uses 4-coordinates adapted to the Killing vectors, could be associated to a coordinate singularity like for the rotating disk. In the study of the magnetosphere of pulsars the horizon of the rotating disk is named the *light cylinder* (see Appendix B).

$$\begin{aligned} z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) - \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma)\, \delta^{sw}\, \epsilon_{wuv}\, \sigma^u\, \frac{\Omega^v(\tau, \sigma)}{c}, \\ z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \epsilon^\mu_k\, R^k{}_v(\tau, \sigma)\, \Big(\delta^v_r + \Omega^v_{(r)u}(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^u\Big), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^2(\tau) - 2\, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}_\mu(\tau)\; \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma)\, \delta^{sw}\, \epsilon_{wuv}\, \sigma^u\, \frac{\Omega^v(\tau, \sigma)}{c} - \\ &- \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_k \epsilon_{krs}\, \sigma^r\, \Omega^s(\tau, \sigma)\, \epsilon_{kuv}\, \sigma^u\, \Omega^v(\tau, \sigma), \\ n_r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}_\mu(\tau)\; \epsilon^\mu_k\, R^k{}_v(\tau, \sigma)\, \Big(\delta^v_r + \Omega^v_{(r)u}(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^u\Big) - \\ &- \epsilon_{smn}\, \sigma^m\, \frac{\Omega^n(\tau, \sigma)}{c}\, \Big(\delta^s_r + \Omega^s_{(r)u}(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^u\Big), \\ h_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \delta_{rs} + \Big(\Omega^r_{(s)u}(\tau, \sigma) + \Omega^s_{(r)u}(\tau, \sigma)\Big)\, \sigma^u + \\ &+ \sum_w \Omega^w_{(r)u}(\tau, \sigma)\, \Omega^w_{(s)v}(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^u\, \sigma^v, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\Big(R^{-1}(\tau, \sigma)\, \partial_\tau\, R(\tau, \sigma)\Big)^u{}_v = \delta^{um}\, \epsilon_{mvr}\, \frac{\Omega^r(\tau, \sigma)}{c}$, $\partial_\tau\, R(\tau, \sigma)^u{}_v = R^u{}_n(\tau, \sigma)\, \delta^{nm}\, \epsilon_{mvr}\, \frac{\Omega^r(\tau, \sigma)}{c}$ with $\Omega^r(\tau, \sigma) = F(\sigma)\, \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, \sigma)\, \hat{n}^r(\tau, \sigma)$ [7] being the angular velocity and with $\Omega_{(r)}(\tau, \sigma) = R^{-1}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\, \partial_r\, R(\tau, \sigma)$. The angular velocity vanishes at spatial infinity and has an upper bound proportional to the minimum of the linear velocity $v_l(\tau) = \dot{x}_\mu\, l^\mu$ orthogonal to the space-like hyper-planes. When the rotation axis is fixed and $\tilde{\Omega}(\tau, \sigma) = \omega = const.$, a simple choice for the function $F(\sigma)$ is $F(\sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\omega^2\, \sigma^2}{c^2}}$ [8].

Let us remark that the unit normal is $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau = const.$ and the lapse function is $1 + n(\tau, \sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \Big(z^\mu_\tau\, l_\mu\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \dot{x}_\mu(\tau)$.

The embedding (2.14) has been used in the first paper of Ref.[10], on quantum mechanics in non-inertial frames, in the form $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu(\tau) + F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \theta(\tau)\, \epsilon^\mu_\tau + \mathcal{A}^r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \epsilon^\mu_r$ with $x^\mu_o = 0$, $\theta(\tau) = f^\tau(\tau)$, $\mathcal{A}^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = f^r(\tau) + R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^s$, describing the freedom in the choice of the mathematical time τ and with the world-line of the time-like observer having the expression $x^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \theta(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \mathcal{A}^r(\tau, 0)$, namely with $f^r(\tau) = \mathcal{A}^r(\tau, o)$ and $\dot{f}^r(\tau) = \frac{w^r(\tau)}{c}$ ($\vec{w}(\tau)$ is the ordinary 3-velocity). If we choose $\theta(\tau) = \tau$, we get from Eq.(2.2) $u^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, u^A(\tau) = \frac{\epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \frac{w^r(\tau)}{c}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\vec{w}^2(\tau)}{c^2}}}$, $a^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, u^A(\tau) = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_u \dot{w}^u(\tau)\, \ddot{w}^u(\tau)\, \Big(1 - \frac{\vec{w}^2(\tau)}{c^2}\Big)^{-3/2} \Big(\epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \frac{w^r(\tau)}{c}\Big)$. The lapse function is $1 + n(\tau) = \dot{f}^\tau(\tau)$.

---

[7] $\hat{n}^r(\tau, \sigma)$ defines the instantaneous rotation axis and $0 < \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, \sigma) < 2\, max\, \Big(\dot{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tau), \dot{\tilde{\beta}}(\tau), \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(\tau)\Big)$.

[8] Nearly rigid rotating systems, like a rotating disk of radius σ_o, can be described by using a function $F(\sigma)$ approximating the step function $\theta(\sigma - \sigma_o)$.

To evaluate the non-relativistic limit for $c \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tau = c\,t$ with t the absolute Newtonian time and $\partial_\tau = \frac{1}{c}\,\partial_t$, we choose the gauge function $F(\sigma) = \frac{1}{1+\frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}} \rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} 1 - \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2} + O(c^{-4})$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
R^a{}_r(\tau,\sigma) \;\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty}\; & R^a{}_r(\tau) - \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}\sum_i \tilde{\alpha}_i(\tau)\,\frac{\partial\, R^a{}_r(\tau,\sigma)}{\partial\,\alpha_i}|_{F(\sigma)=1} + O(c^{-4}) = \\
\overset{def}{=} \;\; & R^a{}_r(\tau) - \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}\, R^{(1)a}{}_r(\tau) + O(c^{-4}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and we can introduce a new 3-velocity $\vec{v}(\tau)$ by means of $w^r(\tau) = c\,\dot{f}^r(\tau) = R^r{}_s(\tau)\,v^s(\tau)$. We have $\Omega^r(\tau,\sigma) = \tilde{\Omega}(\tau)\hat{n}^r(\tau) + O(c^{-1})$ for the angular velocity and $\Omega_{(r)}(\tau,\sigma) = 0 + O(c^{-2})$.

Therefore the corrections to rigidly-rotating non-inertial frames coming from Møller conditions are of order $O(c^{-2})$ and become important at the distance from the rotation axis where the horizon problem for rigid rotations appears.

Then, from Eqs. (2.14), (2.4), (2.7) and (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &\rightarrow x^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\,\sigma^s - \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}\,\epsilon^\mu_r\, R^{(1)r}{}_s(\tau)\,\sigma^s + O(c^{-4}), \\
z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) &\rightarrow \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\,\partial_\tau\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\,\sigma^s + O(c^{-3}) = \\
&= \epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\,\dot{f}^r(\tau) + \frac{1}{c}\,\epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\,\epsilon_{suv}\,\Omega^u(\tau)\,\sigma^v + O(c^{-3}), \\
z^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u) &\rightarrow \epsilon^\mu_s\left[R^s{}_r(\tau) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\, R^{(1)s}{}_u(\tau)\,(\delta^u_r\,\sigma^2 + 2\,\sigma^u\,\sigma^v\,\delta_{vr})\right] + O(c^{-4}), \\
h_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u) &\rightarrow \delta_{rs} - 2\,\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\sum_u R^u{}_r(\tau)\, R^{(1)u}{}_v(\tau)\,(\delta^v_s + 2\,\sigma^v\,\sigma^n\,\delta_{ns}) + O(c^{-4}), \\
n(\tau) &= 0, \qquad n_r(\tau,\sigma^u) \rightarrow \frac{1}{c}\Big(\delta_{rs}\,v^s(\tau) + \epsilon_{ruv}\,\Omega^u(\tau)\,\sigma^v\Big) + O(c^{-3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

There is the enormous amount of bibliography, reviewed in Ref.[16], about the problems of the *rotating disk* and of the rotating coordinate systems. Independently from the fact whether the disk is a material extended object or a geometrical congruence of time-like world-lines (integral lines of some time-like unit vector field), the idea followed by many researchers [6, 17, 18] (in Refs.[18] are quoted the attempts to develop electro-dynamics in rotating frames) is to start from the Cartesian 4-coordinates of a given inertial system, to pass to cylindrical 3-coordinates and then to make a either Galilean (assuming a non-relativistic behaviour of rotations at the relativistic level) or Lorentz transformation to comoving rotating 4-coordinates (see the locality hypothesis in the next Subsection), with a subsequent evaluation of the 4-metric in the new coordinates. In other cases [19] a suitable global 4-coordinate transformation is postulated, which avoids the horizon problem. Various authors (see for instance Refs.[20]) do not define a coordinate transformation but only a rotating 4-metric. Just starting from Møller rotating 4-metric [6], Nelson (see the second paper in Ref.[13]) was able to deduce a 4-coordinate transformation implying it.

See Appendix A for the description of the rotating disk and the Sagnac effect in the 3+1 framework.

B. Congruences of Time-Like Observers Associated with an Admissible 3+1 Splitting, the 1+3 Point of View and the Locality Hypothesis

Each admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time, having the time-like observer $x^\mu(\tau)$ as origin of the 3-coordinates on the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ, automatically determines two time-like vector fields and therefore *two congruences of (in general) non-inertial time-like observers*:

i) The time-like vector field $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \partial_\mu$ of the normals to the simultaneity surfaces Σ_τ (by construction surface-forming, i.e. irrotational), whose flux lines are the world-lines $x^\mu_{l,\tau_o,\sigma^u_o}(\tau)$, $u^\mu(\tau) = \frac{\dot{x}^\mu_{l,\tau_o,\sigma^u_o}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^2_{l.\tau_o,\sigma^u_o}(\tau)}}$, $u^\mu_{l,\tau_o,\sigma^u_o}(\tau_o) = l^\mu(\tau_o, \sigma^u_o)$, of the so-called (in general non-inertial) *Eulerian observers*. The simultaneity surfaces Σ_τ are (in general non-flat) Riemannian 3-spaces in which every physical system is visualized and in each point the *tangent space* to Σ_τ is the *local observer rest frame* of the Eulerian observer through that point. The 3+1 viewpoint of these observers is called *hyper-surface 3+1 splitting*.

ii) The time-like evolution vector field $\frac{z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}}\, \partial_\mu$, which in general is not surface-forming (i.e. it has non-zero vorticity like in the case of the rotating disk). The observers associated to its flux lines $x^\mu_{z,\sigma^u_o}(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u_o)$, $u^\mu_{z,\sigma^u_o}(\tau) = \frac{z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}}$, have the *local observer rest frames*, the tangent 3-spaces orthogonal to the evolution vector field, *not tangent* to Σ_τ: there is no notion of 3-space for these observers (1+3 point of view or *threading splitting*) and no visualization of the physical system in large. However these observers can use the notion of simultaneity associated to the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, which determines their 4-velocity. Like for the observer $x^\mu(\tau)$, their 4-velocity is not parallel to $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$. The 3+1 viewpoint of these observers is called *slicing 3+1 splitting*.

Every 1+3 point of view considers only a time-like observer (either $x^\mu(\tau)$ or $x^\mu_{l,\tau_o,\sigma^u_o}(\tau)$ or $x^\mu_{z,\sigma^u_o}(\tau)$) and tries to give a description of the physics in a region around the observer's world-line assumed known. Since there is no global notion of simultaneity, namely of instantaneous 3-space, one identifies the space-like hyper-planes orthogonal to the observer unit 4-velocity

$u^\mu_{obs}(\tau)$ at every instant τ (the observer local rest frames) as local instantaneous 3-spaces $\Sigma_{obs\,\tau}$ (strictly speaking it is a tangent space and not a 3-space). Then one makes a choice of a tetrad $V^\mu_{obs\,A}((\tau)) = \Big(u^\mu_{obs}(\tau); V^\mu_{obs\,(r)}(\tau)\Big)$, $\eta_{\mu\nu}\, V^\mu_{obs\,(A)}(\tau)\, V^\nu_{obs\,(B)}(\tau) = \eta_{(A)(B)}$. The space axes $V^\mu_{obs\,(r)}(\tau)$ can be chosen arbitrarily, even if often they are chosen as the tangents to three space-like geodesics on $\Sigma_{obs\,\tau}$ at the observer position. After parallel transport of the tetrad to the points of $\Sigma_{obs\,\tau}$ not on the observer world-line one tries to build an *accelerated 4-coordinate system* having the observer as origin of the 3-coordinates [21]. In the case of the tangents to space-like geodesics one builds a local system of Fermi coordinates around the observer world-line [22] (see also Ref.[23] for an updated discussion of fermi-Walker and Fermi normal coordinates).

The drawback of this construction is that the τ-dependent family of hyper-planes $\Sigma_{obs\,\tau}$ will have hyper-planes at different τ's intersecting at some distance from the observer world-line, usually estimated by using the so-called *acceleration radii* of the observer. This implies that every system of accelerated 4-coordinates of this type will develop *coordinate singularities* when the hyper-planes intersect. As a consequence it is not possible to formulate a well-posed Cauchy problem for Maxwell equations in these accelerated coordinate systems: they can only be used for evaluating local semi-relativistic inertial effects.

At each instant τ the tetrads $V^\mu_{obs\,(A)}(\tau)$ coincide with some Lorentz matrix $V^\mu_{obs\,(A)}(\tau) = \Lambda^\mu{}_{\nu=A}(\tau)$, which connects the reference inertial frame to the *instantaneous comoving inertial frame* associated with the accelerated observer at τ. A possibility is to use the tetrads $\epsilon^\mu_A(u_{obs}(\tau))$ associated with the Wigner boost $L^\mu{}_\nu(u_{obs}(\tau), \overset{\circ}{u}_{obs})$. This fact is at the heart of the *locality hypothesis* [24] according to which an accelerated observer is physically equivalent (for measurements) to a continuous family of hypothetical momentarily comoving inertial observers.

If we parametrize the Lorentz transformation $\Lambda(\tau)$ as the product of a pure boost with a pure rotation $\Lambda(\tau) = B(\vec{\beta}(\tau))\, \mathcal{R}(\alpha(\tau), \beta(\tau), \gamma(\tau))$ and we call $R^r_s(\tau) = \mathcal{R}^r{}_s(\tau)$, we can write (from Eq.(2.8) we have $B^{jk}(\vec{\beta}(\tau)) = \delta^{jk} + (\gamma(\tau) - 1)\, \frac{\beta^j(\tau)\, \beta^k(\tau)}{\sum_n (\beta^n(\tau))^2}$)

$$V^\mu_{obs\,(A)}(\tau) = \Lambda^\mu_{\nu=A}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^2(\tau)}} & \frac{R^i_k(\tau)\, \beta^k(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^2(\tau)}} \\ \frac{\beta^j(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^2(\tau)}} & R^i_k(\tau)\, B^{jk}(\vec{\beta}(\tau)) \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (2.17)$$

Let us define the angular velocity $\omega_r(\tau)$ by means of $\frac{d\, R^r_s(\tau)}{d\tau} \overset{def}{=} \epsilon_{ruv}\, \omega_u(\tau)\, R^v_s(\tau)$. Even if the observer is connected with the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, this angular velocity is not related to the angular velocity defined after Eq.(2.14).

Finally, if we write

$$\frac{dV^{\mu}_{obs\,(A)}(\tau)}{d\tau} = \mathcal{A}_{obs\,(A)}{}^{(B)}(\tau)\, V^{\mu}_{obs\,(B)}(\tau),$$

$$\Rightarrow\ \mathcal{A}_{obs\,(A)(B)}(\tau) = -\mathcal{A}_{obs\,(B)(A)}(\tau) = \frac{dV^{\mu}_{obs\,(A)}(\tau)}{d\tau}\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, V^{\nu}_{obs\,(B)}(\tau), \qquad (2.18)$$

and we introduce the definitions $a_{obs\,r}(\tau) = \mathcal{A}_{obs\,(\tau)(r)}(\tau)$, $\Omega_{obs\,r}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{ruv}\,\mathcal{A}_{obs\,(u)(v)}(\tau)$, then the acceleration radii have the following definition [24]: $I_1(\tau) = \sum_r \Big(\Omega^2_{obs\,r}(\tau) - a^2_{obs\,r}(\tau)\Big)$, $I_2(\tau) = \sum_r a_{obs\,r}(\tau)\,\Omega_{obs\,r}(\tau)$. By means of Eq.(2.17) they can be expressed in terms of the parameters of the Lorentz transformation and their τ-derivatives.

Finally let us remark that given an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time, the infinitesimal spatial length dl in the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ is defined by putting $d\tau = 0$ in the line element $ds^2 = g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, d\sigma^A\, d\sigma^B$, namely we have $dl^2 = g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, d\sigma^r\, d\sigma^s$. This global, but coordinate-dependent, definition has to be contrasted with the local, but coordinate-independent, definition used in the 1+3 point of view as it is done for instance in Landau-Lifschitz [17]. This definition is only locally valid in the local rest frame of an observer: since there is no notion of instantaneous 3-space it cannot be used in a global way. For a detailed comparison of these two notions of spatial length see Section II of the first paper of Ref.[3].

C. Notations for the Electro-Magnetic Field in Non-Inertial Frames

Let us add some notations for the electro-magnetic field in the non-inertial frames, where the instantaneous 3-space is either curved or flat but with rotating coordinates [in both cases it is not Euclidean and has the 3-metric h_{rs} of signature $(+++)$].

The basic field is the electro-magnetic potential $A_A = (A_\tau; A_r)$. We have $A^A = (A^\tau; A^A) = g^{AB}\, A_B = g^{A\tau}\, A_\tau + g^{As}\, A_s$. Instead in inertial frames we have $A^\tau = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, A_\tau$, $A^r = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, A_r$.

In non-inertial frames it is convenient to introduce the following "Euclidean" notation: $\tilde{A}^r = h^{rs}\, A_s \neq A^r$ (in inertial frames: $\tilde{A}^r = A_r = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, A^r$)

We shall adopt the following conventions for the electric and magnetic fields in terms of $F_{AB} = \partial_A\, A_B - \partial_B\, A_A$ [9] :

a) In inertial frames we have [10]

---

[9] In the inertial case, where $h_{rs} = \delta_{rs}$ implies $V^r \overset{def}{=} \tilde{V}^r = V_r$ for the components of 3-vector $\vec{V}$ not

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &= -F_{\tau r} = F^{\tau r} = \tilde{E}^r, \\ B_r &= \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{ruv}\,F_{uv} = \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{ruv}\,F^{uv} = \tilde{B}^r, \qquad F_{uv} = F^{uv} = \epsilon_{uvr}\,B_r = \epsilon_{uvr}\,\tilde{B}^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

b) In non-inertial frames we put the definitions

$$E_r \overset{def}{=} -F_{\tau r}, \qquad B_r \overset{def}{=} \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{ruv}\,F_{uv}, \qquad F_{rs} = \epsilon_{rsu}\,B_u. \tag{2.20}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{AB} &= g^{AC}\,g^{BD}\,F_{CD} = (g^{A\tau}\,g^{Br} - g^{Ar}\,g^{B\tau})\,F_{\tau r} + g^{Ar}\,g^{Bs}\,F_{rs} = \\ &= (g^{Ar}\,g^{B\tau} - g^{A\tau}\,g^{Br})\,E_r + \epsilon_{rsu}\,g^{Ar}\,g^{Bs}\,B_u, \\ F^{\tau u} &= (g^{\tau r}\,g^{\tau u} - g^{\tau\tau}\,g^{ur})\,E_r + \epsilon_{rsn}\,g^{\tau r}\,g^{us}\,B_n = \\ &= h^{ur}\,E_r + \frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\,\epsilon_{rsn}\,n^r\,h^{us}\,B_n, \\ F^{uv} &= (g^{ur}\,g^{\tau v} - g^{\tau u}\,g^{vr})\,E_r + \epsilon_{rsn}\,g^{ur}\,g^{vs}\,B_n = \\ &= \frac{(h^{ur}\,n^v - h^{vr}\,n^u)\,E_r}{(1+n)^2} + \epsilon_{rsn}\,\Big(h^{ur}\,h^{vs} - \frac{n^r\,(n^v\,h^{us} - n^u\,h^{us})}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\,B_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

by analogy with inertial frames we can put

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\tau r} &\overset{def}{=} \check{E}^r, \qquad \check{E}^r = \tilde{E}^r + \frac{\epsilon_{uvn}\,n^u\,h^{rv}\,h_{nm}\,\tilde{B}^m}{(1+n)^2} \neq \tilde{E}^r = h^{rs}\,E_s, \\ F^{uv} &\overset{def}{=} \epsilon_{uvr}\,\check{B}^r, \qquad \check{B}^r = \frac{2}{(1+n)^2}\,\epsilon_{ruv}\,\tilde{E}^u\,n^v + \\ &+ \epsilon_{ruv}\,\epsilon_{ksn}\,\Big(h^{uk}\,h^{vs} - \frac{n^k\,(n^v\,h^{us} - n^u\,h^{vs})}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\,h_{nm}\,\tilde{B}^m \neq \tilde{B}^r = h^{rs}\,B_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

---

being the vector part of a 4-vector (like $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$), we can use the vector notation $\vec{E} = \{E_r\} = \{\tilde{E}^r\}$, $\vec{B} = \{B_r\} = \{\tilde{B}^r\}$, $\vec{E}^2 = \sum_r E_r^2 = \sum_r (\tilde{E}^r)^2$, $\vec{B}^2 = \sum_r B_r^2 = \sum_r (\tilde{B}^r)^2$, $(\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i \times \vec{B})_r = \sum_{uv} \epsilon_{ruv}\,\dot{\eta}_i^u\,B_v = \sum_{uv} \epsilon_{ruv}\,\dot{\eta}_i^u\,\tilde{B}^v$, $(\vec{E} \times \vec{B})_r = \sum_{uv} \epsilon_{ruv}\,E_u\,B_v = \sum_{uv} \epsilon_{ruv}\,\tilde{E}^u\,\tilde{B}^v$. Since $\tilde{V}^r = h^{rs}\,V_s \neq V^r$, *we are not going to use the vector notation in non-inertial frames.*

[10] ϵ_{uvr} is the Euclidean Levi-Civita tensor with $\epsilon_{123} = 1$; ϵ^{uvr} is never introduced.

III. PARAMETRIZED MINKOWSKI THEORIES AND THE INERTIAL REST-FRAME INSTANT FORM FOR CHARGED PARTICLES PLUS THE ELECTRO-MAGNETIC FIELD.

In this Section we will give a review of the description of the isolated system "N charged positive-energy scalar particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electro-magnetic field" [9] in the framework of parametrized Minkowski theories [1, 5] (see also the Appendix of the first paper in Refs.[11]).

Let be given an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time centered on a time-like observer $x^\mu(\tau)$. Let $\sigma^A = (\tau; \sigma^u)$ be the adapted observer-dependent radar 4-coordinates and $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ the embedding of the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ into Minkowski space-time as seen from an arbitrary reference inertial observer. Let $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u) = z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, z^\nu_B(\tau, \sigma^u)$ be the associated 4-metric.

The electro-magnetic field is described by the Lorentz-scalar potential $A_A(\tau, \sigma^u)$ knowing the equal-time surface. The field strength is $F_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\partial_A\, A_B - \partial_B\, A_A\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)$.

The scalar positive-energy particles are described by the Lorentz-scalar 3-coordinates $\eta^r_i(\tau)$ defined by $x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))$, where $x^\mu_i(\tau)$ are their world-lines. Q_i are the Grassmann-valued electric charges satisfying $Q_i^2 = 0$, $Q_i\, Q_j = Q_j\, Q_i \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Each Q_i is an even bilinear function of a complex Grassmann variable $\theta_i(\tau)$: $Q_i = e\, \theta^*_i(\tau)\, \theta_i(\tau)$.

As shown in Ref.[9] the description of N scalar positive-energy particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electro-magnetic field is done in parametrized Minkowski theories with the action

$$\begin{aligned}
S \,&=\, \int d\tau\, d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{L}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \int d\tau\, L(\tau),\\
\mathcal{L}(\tau, \sigma^u) \,&=\, \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big[\theta^*_i(\tau)\dot{\theta}_i(\tau) - \dot{\theta}^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\Big] -\\
&-\, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big[m_i\, c\, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, [g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) + 2\, g_{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\, \dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)]} -\\
&-\, \frac{Q_i(\tau)}{c}\, \Big(A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) + A_r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\Big)\Big] -\\
&-\, \frac{1}{4c}\, \sqrt{-g(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, g^{AC}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, g^{BD}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, F_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, F_{CD}(\tau, \sigma^u). \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

The canonical momenta are (for dimensional convenience we introduce a c factor in the definition of the electro-magnetic momenta)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)} = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))\, m_i\, c \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau,\sigma^u) + z_{r\mu}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, [g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\sigma^u) + 2\, g_{\tau r}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau) + g_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau)\, \dot\eta^s_i(\tau)]}} + \\
&+\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \frac{\sqrt{-g(\tau,\sigma^u)}}{4c} \Big[(g^{\tau\tau}\, z_{\tau\mu} + g^{\tau r}\, z_{r\mu})\, g^{AC}\, g^{BD}\, F_{AB}\, F_{CD} - \\
&-\ 2\, \Big(z_{\tau\mu}\, (g^{A\tau}\, g^{\tau C}\, g^{BD} + g^{AC}\, g^{B\tau}\, g^{\tau D}) + \\
&+\ z_{r\mu}\, (g^{Ar}\, g^{\tau C} + g^{A\tau}\, g^{rC})\, g^{BD} \Big)\, F_{AB}\, F_{CD}) \Big] (\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= [(\rho_\nu\, l^\nu)\, l_\mu + (\rho_\nu\, z^\nu_r)\, \gamma^{rs}\, z_{s\mu}](\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) &= c\, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\tau A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)} = 0, \\
\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u) &= c\, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\tau A_r(\tau,\sigma^u)} = \frac{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\sqrt{-g(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, h^{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, (F_{\tau s} - n^u\, F_{us})(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}(\tau,\sigma^u)}{1 + n(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, h^{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u) \Big(E_s - \epsilon_{suv}\, n^u\, B_v \Big)(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{ir}(\tau) &= +\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau)} = \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_r(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) - \\
&-\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, m_i\, c\, \frac{g_{\tau r}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) + g_{rs}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\, \dot\eta^s_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, [g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) + 2\, g_{\tau r}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau) + g_{rs}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\, \dot\eta^r_i(\tau)\, \dot\eta^s_i(\tau)]}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\pi_{\theta\, i}(\tau) = \frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot\theta_i(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2}\, \theta^*_i(\tau), \qquad \pi_{\theta^*\, i}(\tau) = \frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial\, \dot\theta^*_i(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2}\, \theta_i(\tau). \tag{3.2}$$

The following Poisson brackets are assumed

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u), \rho_\nu(\tau,{\sigma'}^u\} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \eta^\mu_\nu\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u),\\
&\{A_A(\tau,\sigma^u), \pi^B(\tau,{\sigma'}^u)\} = c\, \eta^B_A\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u), \qquad \{\eta^r_i(\tau), \kappa_{js}(\tau)\} = +\delta_{ij}\, \delta^r_s,\\
&\{\theta_i(\tau), \pi_{\theta\, j}(\tau)\} = -\delta_{ij}, \qquad \{\theta^*_i(\tau), \pi_{\theta^*\, j}(\tau)\} = -\delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The Grassmann momenta give rise to the second class constraints

$$\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i \approx 0, \qquad \pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i \approx 0, \qquad \{\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i, \pi_{\theta^*\, j} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}, \tag{3.4}$$

so that $\pi_{\theta\, i}$ and $\pi_{\theta^*\, i}$ can be eliminated with the help of Dirac brackets

$$\{A, B\}^* = \{A, B\} - i\, [\{A, \pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i\}\{\pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i, B\} + \{A, \pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i\}\{\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i, B\}]. \tag{3.5}$$

As a consequence, the Grassmann variables $\theta_i(\tau)$, $\theta^*_i(\tau)$, have the fundamental Dirac brackets (we will still denote it as $\{.,.\}$ for the sake of simplicity)

$$\{\theta_i(\tau), \theta_j(\tau)\} = \{\theta^*_i(\tau), \theta^*_j(\tau)\} = 0, \qquad \{\theta_i(\tau), \theta^*_j(\tau)\} = -i\, \delta_{ij}. \tag{3.6}$$

If we introduce the energy-momentum tensor of the isolated system (in inertial frames we have $T_{\perp\perp} = T^{\tau\tau}$ and $T_{\perp r} = \delta_{rs}\, T^{\tau s}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{AB}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{g(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, \frac{\delta\, S}{\delta\, g_{AB}(\tau,\sigma^u)},\\
T^{\mu\nu} &= z^\mu_A\, z^\nu_B\, T^{AB} = l^\mu\, l^\nu\, T_{\perp\perp} + (l^\mu\, z^\nu_r + l^\nu\, z^\mu_r)\, \gamma^{rs}\, T_{\perp s} + z^\mu_r\, z^\mu_s\, T^{rs},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_{\perp\perp} = l_\mu\, l_\nu\, T^{\mu\nu} = (1+n)^2\, T^{\tau\tau},\\
&T_{\perp r} = l_\mu\, z_{r\,\nu}\, T^{\mu\nu} = -(1+n)\, h_{rs}\, (T^{\tau\tau}\, n^s + T^{\tau s}),\\
&T_{rs} = z_{r\,\mu}\, z_{s\,\nu}\, T^{\mu\nu} = n_r\, n_s\, T^{\tau\tau} + (n_r\, h_{su} + n_s\, h_{ru})\, T^{\tau u} + h_{ru}\, h_{sv}\, T^{uv},
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big(\frac{1}{2\,c\,\sqrt{\gamma}}\,\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\,h_{rs}\,\pi^r\,\pi^s + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2}\,h^{rs}\,h^{uv}\,F_{ru}\,F_{sv}\Big]\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\,\Big(\sqrt{m_i^2\,c^2 + h^{rs}\,\Big[\kappa_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r\Big]\,\Big[\kappa_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_s\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big]},\\
T_{\perp s}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big(\frac{F_{rs}\,\pi^s}{c\,\sqrt{\gamma}}\Big)(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\,\Big[\kappa_{i\,s} - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_s(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big],\\
T_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big(h_{ru}\,h_{sv}\,\Big[-\frac{\pi^u\,\pi^v}{\gamma} + \frac{n^u\,n^v}{(1+n)^2}\,\Big(\frac{n_m\,\pi^m}{(1+n)\,\sqrt{\gamma}}\Big)^2\Big] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\,h_{rs}\,\Big[\frac{h_{lm}\,\pi^l\,\pi^m}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\,h^{lm}\,h^{uv}\,F_{lu}\,F_{mv}\Big] + \Big[h^{lm} - \frac{n^l\,n^m}{(1+n)^2}\Big]\,F_{rl}\,F_{sm}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\,\Big(\frac{\Big[\kappa_{i\,r} - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r\Big]\,\Big[\kappa_{i\,s} - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_s\Big]}{\sqrt{m_i^2\,c^2 + h^{uv}\,\Big[\kappa_{iu}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_u\Big]\,\Big[\kappa_{iv}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_v\Big]}}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

then from Eq.(3.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big(\sqrt{-g}\,z_{A\,\mu}\,T^{\tau A}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \Big((1+n)^2\,\sqrt{\gamma}\,T^{\tau\tau}\,l_\mu + (1+n)\,\sqrt{\gamma}\,\Big[T^{\tau r} + T^{\tau\tau}\,n^r\Big]\,z_{r\,\mu}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \Big(\sqrt{\gamma}\,\Big[l_\mu\,T_{\perp\perp} - z_{r\,\mu}\,h^{rs}\,T_{\perp s}\Big]\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

Let us remark that, since all the dependence on the embeddings is in the 4-metric, the Euler-Lagrange equations for the embeddings $z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ associated with the Lagrangian (3.1) are (the symbol '$\overset{\circ}{=}$' means evaluated on the solutions of the equations of motion)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta\,S}{\delta\,z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)} &= \Big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial z^\mu} - \partial_A\,\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial z^\mu_A}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = 2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,\partial_A\,\Big[\sqrt{-g}\,T^{AB}\,z^\nu_B\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \Big(\sqrt{-g}\,z^C_\mu\,g_{CD}\,T^{DA}{}_{;A}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

where $T^{AB}{}_{;B}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is the covariant derivative associated to the 4-metric $g_{AB}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ induced by the admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time.

They may be rewritten in a form valid for every isolated system $\Big(\partial_A\,T^{AB}\,z^\mu_B\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{\circ}{=} -\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\partial_A\,[\sqrt{-g}\,z^\mu_B]\,T^{AB}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)$. When $\partial_A\,[\sqrt{-g}\,z^\mu_B](\tau,\sigma^u) = 0$, as it happens in inertial frames in inertial Cartesian coordinates, we get the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{AB}|_{inertial}$, i.e. $\partial_A\, T^{AB}|_{inertial} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$. Then, after integrating over a 4-volume bounded by a 3-volume V_1 at τ_1, a 3-volume V_2 at $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ and a time-like 3-surface S_{12} joining them and with section S_τ, boundary of a 3-volume V_τ, at τ, we get $\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{V_\tau} d^3\sigma\, T^{A\tau}|_{inertial}(\tau, \sigma^u) = - \int_{S_\tau} d^2\Sigma_B\, T^{AB}|_{inertial}(\tau, \sigma^u)$, namely the time-variation of the 4-momentum contained in V_τ is balanced by the flux of energy-momentum through the boundary S_τ. For infinite volume and suitable boundary conditions we get the conservation of the 4-momentum $P^A = \int_{\Sigma_\tau} d^3\sigma\, T^{A\tau}|_{inertial}(\tau, \sigma^u)$.

Otherwise, in non-inertial frames and also in inertial frames with non-Cartesian coordinates we do not have a real conservation law, but the equation $T^{AB}{}_{;B}(\tau, \sigma^u) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$, which, like in general relativity, could be rewritten as a conservation law $\partial_B\, \Big(T^{AB} + t^{AB}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ involving a coordinate-dependent energy-momentum pseudo-tensor describing the "energy-momentum" of the foliation associated to the 3+1 splitting. Moreover a quantity as $\int_{\Sigma_\tau} d^3\Sigma_B\, T^{AB}|_{non-inertial}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is not a tensor under frame-preserving diffeomorphisms (even when $T^{AB}_{non-inertial}$ transforms correctly as a tensor density), so that it cannot give rise to a well defined coordinate-independent quantity. However, differently from general relativity where the equivalence principle says that global inertial frames do not exist, in Minkowski space-time it is always possible to revert to inertial frames and to find the standard 4-momentum constant of motion, which is a 4-vector under the Poincare' transformations connecting inertial frames.

At the Hamiltonian level from Eqs.(3.2) we obtain the following five primary constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) &\approx 0, \\
\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \rho_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) - l_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, T_{\perp\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) + \\
&+\; z_{r\mu}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, h^{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, T_{\perp s}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0, \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lorentz-scalar primary constraint $\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ is a consequence of the invariance of the action under electro-magnetic gauge transformations.

The canonical Hamiltonian H_c is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_c &= +\sum_{i=1}^{N} \kappa_{ir}(\tau)\, \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma \Big[\frac{1}{c}\, \pi^A\, \partial_\tau\, A_A - \rho_\mu\, z^\mu_\tau - \mathcal{L}\Big](\tau, \sigma^u) = \\
&= \frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma \Big[\partial_r \Big(\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big) - A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big] = -\frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma\, A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

after the elimination of a surface term and the introduction of the quantity

$$
\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \equiv \partial_r\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau)). \tag{3.12}
$$

As a consequence, the Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$
H_D = \int d^3\sigma \Big[\lambda^\mu\, \mathcal{H}_\mu + \mu\, \pi^\tau - \frac{1}{c}\, A_\tau\, \Gamma\Big](\tau, \sigma^u). \tag{3.13}
$$

Here $\lambda^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are the Dirac multipliers associated with the primary constraints.

The requirement that the five primary constraints be τ-independent, i.e. $\{\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u), H_D\} \approx 0$, $\{\mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u), H_D\} \approx 0$, implies only the Gauss' law secondary constraint

$$
\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0. \tag{3.14}
$$

The 6 constraints are all first class, since they satisfy the following Poisson brackets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u), \pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^{'u})\} = \{\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u), \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^{'u})\} = \{\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u), \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^{'u})\} = 0 \\
&\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u), \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau, \sigma^{'u})\} = \frac{1}{c} \Big([l_\mu\, z_{r\nu} - l_\nu\, z_{r\mu}]\, \frac{\pi^r}{\sqrt{\gamma}} - \\
&\quad -z_{u\mu}\, h^{ur}\, F_{rs}\, h^{sv}\, z_{v\nu}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - \sigma^{'u}) \approx 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

The constraints $\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ and $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ are the canonical generators of the electro-magnetic gauge transformations.

Instead the constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ generate the gauge transformations from an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time to another one. These constraints can be replaced with their projections $\mathcal{H}_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) =$

$\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)\, l^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$, tangent and normal to the instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ respectively. Modulo the Gauss law constraint $\Gamma(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$, the new constraints satisfy the universal Dirac algebra of the super-hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints of canonical metric gravity (see the first paper in Refs.[11]). The gauge transformations generated by the constraint $\mathcal{H}_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u)$ change the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ (i.e. the clock synchronization convention), while those generated by the constraints $\mathcal{H}_r(\tau,\sigma^u)$ change the 3-coordinates on Σ_τ.

The Hamilton-Dirac equations are

$$\frac{\partial\, z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\,\tau} \;=\; \Big((1+n)\, l^\mu + n^r\, z^\mu_r\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) \;\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; -\,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\lambda^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} \;&\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; \{A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u), H_D\} = \mu(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\frac{\partial A_r(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} \;&\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; \{A_r(\tau,\sigma^u), H_D\} = -\int d^3\sigma^{'}\, \Big[\Big(\lambda_\mu\, l^\mu\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{A_r(\tau,\sigma^u), T_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} -\\
&-\; \Big(\lambda_\mu\, z^\mu_u\, h^{us}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{A_r(\tau,\sigma^u), T_{\perp s}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} +\\
&+\; \frac{1}{c}\, A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{A_r(\tau,\sigma^u), \Gamma(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\}\Big],\\
\frac{\partial \pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} \;&\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; \{\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u), H_D\} = -\int d^3\sigma^{'}\, \Big[\Big(\lambda_\mu\, l^\mu\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u), T_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} -\\
&-\; \Big(\lambda_\mu\, z^\mu_u\, h^{us}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u), T_{\perp s}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\}\Big],\\
\frac{d\eta^r_i(\tau)}{d\tau} \;&\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; \{\eta^r_i(\tau), H_D\} = -\int d^3\sigma^{'}\, \Big[\Big(\lambda_\mu\, l^{mu}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\eta^r_i(\tau), T_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} -\\
&-\; \Big(\lambda_\mu\, z^\mu_u\, h^{us}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\eta^r_i(\tau), T_{\perp s}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\},\\
\frac{d\kappa_{ir}(\tau)}{d\tau} \;&\stackrel{\circ}{=}\; \{\kappa_{ir}(\tau), H_D\} = -\int d^3\sigma^{'}\, \Big[\Big(\lambda_\mu\, l^{mu}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\kappa_{ir}(\tau), T_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} -\\
&-\; \Big(\lambda_\mu\, z^\mu_u\, h^{us}\, \sqrt{\gamma}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\kappa_{ir}(\tau), T_{\perp s}(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\} +\\
&+\; \frac{1}{c}\, A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\, \{\kappa_{ir}(\tau), \Gamma(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The Grassmann-valued electric charges are constants of the motion, $\frac{dQ_i(\tau)}{d\tau} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$.

Since the embedding variables $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are the only configuration variables with Lorentz indices, the ten conserved generators of the Poincaré transformations are:

$$P^\mu = \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u), \qquad J^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3\sigma (z^\mu \rho^\nu - z^\nu \rho^\mu)(\tau, \sigma^u). \tag{3.17}$$

The determination of the radiation gauge of the electro-magnetic field in non-inertial frames will be done in the next Section.

IV. THE HAMILTONIAN DESCRIPTION OF CHARGED PARTICLES AND THE ELECTRO-MAGNETIC FIELD IN NON-INERTIAL FRAMES

In this Section we study the system of charged positive-energy scalar particles plus the electro-magnetic field in a given admissible non-inertial frame. Then we define the radiation gauge in non-inertial frames.

A. The Hamilton Equations in an Admissible Non-Inertial Frame.

Let us choose an admissible 3+1 splitting of the type (2.1) by adding the gauge fixing constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tau,\sigma^u) &= z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) - z^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0,\\ &\qquad z^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) = x^\mu(\tau) + F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u), \qquad F^\mu(\tau,0)=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

to the first class constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)\approx 0$ of Eqs.(3.10).

From the Hamilton-Dirac equations (3.16) we have that the Dirac multipliers $\lambda^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ in the Dirac Hamiltonian (3.13) take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &\overset{\circ}{=} -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\left(\dot{x}^\mu(\tau) + \frac{\partial\, F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\,\tau}\right) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, z^\mu_{F\,\tau}(\tau,\sigma^u) =\\ &= -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\Big[(1+n_F)\, l^\mu_F + n^r_F\, \partial_r\, F^\mu\Big](\tau,\sigma^u),\\ &\qquad -\lambda_\mu\, l^\mu_F = 1+n_F, \qquad \lambda_\mu\, z^\mu_{F\,s}\, h^{sr}_F = n^r_F.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)\approx 0$ and $\chi(\tau,\sigma^u)\approx 0$ are second class constraints [11], which eliminate the variables $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $\rho_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$. If we go to Dirac brackets, so that these constraints become strongly zero, the Dirac Hamiltonian does not depend any more upon the constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)\approx 0$.

To find the new Dirac Hamiltonian $H_{D\,F}$ at the level of Dirac brackets (still denoted $\{.,.\}$) let us put the Dirac multiplier (4.2) in the Hamilton-Dirac equations (3.16) for all the variables $\mathcal{F} = A_\tau, A_r, \pi^r, \eta^r_i, \kappa_{ir}$ independent from the embeddings and their momenta

---

[11] We assume $\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u_1), \chi(\tau,\sigma^u_2)\}\neq 0$ as a restriction of $F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\,\mathcal{F}(..)}{\partial\,\tau} &\overset{\circ}{=} \{\mathcal{F}(..), H_D\} = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma\,\{\mathcal{F}(..), \Big(\lambda^\mu\,\mathcal{H}_\mu + \mu\,\pi^\tau - \frac{1}{c}\,A_\tau\,\Gamma\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\} = \\
&\overset{\circ}{=} \int d^3\sigma\,\{\mathcal{F}(..), \Big((1+n_F)\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,T_{\perp\perp} + n^r_F\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,T_{\perp r} + \mu\,\pi^\tau - \frac{1}{c}\,A_\tau\,\Gamma\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\} = \\
&\overset{def}{=} \{\mathcal{F}(..), H_{D\,F}\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3}
$$

As a consequence the new Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{D\,F} &= \int d^3\sigma\,\Big((1+n_F)\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,T_{\perp\perp} + n^r_F\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,T_{\perp r} + \mu\,\pi^\tau - \frac{1}{c}\,A_\tau\,\Gamma\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma\,\Big((1+n_F(\tau,\sigma^u))\,\Big[\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,T'_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^u) + \\
&+ \sum_i \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))\,\Big(\sqrt{m_i^2\,c^2 + h^{rs}_F\,\Big(\kappa_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r\Big)\,\Big(\kappa_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_s\Big)}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big] \\
&+ n^r_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\Big[\frac{1}{c}\,F_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\pi^s(\tau,\sigma^u) - \sum_i \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))\,\Big(\kappa_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)\Big] \\
&+ \mu(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\pi^\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) - \frac{1}{c}\,A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\Gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

where the energy-momentum tensor is evaluated at $z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) = z^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big(\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,T'_{\perp\perp}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{2c}\,\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\,h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\pi^s(\tau,\sigma^u) + \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}{2}\,h^{rs}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\,h^{uv}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\,F_{ru}(\tau,\sigma^u)\,F_{sv}(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

The Hamilton-Dirac equations for the particle positions take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) &\overset{\circ}{=} \left(\Big(1+n_F\Big)\,\frac{h^{rs}_F\,\Big(\kappa_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_s\Big)}{\sqrt{m_i^2\,c^2 + h^{uv}_F\,\Big(\kappa_{iu}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_u\Big)\,\Big(\kappa_{iv}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_v\Big)}}\right)(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) - \\
&- n^r_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

which can be inverted to get

$$\kappa_{ir}(\tau) \;=\; \Big(\frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\,m_i c\,\Big(\dot\eta^s_i(\tau)+n^s_F\Big)}{\sqrt{\Big(1+n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\,uv}\,\Big(\dot\eta^u_i(\tau)+n^u_F\Big)\,\Big(\dot\eta^v_i(\tau)+n^v_F\Big)}}\Big)(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) + \\ +\;\frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)). \qquad (4.7)$$

For the particle momenta we get the Hamilton-Dirac equations

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\kappa_{ir}(\tau) \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{Q_i}{c}\,\dot\eta^u_i(\tau)\,\frac{\partial\,A_u(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i} + \frac{Q_i}{c}\,\frac{\partial\,A_\tau(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i} + \mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau) = \Big(\frac{m_i\,c\,\Big[1+n_F\Big]^{-1}}{\sqrt{\Big(1+n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\,uv}\,\Big(\dot\eta^u_i(\tau)+n^u_F\Big)\,\Big(\dot\eta^v_i(\tau)+n^v_F\Big)}}\Big)(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) \\ \Big(\frac{\partial h_{F\,st}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i}\,\Big(\dot\eta^s_i(\tau)+n^s_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\Big)\,\Big(\dot\eta^t_i(\tau)+n^t_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\Big) - \frac{\partial n_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i} + \\ +\;\frac{\partial n^s_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i}\,h_{F\,st}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\,\Big(\dot\eta^t_i(\tau)+n^t_F(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\Big)\;\Big), \qquad (4.8)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau)$ denotes a set of *relativistic inertial forces.*

As a consequence, the second order form of the particle equations of motion implied by Eqs. (4.7) and (4.8) is

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{h_{F\,rs}\;m_i\,c\,\Big(\dot\eta^s_i(\tau)+n^s_F\Big)}{\sqrt{\Big(1+n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\,uv}\,\Big(\dot\eta^u_i(\tau)+n^u_F\Big)\,\Big(\dot\eta^v_i(\tau)+n^v_F\Big)}}\right)(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) \;\overset{\circ}{=} \\ \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{Q_i}{c}\,\Big[\dot\eta^u_i(\tau)\,\Big(\frac{\partial A_u(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i} - \frac{\partial A_r(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^u_i}\Big) + \Big(\frac{\partial A_\tau(\tau,\eta^U_i(\tau))}{\partial\eta^r_i} - \frac{\partial A_r(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\tau}\Big)\Big] + \\ +\;\mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau),$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
&m_i\, c\, \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau) + n^s_F}{\sqrt{\Big(1 + n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\, uv}\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) + n^u_F\Big)\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^v_i(\tau) + n^v_F\Big)}} \right) (\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \overset{\circ}{=} \\
&\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{Q_i}{c}\, h^{sr}_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big[\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau)\, \left(\frac{\partial A_u(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i} - \frac{\partial A_r(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^u_i} \right) + \\
+\, &\left(\frac{\partial A_\tau(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i} - \frac{\partial A_r(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \tau} \right) \Big] + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s_i(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s_i(\tau) = \\
=\, &\Big(\frac{m_i\, c\, \Big(1 + n_F\Big)^{-1}\, h^{sr}_F}{\sqrt{\Big(1 + n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\, uv}\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) + n^u_F\Big)\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^v_i(\tau) + n^v_F\Big)}} \Big) (\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \\
&\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial h_{F\, st}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i}\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau) + n^s_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\Big)\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^t_i(\tau) + n^t_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\Big) - \\
-\, &\frac{\partial n_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i} + \frac{\partial n^s_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i}\, h_{F\, st}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^t_i(\tau) + n^t_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\Big) \Big) - \\
-\, &\Big(\frac{\partial\, h_{F\, ru}}{\partial\, \tau} + \dot{\eta}^v_i(\tau)\, \frac{\partial\, h_{F\, ru}}{\partial\, \eta^v_i} \Big) (\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big(\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) + n^u_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\Big) \Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{ir}(\tau)$ is the form of inertial forces whose non-relativistic limit to rigid non-inertial frames is evaluated in Subsection C.

If, as in Eqs.(2.20), we *define* the non-inertial electric and magnetic fields in the form [12]

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_r\, &\overset{def}{=}\, \left(\frac{\partial A_\tau}{\partial \eta^r_i} - \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \tau} \right) = - F_{\tau r}, \\
B_r\, &\overset{def}{=}\, \frac{1}{2}\, \varepsilon_{ruv}\, F_{uv} = \epsilon_{ruv}\, \partial_u\, A_{\perp\, v}\, \Rightarrow\, F_{uv} = \varepsilon_{uvr}\, B_r,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

---

[12] In the *inertial case* Eqs.(2.19) and (3.2) imply $\pi^s \overset{\circ}{=} -\delta^{sr}\, E^r = -\tilde{E}^s$, so that the components of the energy-momentum tensor are $T_{\tau\tau} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{1}{2c} \Big(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2\Big)$, $T_{\tau r} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{1}{c} \Big(\vec{E} \times \vec{B}\Big)_r$.

the homogeneous Maxwell equations, allowing the introduction of the electro-magnetic potentials, have the standard inertial form $\epsilon_{ruv}\,\partial_u\,B_v = 0$, $\epsilon_{ruv}\,\partial_u\,E_v + \frac{1}{c}\,\frac{\partial B_r}{\partial\tau} = 0$.

Then also Eqs.(4.9) take the standard inertial form plus inertial forces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{h_{F\,rs}\;m_i c\,\Big(\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau) + n^s_F\Big)}{\sqrt{\Big(1 + n_F\Big)^2 - h_{F\,uv}\,\Big(\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) + n^u_F\Big)\,\Big(\dot{\eta}^v_i(\tau) + n^v_F\Big)}}\right)(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \circeq \\
&\circeq\;\frac{Q_i}{c}\,\big[E_r + \epsilon_{ruv}\,\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) B_v\,\big]\,(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) + \mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

The Hamilton-Dirac equations for the electro-magnetic field are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} A_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) &\circeq c\,\mu(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\,A_r(\tau,\sigma^u) &\circeq \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}\,A_\tau + \frac{1 + n_F}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\,h_{F\,rs}\,\pi^s + n^s_F\,F_{sr}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\,\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u) &\circeq \sum_i Q_i\,\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\,\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau)) + \\
&+\;\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\,\Big[(1 + n_F)\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\,h^{rs}_F\,h^{uv}_F\,F_{uv} - (n^s_F\,\pi^r - n^r_F\,\pi^s)\Big]\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Eqs.(4.12) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^s(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -\left[-\frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}{1 + n_F}\,h^{sr}_F\,(F_{\tau r} - n^v_F\,F_{vr})\right](\tau,\sigma^u) =\\
&= -\sqrt{-g_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,g^{\tau A}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\,g^{sB}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\,F_{AB}(\tau,\sigma^u).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

If we introduce the charge density $\bar{\rho}$, the charge current density $\bar{j}^r$ and the total charge $Q_{tot} = \sum_i Q_i$ on Σ_τ

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\rho}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i\,\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau)),\\
\overline{J}^r(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i\,\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\,\delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau)),\\
&\Rightarrow\qquad Q_{tot} = \int d^3\sigma\,\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,\overline{\rho}(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

then the last of Eqs.(4.12) can be rewritten in form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^r}\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) &\approx -\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \overline{\rho}(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
\frac{\partial\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)}{\partial \tau} &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s}\, \Big[\sqrt{-g_F}\, h_F^{sv}\, h_F^{ru}\, F_{vu} - (n_F^s\, \pi^r - n_F^r\, \pi^s)\Big]\, (\tau, \sigma^u) + \\
&+ \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \overline{J}^r(\tau, \sigma^u).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

If we introduce the 4-current density $s^A(\tau, \sigma^u)$

$$\begin{aligned}
s^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}} \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta_i^u(\tau)),\\
s^r(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}} \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i\, \dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau)\, \delta^3(\sigma^u - \eta_i^u(\tau)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and we use (4.13), then Eqs.(4.15) can be rewritten as manifestly covariant equations for the field strengths as in Ref.[25]

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^A}\, \Big[\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, g_F^{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, g_F^{CD}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, F_{BD}(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big] \stackrel{\circ}{=} -\, s^C(\tau, \sigma^u). \tag{4.17}$$

Eqs.(4.17) imply the following continuity equation due to the skew-symmetry of F_{AB}

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^C}\, \Big[\sqrt{-g_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, s^C(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big] \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\, \Big[\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \overline{\rho}(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^r}\, \Big[\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \overline{J}^r(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big] \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0, \tag{4.18}$$

so that consistently we recover $\frac{d}{d\tau}\, Q_{tot} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$.

See Appendix B for the expression of the Landau-Lifschitz non-inertial electro-magnetic fields [17].

B. The Radiation Gauge for the Electro-Magnetic Field in Non-Inertial Frames.

In Appendix C there is a general discussion about the non-covariant decomposition of the vector potential $\vec{A}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and its conjugate momentum $\vec{\pi}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ (the electric field) into longitudinal and transverse parts in absence of matter. Only with this decomposition we can define a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to the two first class constraints generating electro-magnetic gauge transformations and identify the physical degrees of freedom (Dirac observables) of the electro-magnetic field without sources. This method identifies the *radiation gauge* as the natural one from the point of view of constraint theory. Here we extend the construction to the case in which there are charged particles: this will allow us to find the expression of the mutual Coulomb interaction among the charges in non-inertial frames .

As in Eq.(C3) let us introduce the non-covariant flat Laplacian $\Delta=\sum_r\partial_r^2$ in the instantaneous non-Euclidean 3-space Σ_τ. We use the non-covariant notation $\hat{\partial}^r=\delta^{rs}\,\partial_s$ relying on the positive signature of the 3-metric $h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)=-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,g_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)$. Since we have:

$$\Delta\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\sigma^{'\,u})^2}}\right)=\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u}),\qquad or\ \frac{1}{\Delta}\,\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})=-\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\sigma^{'\,u})^2}},\tag{4.19}$$

with $\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})$ the delta function for Σ_τ [13], we can introduce the projectors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}^{rs}(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})&=\delta^{rs}\,\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})-\hat{\partial}^r\,\hat{\partial}^s\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\sigma^{'\,u})^2}}\right)=P^{rs}_\perp(\sigma^u)\,\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u}),\\ P^{rs}_\perp(\sigma^u)&=\delta^{rs}-\frac{\hat{\partial}^r\,\hat{\partial}^s}{\Delta}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

As a consequence the transverse part of the electro-magnetic quantities ($\hat{\partial}^r\,A_{\perp r}=\partial_r\,A_{\perp r}=0$, $\partial_r\,\pi^r_\perp=0$) are

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\perp r}(\tau,\sigma^u)&=\delta_{ru}\int d^3\sigma'\,\mathbf{P}^{rs}(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})\,A_s(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})=\delta_{ru}\,P^{us}_\perp(\sigma^u)\,A_s(\tau,\sigma^u),\\ \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u)&=\sum_s\int d^3\sigma'\,\mathbf{P}^{rs}(\sigma^u,\sigma^{'\,u})\,\pi^s(\tau,\sigma^{'\,u})\ =\sum_s P^{rs}_\perp(\sigma^u)\,\pi^s(\tau,\sigma^u).\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Therefore the Gauss law constraint (3.12) implies the following decomposition of $\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u)$

---

[13] The delta functions are defined in Appendix C after Eq.(C3).

$$\pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u) = \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u) + \hat{\partial}^r \int d^3\sigma' \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\sigma'^u)^2}}\right)\left(\Gamma(\tau,\sigma'^u) - \sum_i Q_i\,\delta^3(\sigma'^u,\eta^u_i(\tau))\right). \tag{4.22}$$

If, following Dirac [26], we introduce the variable canonically conjugate to $\Gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)$ (it describes a Coulomb cloud of longitudinal photons)

$$\eta_{em}(\tau,\sigma^u) \;=\; -\int d^3\sigma' \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\sigma'^u)^2}}\right)\left(\sum_r \hat{\partial}'^r A_r(\tau,\sigma'^u)\right),$$

$$\{\eta_{em}(\tau,\sigma^u),\Gamma(\tau,\sigma'^u)\} = \delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma'^u), \tag{4.23}$$

we have the following non-covariant decomposition of the vector potential

$$A_r(\tau,\sigma^u) = A_{\perp\,r}(\tau,\sigma^u) - \partial_r\,\eta_{em}(\tau,\sigma^u). \tag{4.24}$$

If we introduce the following new Coulomb-dressed momenta for the particles

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) \;&=\; \kappa_{ir}(\tau) + \frac{Q_i}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta^r_i}\,\eta_{em}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)),\\ \Rightarrow\qquad \kappa_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_r(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau)) &= \check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\,A_{\perp\,r}(\tau,\eta^u_i(\tau))\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

we arrive at the following non-covariant Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation in non-inertial frames

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline A_r(\tau,\sigma^u) & \eta^r_i(\tau) \\ \pi^r(\tau,\sigma^u) & \kappa_{ir}(\tau) \\ \hline\end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{|cc|c|}\hline A_{\perp\,r}(\tau,\sigma^u) & \eta_{em}(\tau,\sigma^u) & \eta^r_i(\tau) \\ \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u) & \Gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)\approx 0 & \check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) \\ \hline\end{array},$$

$$\{A_{\perp r}(\tau,\sigma^u),\pi^s_\perp(\tau,\sigma'^u)\} = c\,\mathbf{P}^{rs}(\sigma^u,\sigma'^u) = c\,P^{rs}_\perp(\sigma^u)\,\delta^3(\sigma^u,\sigma'^u),$$

$$\{\eta^r_i(\tau),\check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau)\} = \delta^r_s\,\delta_{ij}. \tag{4.26}$$

The electromagnetic part of the hamiltonian (4.4) can be expressed in terms of the new canonical variables, since we have:

$$\int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, \Big[(1+n_F)\, T'_{\perp\perp} + \frac{n^r_F}{c}\, F_{rs}\, \pi^s\Big] (\tau,\sigma^u) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{c}\, \mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) + \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)} \Big[(1+n_F)\, \check{T}_{\perp\perp} + n^r_F\, \check{T}_{\perp r}\Big] (\tau,\sigma^u) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma\, a_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \Gamma(\tau,\sigma^u) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^2), \tag{4.27}$$

where the energy-momentum tensor has the form

$$\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, \check{T}_{\perp\perp}(\tau,\sigma^u) = + \frac{h_{F\, rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)}{2\, c\, \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \pi^s_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u) +$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}{4\, c}\, h^{rs}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\, h^{uv}_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\, F_{ru}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, F_{sv}(\tau,\sigma^u),$$

$$\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, \check{T}_{\perp r}(\tau,\sigma^u) = \frac{1}{c}\, F_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \pi^s_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u). \tag{4.28}$$

In Eq.(4.27) we have introduced the potentials ($F_{rs} = \partial_r\, A_{\perp s} - \partial_s\, A_{\perp r}$)

$$\mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) =$$

$$= + \int d^3\sigma\, \frac{h_{F\, rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \Big(1 + n_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)}{2\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, \Bigg(2\, \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\sigma^u) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r} \frac{Q_i}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))^2}}\Bigg)$$

$$\Bigg(\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s} \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\Bigg) +$$

$$+ n^r_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\, F_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \Bigg(\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s} \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\Bigg), \tag{4.29}$$

and the function

$$a_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) = \int d^3\sigma'\, \frac{1}{4\pi\, \sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \sigma'^{\,u})^2}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma'^r} \Big[n^s_F(\tau,\sigma'^{\,u})\, F_{sr}(\tau,\sigma'^{\,u}) +$$

$$+ \frac{(1 + n_F(\tau,\sigma'^{\,u}))\, h_{F\, rs}(\tau,\sigma'\,)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma'^{\,u})}}\, \Bigg(\pi^s_\perp(\tau,\sigma'^{\,u}) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma'^s} \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\Bigg)\Big]. \tag{4.30}$$

Then, the Dirac Hamiltonian (4.4) has the following form in the new variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{DF} \, = & \sum_i \Big(1 + n_F(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau))\Big) \times \\
& \times \sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + h_F^{rs}(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau))\, (\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau)))\, (\check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, s}(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau)))} - \\
- & \sum_i n_F^r(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau))\, (\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \eta_i^u(\tau))) + \\
+ & \frac{1}{c}\, \mathcal{W}(\eta_1^u(\tau), ..., \eta_N^u(\tau)) + \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)} \Big[(1 + n_F)\, \check{T}_{\perp\perp} + n_F^r\, \check{T}_{\perp r}\Big](\tau, \sigma^u) \\
+ & \int d^3\sigma\, \mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) - \frac{1}{c}\, \Big(A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) - a_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big)\, \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.31}
$$

In Eq.(4.31) we can discard the term quadratic in the constraint $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, because it is strongly zero according to constraint theory: it does never contribute to the dynamics on the constraint sub-manifold (the only relevant region of phase space for constrained systems).

To get the non-covariant radiation gauge we add the gauge fixing

$$
\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0, \tag{4.32}
$$

implying $A_r \approx A_{\perp r}$ due to Eq.(4.26). The τ-constancy, $\frac{\partial \eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u)}{\partial \tau} \approx 0$, of this gauge fixing, together with the Gauss law constraint $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, implies the secondary gauge fixing

$$
A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) - a_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0, \tag{4.33}
$$

so that we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx & \int d^3\sigma'\, \frac{1}{4\pi\, \sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u)^2}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial {\sigma'}^r}\, \Big[n_F^s(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)\, F_{sr}(\tau, {\sigma'}^u) + \\
+ & \frac{\Big(1 + n_F(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)\Big)\, h_{F\, rs}(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)}}\, \Bigg(\pi_\perp^s(\tau, {\sigma'}^u) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial {\sigma'}^s}\, \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u ({\sigma'}^u - \eta_j^u(\tau))^2}}\Bigg)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.34}
$$

Therefore, in the radiation gauge the magnetic field of Eqs.(2.19) is transverse: $B_r = \epsilon_{ruv}\, \partial_u\, A_{\perp\, v}$. But the electric field $E_r = -F_{\tau r} = -\partial_\tau\, A_{\perp\, r} + \partial_r\, A_\tau$ is not transverse: it has

$E_{\perp\, r} = - \partial_\tau\, A_{\perp\, r}$ as a transverse component. Instead the transverse quantity is $\pi^r_{\perp}$, which coincides with $\delta^{rs}\, E_{\perp\, s}$ only in inertial frames, and whose expression in terms of the electric and magnetic fields, determined by Eqs.(4.22) and (3.2), is $\pi^r_{\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big[\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{1+n}\, h^{rs}\, (E_s - \epsilon_{suv}\, n^u\, B_v) \Big](\tau, \sigma^u) + \hat{\partial}^r\, \Big(\sum_i \frac{Q_i}{4\pi\, \sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))^2}} \Big)$.

The final form of the Dirac Hamiltonian in the radiation gauge (after the elimination of the variables η_{em}, Γ, A_τ, π^τ by going to Dirac brackets) is

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{D F} &= \sum_i \Big(1 + n_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \Big) \times \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + h^{rs}_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, (\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)))\, (\check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, s}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)))} - \\
&- \sum_i n^r_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, (\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{c}\, \mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) + \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)} \Big[(1 + n_F)\, \check{T}_{\perp\perp} + n^r_F\, \check{T}_{\perp r} \Big](\tau, \sigma^u) \qquad (4.35)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\check{T}_{AB}$ is given in Eq.(4.28). In H_{DF} the components of $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are *the inertial potentials giving rise to the relativistic inertial forces.*

The Hamilton-Dirac equations for the particles are ($\mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau)$ is defined in Eq.(4.8))

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) &\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{\Big(1 + n_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \Big)\, h^{rs}_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big(\check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, s}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \Big)}{\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + h^{uv}_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))\, \Big(\check{\kappa}_{iu}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, u}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \Big)\, \Big(\check{\kappa}_{iv}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, v}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) \Big)}} - \\
&- n^r_F(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)), \\
\frac{d}{d\tau} \check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) &\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau)\, \frac{\partial\, A_{\perp\, u}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial\, \eta^r_i} - \frac{1}{c}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^r_i} \mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) + \mathcal{F}_{ir}(\tau). \qquad (4.36)
\end{aligned}$$

In the second half of Eqs.(4.36) the sum of the inertial 2-body Coulomb potentials is replaced by the non-inertial N-body potential $\mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau))$ of Eq.(4.29), which can be shown to have the following property due to Eq.(4.30)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial \eta^r_i} = - Q_i\, \Big(\frac{\partial a_\tau}{\partial \sigma^r} \Big)_{\sigma^u = \eta^u_i} \approx - Q_i\, \Big(\frac{\partial A_\tau}{\partial \sigma^r} \Big)_{\sigma^u = \eta^u_i}. \qquad (4.37)$$

In the radiation gauge the electric field of Eq.(2.19) is $E_r \approx - \partial_\tau\, A_{\perp r} + \partial_r\, A_\tau$. Consistently with Eq.(4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_i\, E_r(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) &= -Q_i \frac{\partial A_{\perp r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \tau} + Q_i \left(\frac{\partial A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)}{\partial \sigma^r} \right)_{\sigma^u = \eta^u_i} \approx \\
&\approx -Q_i \frac{\partial A_{\perp r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau))}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i} = \\
&= Q_i\, E_{\perp r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau))}{\partial \eta^r_i}. \qquad (4.38)
\end{aligned}$$

The first of Eqs.(4.36) can be inverted to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) &= \Big(\frac{h_{F\,rs}\, m_i c \left(\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau) + n^s_F \right)}{\sqrt{\left(1 + n_F\right)^2 - h_{F\,uv} \left(\dot{\eta}^u_i(\tau) + n^u_F \right) \left(\dot{\eta}^v_i(\tau) + n^v_F \right)}} \Big)(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ \frac{Q_i}{c}\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \eta^u_i(\tau)). \qquad (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

See the next Subsection for its expression in a nearly non-relativistic frame.

In the general case to evaluate the integral in Eq.(4.39) we must regularize the function $t^{rs}(\sigma^u) = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_u (\sigma^u)^2\right)^3 /2} \left(\delta^{rs} - 3\, \frac{\sigma^r\, \sigma^s}{\left(\sum_u (\sigma^u)^2\right)} \right)$, which is singular at $\sigma^u = 0$. By considering it as a distribution, we must give a prescription to define the integral $\int d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u)$, where $f(\sigma^u)$ is a test function. Following Ref. [27], we consider the sphere $\mathcal{S}_R$ centered in the origin and defined by the relation $\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u)^2} < R$ and the space Ω_R external to it of the points such that $\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u)^2} \geq R$. The integral is written in the form

$$\int d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u) = \int_{\mathcal{S}_R} d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u) + \int_{\Omega_R} d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u). \qquad (4.40)$$

The first term, containing the singularity, can be shown to have the expression

$$\lim_{R\rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{S}_R} d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u) = \frac{4\pi}{3}\, \delta^{rs}\, f(0). \qquad (4.41)$$

Regarding the second term in Eq.(4.40) we can define a distribution $\overline{t}^{rs}(\sigma^u)$ such that the following integral

$$\lim_{R\rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_R} d^3\sigma\, t^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u) = \int d^3\sigma\, \overline{t}^{rs}(\sigma^u)\, f(\sigma^u) \qquad (4.42)$$

has no singularity in the origin. As a consequence we get

$$t^{rs}(\sigma^u) = \frac{4\pi}{3}\,\delta^{rs}\,\delta^3(\sigma^u) + \overline{t}^{rs}(\sigma^u). \tag{4.43}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) = \\
&= \sum_{i\neq j} \int d^3\sigma\, \frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\Big(1+n_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)}{2\,\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}} \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}\,\frac{Q_i}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_i(\tau))^2}}\right)\,\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\,\frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\right) + \\
&+ \int d^3\sigma\,\left[\frac{h_{F\,rs}\Big(1+n_F\Big)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\,\pi^r_\perp + n^r_F\,F_{rs}\right](\tau,\sigma^u)\,\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\sum_j\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\,\frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

After some integrations by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) = \\
&= \sum_{i\neq j} \int d^3\sigma\, \frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\Big(1+n_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)}{2\,\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\,\left(\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\,\frac{Q_i\,Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_i(\tau))^2}}\right)\,t^{rs}(\sigma^u-\eta^u_j(\tau)) - \\
&+ \sum_{i\neq j} \int d^3\sigma\, \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\,\left(\frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)\,\Big(1+n_F(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)}{2\,\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\right) \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{Q_i\,Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_i(\tau))^2}}\right)\,\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\frac{\sigma^r-\eta^r_j(\tau)}{\Big(\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_j(\tau))^2\Big)^3/2}\right) - \\
&- \int d^3\sigma\,\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\sum_j\,\frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u-\eta^u_j(\tau))^2}}\right)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\,\left[\frac{h_{F\,rs}\Big(1+n_F\Big)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\,\pi^r_\perp + n^r_F\,F_{rs}\right](\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

and then we can get the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{W}(\eta^u_1(\tau), ..., \eta^u_N(\tau)) = \\
&= \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{1}{12\pi} \sum_r \left(\frac{h_{F\,rr}(\tau, \eta^u_j(\tau)) \left(1 + n_F(\tau, \eta^u_j(\tau))\right)}{2\, \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \eta^u_j(\tau))}} \right) \frac{Q_i\, Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\eta^u_j(\tau) - \eta^u_i(\tau))^2}} + \\
&+ \sum_{i\neq j} \int d^3\sigma \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{Q_i\, Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_i(\tau))^2}} \right) \Big[\frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \left(1 + n_F(\tau, \sigma^u)\right)}{2\, \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}} \overline{t}^{rs}(\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau)) - \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\sigma^r - \eta^r_j(\tau)}{\left(\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau))^2 \right)^3/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} \left(\frac{h_{F\,rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \left(1 + n_F(\tau, \sigma^u)\right)}{2\, \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}} \right) \Big] - \\
&- \int d^3\sigma \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{\sum_u (\sigma^u - \eta^u_j(\tau))^2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s} \left[\frac{h_{F\,rs} \left(1 + n_F\right)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}} \pi^r_\perp + n^r_F\, F_{rs} \right] (\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.46}
$$

which cab be checked to be explicitly symmetric in the exchange of $\vec{\eta}_i$ with $\vec{\eta}_j$.

Finally the Hamilton equations for the transverse electro-magnetic fields $A_{\perp r}$ and $\pi^r_\perp$ in the radiation gauge implied by the Dirac Hamiltonian (4.35) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\overset{\circ}{=} \{A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), H_{DF}\} = \\
&= \delta_{rn}\, P^{nu}_\perp(\vec{\sigma}) \Big[\frac{(1 + n)\, {}^3e_{(a)u}\, {}^3e_{(a)v}}{{}^3e} \left(\pi^v_\perp - \delta^{vm} \sum_i Q_i\, \eta_i \frac{\partial\, c(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\partial\, \sigma^m} \right) + \\
&+ \bar{n}_{(a)}\, {}^3e^v_{(a)}\, F_{vu} \Big] (\tau, \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau\, \pi^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\overset{\circ}{=} \{\pi^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}), H_{DF}\} = \\
&= P^{rn}_\perp(\vec{\sigma})\, \delta_{nm} \Big(\sum_i \eta_i\, Q_i\, \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\, {}^3e^m_{(a)}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \\
&\quad \Big[\frac{(1 + n)\, {}^3e^s_{(a)}\, \check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau)}{\sqrt{m^2_i\, c^2 + {}^3e^r_{(a)} \left(\check{\kappa}_{ir}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c} A_{\perp\, r} \right) {}^3e^s_{(a)} \left(\check{\kappa}_{is}(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c} A_{\perp\, s} \right)}} - \\
&- \bar{n}_{(a)} \Big] (\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ \Big[(1+n)\Big({}^3e\,{}^3e^s_{(a)}\,{}^3e^v_{(b)}\,({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,{}^3e^m_{(b)} - {}^3e^m_{(a)}\,{}^3e^r_{(b)})\,\partial_r\,F_{sv} + \\
&+\ \partial_r\Big[{}^3e\,{}^3e^s_{(a)}\,{}^3e^v_{(b)}\,({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,{}^3e^m_{(b)} - {}^3e^m_{(a)}\,{}^3e^r_{(b)})\Big]\,F_{sv}\Big) + \\
&+\ \partial_r\,n\,{}^3e\,{}^3e^s_{(a)}\,{}^3e^v_{(b)}\,({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,{}^3e^m_{(b)} - {}^3e^m_{(a)}\,{}^3e^r_{(b)})\,F_{sv} + \\
&+\ \bar{n}_{(a)}\Big({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,\partial_r\,\pi^m_\perp + \partial_r\,{}^3e^r_{(a)}\,\pi^m_\perp - \partial_r\,{}^3e^m_{(a)}\,\pi^r_\perp + \\
&+\ (\partial_r\,{}^3e^r_{(a)}\,\delta^{mt} - \partial_r\,{}^3e^m_{(a)}\,\delta^{rt})\,\sum_i \eta_i\,Q_i\,\frac{\partial\,c(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)))}{\partial\,\sigma^t} + \\
&+\ ({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,\delta^{mt} - {}^3e^m_{(a)}\,\delta^{rt})\,\sum_i \eta_i\,Q_i\,\frac{\partial^2\,c(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)))}{\partial\,\sigma^t\,\partial\,\sigma^r}\Big) + \\
&+\ \partial_r\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,({}^3e^r_{(a)}\,\delta^{mt} - {}^3e^m_{(a)}\,\delta^{rt})\,\sum_i \eta_i\,Q_i\,\frac{\partial\,c(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)))}{\partial\,\sigma^t}\Big](\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.47}
$$

Here $c(\sigma^u,{\sigma'}^u) = \frac{1}{4\pi\,\sqrt{\sum_u(\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u)^2}}$ and, following the general relativity notation of Ref.[12], the metric has been expressed in terms of triads ${}^3e^r_{(a)}$ and cotriads ${}^3e_{(a)r}$ on Σ_τ as in Eq.(2.10): $h_{F\,rs} = \sum_a {}^3e_{(a)r}\,{}^3e_{(a)s}$, $h^{rs}_F = \sum_a {}^3e^r_{(a)}\,{}^3e^s_{(a)}$, $\gamma_F = {}^3e$. The shift functions of Eq.(2.4) are replaced by $\bar{n}_{(a)} = n^r\,{}^3e_{(a)r}$.

C. On the Non-Relativistic Limit

Let us consider the nearly non-relativistic limit of the embedding (2.10) given in Eqs.(2.16). It can be done either before or after the choice of the radiation gauge.

Since we have $h_{rs} = \delta_{rs} + O(c^{-2})$, we can use the vector notation of the inertial frames for the 3-vectors: $\vec{V} = \{V_r = \tilde{V}^r\}$ (since $g_{\tau\tau} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\Big(1 - \sum_r (n^r_F)^2\Big) + O(c^{-2}) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + O(c^{-2})$, we still have $V^r = g^{rA}\,V_A \not= \tilde{V}^r$ for 4-vectors V_A). Therefore we have $\vec{\check{\kappa}}_i = \{\check{\kappa}^r_i\} \overset{def}{=} \{\check{\kappa}_{ir}\}$, $\vec{E} = \{E_r = \tilde{E}^r\} + O(c^{-2})$, $\vec{B} = \{B_r = \tilde{B}^r\} + O(c^{-2})$, but $\vec{A}_\perp = \{A_{\perp r} = \tilde{A}^r_\perp \not= A^r_\perp\} + O(c^{-2})$.

In these rigidly-rotating non-inertial frames the equations of motion (4.9) takes the form (the Newtonian functions are $\tilde{f}(t) = f(\tau = c\,t)$; $\vec{\Omega}(c\,t)$ has the components $\tilde{\Omega}(c\,t)$ defined after Eq. (2.15))

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_i\,\frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt}\ +\ \vec{v}(c\,t) + \vec{\Omega}(c\,t)\times\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)\Big]\ &\overset{\circ}{=}\ Q_i\,\Big[\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c}\,\frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt}\times\vec{B}\Big]\,(c\,t,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)) + \\
&+\ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_i(c\,t), \\
\vec{\mathcal{F}}_i(c\,t)\ &=\ -m_i\,\vec{\Omega}(c\,t)\times\Big[\frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt} + \vec{v}(c\,t) + \vec{\Omega}(c\,t)\times\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.48}
$$

As a consequence the final form of the equations of motion of the particles is

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_i \frac{d^2 \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt^2} &\overset{\circ}{=} +Q_i \left[\vec{E} + \frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt} \times \vec{B} \right] (c\,t, \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)) + \vec{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(in)}(c\,t), \\
\vec{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(in)}(c\,t) &= \vec{\mathcal{F}}_i(c\,t) + m_i \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\vec{v}(c\,t) + \vec{\Omega}(c\,t) \times \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t) \Big) = \\
&= -m_i \Big[\vec{\Omega}(c\,t) \times \Big(\vec{\Omega}(c\,t) \times \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t) \Big) + 2\,\vec{\Omega}(c\,t) \times \frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt} + \frac{d\,\vec{\Omega}(c\,t)}{dt} \times \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t) + \\
&+ \frac{d\,\vec{v}(c\,t)}{dt} + \vec{\Omega}(c\,t) \times \vec{v}(c\,t) \Big], \qquad (4.49)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\vec{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(in)}(\tau)$ is the sum of all the inertial forces (centrifugal, Coriolis, Jacobi, the two pieces of the linear acceleration) present in Newtonian rigid non-inertial frames.

The equations of motion (4.36), (4.29) of the particles in the radiation gauge become

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_i \frac{d^2 \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt^2} &\overset{\circ}{=} -\frac{\partial}{\partial\,\vec{\eta}_i} \mathcal{W}(\vec{\eta}_1(\tau), ..., \vec{\eta}_N(\tau)) + Q_i \left[-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}_\perp}{\partial\,t} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{d\,\vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)}{dt} \times \vec{B} \right] (c\,t, \vec{\eta}_i(c\,t)) + \\
&+ \vec{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(in)}(c\,t), \qquad (4.50)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the non-inertial Coulomb potential takes the form ($\tau = ct$)[14]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{W}(\vec{\eta}_1(\tau), ..., \vec{\eta}_N(\tau)) = \\
&= +\sum_{i>j} \frac{Q_i\,Q_j}{4\pi\ \mid \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau) \mid} - \sum_i \frac{Q_i}{c} \Big[\vec{v}(\tau) \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \vec{\Omega}(\tau) \times \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \Big]. \\
&\qquad (4.51)
\end{aligned}
$$

finally the Hamiltonian (4.35) becomes

---

[14] In this case from Eq.(4.30) we get

$$
a_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = -\left[\sum_k \frac{Q_k}{4\pi \mid \vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_k \mid} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \right],
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{H}_R \,&=\, \sum_i \sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \Big(\check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\Big)^2} + \sum_{i>j} \frac{Q_i\, Q_j}{4\pi\, c\, \mid \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau) \mid} + \\
&+\, \frac{1}{2c} \int d^3\sigma \, \Big(\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \cdot \Big[\Delta\, \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\Big] \Big) + \\
&-\, \frac{\vec{v}(\tau)}{c} \cdot \Bigg[\sum_i \check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) - \frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma\, \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \times (\vec{\partial} \times \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})) \Bigg] + \\
&-\, \frac{\vec{\Omega}(\tau)}{c} \cdot \Bigg[\sum_i \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) \times \check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\mathcal{J}}(\tau) \Bigg], \\
\vec{\mathcal{J}}(\tau) \,&=\, -\frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma \sum_r \pi^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \Big(\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{\partial} \Big)\, \tilde{A}^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) - \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.52}
$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{J}}(\tau)$ is the total angular momentum of the electro-magnetic field.

It can be checked that this Hamiltonian generates the previous limit of the equations of motion of the particles. In particular the first set of Hamilton equations is

$$
\frac{1}{c}\, \frac{d\, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)}{dt} = \frac{\check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \Big(\check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\Big)^2}} - \frac{\vec{v}(\tau)}{c} - \frac{\vec{\Omega}(\tau)}{c} \times \vec{\eta}_i(\tau). \tag{4.53}
$$

V. THE INSTANT FORM OF DYNAMICS IN NON-INERTIAL FRAMES AND IN THE INERTIAL AND NON-INERTIAL REST FRAMES.

In this Section we study the problem of the separation of the relativistic non-covariant canonical 4-center of mass of an isolated system from the relative variables describing its dynamics. We first recall how this problem is solved in the inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics [1, 3, 4, 5, 8]. As said in the Introduction the isolated system is described as a decoupled pseudo-particle (described by the non-covariant canonical variables $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{h}$) carrying a pole-dipole structure given by its invariant mass and its rest spin. On each instantaneous Wigner 3-space, centered on the inertial observer corresponding to the Fokker-Pryce 4-center of inertia, these quantities are functions of the relative variables of the isolated system after the elimination of the internal 3-center of mass. The double counting of the center of mass is avoided by the presence of three pairs of second class constraints: the rest-frame conditions, i.e. the vanishing of the internal 3-momentum, and the vanishing of the internal boosts.

In Subsection A we will show how to get these conditions in the inertial rest frames starting from the embeddings (1.1), from the determination (3.8) of their conjugate momenta and from the Poincare' generators (3.17).

In Subsection B we will extend this construction to determine the three pairs of second class constraints in an arbitrary admissible non-inertial frame described by the embeddings (2.1) and centered on an arbitrary time-like observer. Again the isolated system can be visualized as a pole-dipole carried by the external decoupled center of mass.

In Subsection C we will define the special family of the *non-inertial rest-frames*, centered on the inertial Fokker-Pryce 4-center of inertia, and the associated *non-inertial rest-frame instant form.* They are relevant because are the only global non-inertial frames allowed by the equivalence principle (forbidding the existence of global inertial frames) in canonical metric and tetrad gravity in globally hyperbolic, asymptotically flat (asymptotically Minkowskian) space-times without super-translations, so to have the asymptotic ADM Poincare' group [11]. Also in this case we identify the three pairs of second class constraints eliminating the internal 3-center of mass, visualizing the isolated system as a pole-dipole and allowing to describe the dynamics on the instantaneous (non-Euclidean) 3-spaces only in terms of relative variables. Then in Subsection D we show how the Hamiltonian description of Section IV has to be modified if we take this point of view in the description of the isolated system. We also delineate the analogue of this procedure for the general case of Subsection B.

A. The Inertial Rest-Frame Instant Form

As said in the Introduction every configuration of an isolated system, with associated finite Poincare' generators P^μ, $J^{\mu\nu}$, identifies a unique inertial frame in an intrinsic way: the *inertial rest frame* whose Euclidean instantaneous 3-spaces (the Wigner 3-spaces) are orthogonal to the conserved 4-momentum P^μ of the configuration. The embedding corresponding to the inertial rest frame, centered on the Fokker-Pryce center of inertia, is given in Eq.(1.1)

The generators of the external realization of the Poincare' algebra are (following footnote 10 we use only ϵ_{ijk}; M and $\vec{\bar{S}}$ have vanishing Poisson brackets with $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{h}$ and we have $\{\bar{S}^i, \bar{S}^j\} = \delta^{im}\, \delta^{jn}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, \bar{S}^k$)

$$\begin{aligned} P^o &= Mc\, h^\mu, \qquad h^\mu = \Big(\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}; \vec{h}\Big),\\ J^i &= \delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, \Big(z^n\, h^k + \bar{S}^k\Big), \qquad K^i = -\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}\, z^i + \frac{\delta^{in}\, \epsilon_{njk}\, \bar{S}^j\, h^k}{1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

while those of the unfaithful internal realization of the Poincare' algebra determined by the energy-momentum tensor (in inertial frames Eqs.(3.8) imply $T_{\perp\perp} = T^{\tau\tau}$ and $T_{\perp r} = \delta_{rs}\, T^{\tau s}$) are

$$\begin{aligned} Mc &= \int d^3\sigma\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u), \qquad \bar{S}^r = \frac{1}{2}\, \delta^{rs}\, \epsilon_{suv} \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^u\, T^{\tau v}(\tau, \sigma^u),\\ \mathcal{P}^r &= \int d^3\sigma\, T^{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0, \qquad \mathcal{K}^r = -\int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^r\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The constraints $\vec{\mathcal{P}} \approx 0$ are the rest-frame conditions identifying the inertial rest frame. Having chosen the Fokker-Pryce center of inertia as origin of the 3-coordinates, the (*interaction-dependent*) constraints $\vec{\mathcal{K}} \approx 0$ are their gauge fixing: they eliminate the internal 3-center of mass so not to have a double counting (external, internal). Therefore the isolated system is described by the external non-covariant 3-center of mass $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, and by an *internal space* of Wigner-covariant relative variables (M and $\vec{\bar{S}}$ depend only upon them).

Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2) are obtained in the following way. If we put the embedding (1.1), namely $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = Y^\mu(0) + h^\mu\, \tau + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \sigma^r = Y^\mu(0) + \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \sigma^a$, in Eq.(3.8), we get $\rho^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx$

$h^\mu\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, T^{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma^u) \,=\, \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, T^{A\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)$. Then the first of Eqs.(3.17) implies $P^\mu = Mc\, h^\mu$ if $Mc = \int d^3\sigma\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $\mathcal{P}^r = \int d^3\sigma\, T^{\tau r}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$.

The second of Eqs.(3.17) gives $J^{\mu\nu} \,=\, \Big(Y^\mu(o)\, \epsilon^\nu_A(\vec{h}) - Y^\nu(0)\, \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\Big) \int d^3\sigma\, T^{A\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h})\, S^{AB}$ with $S^{AB} \,=\, \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(\sigma^A\, T^{B\tau} - \sigma^B\, T^{A\tau}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)$. By using $\mathcal{P}^r \approx 0$ we get $J^{\mu\nu} \,\approx\, Mc\, \Big(Y^\mu(0)\, h^\nu - Y^\nu(0)\, h^\mu\Big) + \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h})\, S^{AB}$ with $S^{\tau r} \,\approx\, \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^r\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $S^{rs} \,=\, \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(\sigma^r\, T^{s\tau} - \sigma^s\, T^{r\tau}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)$. Then, by using the expression of the Fokker-Pryce 4-center of inertia given in Eq.(2.20) of Ref.[8], i.e. $Y^\mu(\tau) \,=\, Y^\mu(0) + h^\mu\, \tau$ with $Y^\mu(0) \,=\, \Big(\sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, \frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{z}}{Mc};\; \frac{\vec{z}}{Mc} + \frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{z}}{Mc}\, \vec{h} + \frac{\vec{V}_S}{Mc\,(1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2})}\Big)$, as a function of τ, $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, Mc and of $\vec{\bar{S}}$, and the expression of $\epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})$ given after Eq.(1.1), we get:

a) $J^{ij} = z^i\, h^j - z^j\, h^i + \delta^{ir}\, \delta^{js}\, \epsilon_{rsk}\, \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^r\, T^{s\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)$, which coincides with Eq.(5.1) if $\vec{\bar{S}}$ has the expression given in Eq.(5.2);

b) $J^{oi} = -\sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, z^i + \delta^{in}\, \epsilon_{njk}\, \bar{S}^j\, h^k + \epsilon^o_\tau(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^i_r(\vec{h})\, S^{\tau r}$, which coincides with Eq.(5.1) if $\mathcal{K}^r = -S^{\tau r} \approx 0$ as in Eqs.(5.2).

Therefore we have $S^{AB} \approx (\delta^A_r\, \delta^B_\tau - \delta^A_\tau\, \delta^B_r)\, \mathcal{K}^r + \delta^{Ar}\, \delta^{Bs}\, \epsilon_{rsk}\, \bar{S}^k \approx \delta^{Ar}\, \delta^{Bs}\, \epsilon_{rsk}\, \bar{S}^k$.

As shown in Ref.[8], the restriction of the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ to the Wigner 3-spaces (1.1) implies the replacement of the Dirac Hamiltonian (3.13) with the new one

$$H_{DW} = Mc + \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(\mu\, \pi^\tau - A_\tau\, \Gamma\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u). \qquad (5.3)$$

Therefore, consistently with Eqs.(5.2), the effective Hamiltonian is the invariant mass of the isolated system, whose conserved rest spin is $\vec{\bar{S}}$. As already said, the three pairs of second class constraints $\vec{\mathcal{P}} \approx 0$, $\vec{\mathcal{K}} \approx 0$, eliminate the internal 3-center of mass.

As shown in Refs.[8, 9], in the rest-frame instant form it is possible to restrict the description of N charged positive-energy particles plus the electro-magnetic field to the radiation gauge (see next Section for the non-inertial case), where all the electro-magnetic quantities are transverse. The mutual Coulomb interaction among the particles appears in this gauge, the Hamiltonian (5.3) reduces to Mc and we get the following form of the internal Poincare' generators (5.2) [15]

---

[15] In this equation we use the notation $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ for the Coulomb-dressed momenta $\check{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) = \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - \frac{\partial\, \eta_{em}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\partial\, \vec{\eta}_i}$ belonging to the Shanmugadhasan canonical basis defined in Eqs.(4.26).

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{E}_{(int)} &=& \mathcal{P}^{\tau}_{(int)}\, c = M\, c^2 = c \int d^3\sigma\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&=& c \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \Big(\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \vec{A}_{\perp}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\Big)^2} + \\
&+& \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i\, Q_j}{4\pi\, \mid \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau) \mid} + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma\, [\vec{\pi}_{\perp}^2 + \vec{B}^2](\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&& \\
&=& c \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big(\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \frac{\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) \cdot \vec{A}_{\perp}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}\Big) + \\
&+& \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i\, Q_j}{4\pi\, \mid \vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau) \mid} + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma\, [\vec{\pi}_{\perp}^2 + \vec{B}^2](\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\
&& \\
\vec{\mathcal{P}}_{(int)} &=& \int d^3\sigma\, T^{r\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) + \frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma\, [\vec{\pi}_{\perp} \times \vec{B}](\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0, \\
\mathcal{J}^r_{(int)} &=& \bar{S}^r = \frac{1}{2}\, \delta^{rs}\, \epsilon_{suv} \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^u\, T^{v\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&=& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) \times \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)\Big)^r + \frac{1}{c} \int d^3\sigma (\vec{\sigma} \times \Big([\vec{\pi}_{\perp}\, \times \vec{B}]\Big)^r (\tau, \vec{\sigma}), \\
&& \\
\mathcal{K}^r_{(int)} &=& \bar{S}^{\tau r} = -\bar{S}^{r\tau} = -\int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^r\, T^{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&=& -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^r(\tau) \Big(\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} - \frac{Q_i}{c}\, \frac{\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) \cdot \vec{A}_{\perp}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}{\sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 +\ \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}\Big) + \\
&+& \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^{1..N} Q_i\, Q_j\, \Big[\int d^3\sigma\, \frac{1}{4\pi\, |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\, \frac{1}{4\pi\, |\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)|} + \\
&+& \frac{\eta_j^r(\tau)}{4\pi\, |\vec{\eta}_i(\tau) - \vec{\eta}_j(\tau)|}\Big] - \\
&-& \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i \int d^3\sigma\, \frac{\pi_{\perp}^r(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{4\pi\, |\vec{\sigma} -\ \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)|} - \frac{1}{2c} \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^r\, (\vec{\pi}_{\perp}^2 + \vec{B}^2)(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \approx 0. \qquad (5.4)
\end{array}
$$

Note that, as required by the Poincare' algebra in an instant form of dynamics, there are interaction terms both in the internal energy and in the internal Lorentz boosts, but not in the 3-momentum and in the angular momentum.

As shown in Ref.[8], we can reconstruct the original gauge potential $\tilde{A}_\mu(x)$ in the radiation gauge. It has the following form

$$\tilde{A}^\mu(Y^\alpha(\tau) + \epsilon^\alpha_r(\vec{h})\,\sigma^r) = \frac{P^\mu}{Mc}\sum_i \frac{Q_i}{|\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)|} - \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\,A^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u). \tag{5.5}$$

B. Amissible Non-Inertial Frames

Let us now see whether in an arbitrary admissible non-inertial frame, centered on an arbitrary non-inertial observer and described by the embeddings (2.1), we can arrive at the same picture of an isolated system as a decoupled external canonical non-covariant center of mass $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, carrying a pole-dipole structure, with the external Poincare' generators given by expressions like Eqs.(5.1) and with the dynamics described by suitable relative variables after an appropriate elimination of the internal 3-center of mass inside the instantaneous 3-spaces. If this is possible, there will be a new expression for the internal invariant mass M, a new effective spin $\vec{\tilde{S}}$ (supposed to satisfy the Poisson brackets of an angular momentum and such that $J^i = \delta^{im}\,\epsilon_{mnk}\,\Big(z^n\,h^k + \tilde{S}^k\Big)$) and a new form of the three pairs of second class constraints replacing the expressions given in Eqs.(5.2) for the case of the inertial rest frame centered on the Fokker-Pryce center of inertia.

Now the embeddings (2.1) imply the form (3.8) for the conjugate momenta $\rho^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}^u)$. Therefore we must evaluate the Poincare' generators (3.17) by using Eqs.(2.1) and (3.8). By equating the resulting expressions with Eqs.(5.1) we will find the new expression of the invariant mass, of the effective spin and of the second class constraints.

Since the embedding (2.1) depend on the asymptotic tetrads ϵ^μ_A, we must express them in terms of the tetrads $\epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})$ determined by P^μ (whose expression is given after Eq.(1.1)): $\epsilon^\mu_A = \Lambda_A{}^B(\vec{h})\,\epsilon^\mu_B(\vec{h})$ with $\Lambda(\vec{h})$ a Lorentz matrix.

Then, by using Eqs.(2.1), (3.8) and (5.1) the first of Eqs.(3.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
P^\mu &= Mc\,h^\mu = Mc\,\epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h}) \approx \epsilon^\mu_A\,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^A = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A\,\Lambda_A{}^B(\vec{h})\,\epsilon^\mu_B(\vec{h}) = \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A\,\Big[\Lambda_A{}^\tau(\vec{h})\,h^\mu + \Lambda_A{}^r(\vec{h})\,\epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\Big],\\
\hat{\mathcal{P}}^A &= \int d^3\sigma\,\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\,\Big[T_{\perp\perp}\,l^A - T_{\perp s}\,h^{sr}\,\partial_r\,F^A\Big](\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

with $l^A(\tau, \sigma^u)$ given in Eq.(2.7).

Therefore the invariant mass M and the three constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^r \approx 0$ replacing the rest-frame conditions are

$$Mc \approx \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A\, {\Lambda_A}^\tau(\vec{h}), \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^r = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A\, {\Lambda_A}^r(\vec{h}) \approx 0, \qquad \Rightarrow\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A \approx Mc\, {\Lambda_\tau}^A(\vec{h}). \tag{5.7}$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}^{AB} &= \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, \Big[\Big(f^A(\tau) + F^A(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)\, \Big(T_{\perp\perp}\, l^B - T_{\perp s}\, h^{sr}\, \partial_r\, F^B\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) - \\
&- \Big(f^B(\tau) + F^B(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big)\, \Big(T_{\perp\perp}\, l^A - T_{\perp s}\, h^{sr}\, \partial_r\, F^A\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big] = \\
&= f^A(\tau)\, \hat{\mathcal{P}}^B - f^B(\tau)\, \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A + \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{CD}\, {\Lambda_C}^A(\vec{h})\, {\Lambda_D}^B(\vec{h}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{AB} &= \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}\, \Big[F^C(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \Big(T_{\perp\perp}\, l^D - T_{\perp s}\, h^{sr}\, \partial_r\, F^D\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) - \\
&- F^D(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \Big(T_{\perp\perp}\, l^C - T_{\perp s}\, h^{sr}\, \partial_r\, F^C\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big]\, {\Lambda_C}^A(\vec{h})\, {\Lambda_D}^B(\vec{h}) = \\
&\overset{def}{=} (\delta^A_r\, \delta^B_\tau - \delta^A_\tau\, \delta^B_r)\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r + \delta^{Ar}\, \delta^{Bs}\, \epsilon_{rsk}\, \hat{S}^k,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

then, by using Eq.(5.7), the second of Eqs.(3.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu} &\approx (x^\mu_o\, \epsilon^\nu_A - x^\nu_o\, \epsilon^\mu_A)\, \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A + \epsilon^\mu_A\, \epsilon^\nu_B\, \hat{S}^{AB} = \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{P}}^B\, {\Lambda_B}^A(\vec{h})\, \Big(x^\mu_o\, \epsilon^\nu_A(\vec{h}) - x^\nu_o\, \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\Big) + \hat{S}^{CD}\, {\Lambda_C}^A(\vec{h})\, {\Lambda_D}^B(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h}) = \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A\, {\Lambda_A}^D(\vec{h})\, \Big[\Big(x^\mu_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_C(\vec{h})\Big)\, \epsilon^\nu_D(\vec{h}) - \\
&- \Big(x^\nu_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_C(\vec{h})\Big)\, \epsilon^\mu_D(\vec{h})\Big] + \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{AB} \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big[\Big(x^\mu_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_C(\vec{h})\Big)\, h^\nu - \\
&- \Big(x^\nu_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_C(\vec{h})\Big)\, h^\mu\Big] + \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{AB}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

After some algebra Eqs.(5.1) and (5.9) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{ij} &= z^i\, h^j - z^j\, h^i + \delta^{iu}\, \delta^{jv}\, \epsilon_{uvk}\, \tilde{S}^k \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big[\Big(x^i_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^i_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{1}{Mc}\, \Big[\epsilon^i_r(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r + \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} \Big] \Big)\, h^j - \\
&- \Big(x^j_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^j_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{1}{Mc}\, \Big[\epsilon^j_r(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r + \frac{\delta^{jm}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} \Big] \Big)\, h^i \Big] + \\
&+ \delta^{im}\, \delta^{jn}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, \hat{S}^k = \\
&\overset{def}{=} X^i\, h^j - X^j\, h^i + \delta^{iu}\, \delta^{jv}\, \epsilon_{uvk}\, \hat{S}^k,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{oi} &= -\sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, z^i - \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \tilde{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big[x^o_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^o_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{\sum_r h^r\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r}{Mc} \Big]\, h^i - \\
&- \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, \Big[x^i_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^i_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{1}{Mc}\, \Big(\epsilon^i_r(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r + \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} \Big) \Big] - \\
&- \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} = \\
&\overset{def}{=} X^o\, h^i - \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, X^i - \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}
$$

where in the last lines we introduced the definition of the quantities X^o and X^i.

This implies the reformulation of the isolated system as an external center of mass $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, plus a pole-dipole structure M and $\vec{\tilde{S}}$.

If we solve Eq.(5.11) in $\vec{z}$, we get $\vec{z} = \vec{X} - X^o\, \frac{\vec{h}}{\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}} - \frac{(\vec{\hat{S}} - \vec{\tilde{S}}) \times \vec{h}}{\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}\, (1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2})}$ (we use a vector notation). If we put this expression in Eq.(5.10), we get the following equation: $[(\vec{\hat{S}} - \vec{\tilde{S}}) \times \vec{h}] \times \vec{h} = \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, (1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2})\, (\vec{\hat{S}} - \vec{\tilde{S}})$. It implies $(\vec{\hat{S}} - \vec{\tilde{S}}) \cdot \vec{h} = 0$ and then we get

$$
\tilde{S}^r \approx \hat{S}^r, \tag{5.12}
$$

namely the effective spin $\vec{\tilde{S}}$ is given by $\hat{S}^{rs}$ of Eqs.(5.8).

By using Eq.(5.12) inside Eq.(5.11) we get three constraints, eliminating the internal 3-center of mass and allowing to re-express the dynamics inside the instantaneous 3-spaces only in terms of relative variables, which are

$$
\begin{aligned}
Mc\quad & \Big[x^o_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^o_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{\sum_r h^r\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r}{Mc}\Big]\, h^i - \sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}\, \Big[x^i_o - z^i + \\
&+\; f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^i_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{1}{Mc}\Big(\epsilon^i_r(\vec{h})\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r + \frac{\delta^{im}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}}\Big)\Big] \approx 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{K}}^r \;&\approx\; Mc\, h^r\, \Big(x^o_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^o_C(\vec{h}) - \frac{\sum_u h^u\, \Big(x^u_o - z^u + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^u_C(\vec{h})\Big)}{1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2}}\Big) - \\
&-\; \Big(x^r_o - z^r + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^r_C(\vec{h}) + \frac{\delta^{rm}\, \epsilon_{mnk}\, h^n\, \hat{S}^k}{Mc\, (1+\sqrt{1+\vec{h}^2})}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.13}
$$

They replace the constraints $\mathcal{K}^r \approx 0$ of Subsection A.
Now we have $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{AB} \approx \delta^{Ar}\, \delta^{Bs}\, \epsilon_{rsk}\, \hat{S}^k + (\delta^A_r\, \delta^B_\tau - \delta^A_\tau\, \delta^B_r)\, \hat{\mathcal{K}}^r$.

Let us remark that that if we put ${\Lambda_A}^B(\vec{h}) = \delta^B_A$ and $x^\mu_o + f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_C(\vec{h}) = Y^\mu(0) + h^\mu\, \tau$, then we recover the results of Subsection A for the inertial rest frame centered on the Fokker-Pryce inertial observer.

Instead the conditions ${\Lambda_A}^B(\vec{h}) = \delta^B_A$ and $f^B(\tau)\, {\Lambda_B}^C(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_C(\vec{h}) = h^\mu\, \tau$, identifying the inertial rest frame centered on the inertial observer $x^\mu_o + h^\mu\, \tau$, have the constraints $\mathcal{K}^r \approx 0$ replaced by Eqs.(5.13).

Equations of the type (5.7), (5.12) and (5.13) holds not only for admissible embeddings with pure differential rotations like the ones of Eq.(2.14), but also for the admissible embeddings with pure linear acceleration. If in Eq.(2.1) we put $F^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0$, $F^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \sigma^r$, so that the embedding becomes $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu_o + \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, f^\tau(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \Big(f^r(\tau) + \sigma^r\Big)$, the instantaneous 3-spaces are space-like hyper-planes orthogonal to $l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau$ and we get $h_{rs} = \delta_{rs}$, $1+n(\tau) = \dot{f}^\tau(\tau)$, $n_r(\tau) = \delta_{rs}\, \dot{f}^s(\tau)$. In the case of Eq.(2.13), i.e. $f^r(\tau) = 0$ and $f^\tau(\tau) = f(\tau)$, we get $1 + n(\tau) = \dot{f}(\tau)$, $n_r = 0$. If $f^\tau(\tau) = \tau$ and $f^r(\tau) = a^r = const.$, we have inertial frames centered on inertial observers: changing a^r we change the inertial observer origin of the 3-coordinates σ^r.

Let us remark that the final Dirac Hamiltonian (4.35) does not coincide with Mc due to the presence of the inertial potentials $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)$.

C. The Non-Inertial Rest Frames

The family of non-inertial rest frames for an isolated system consists of all the admissible 3+1 splittings of Minkowski space-time whose instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ tend to space-like hyper-planes orthogonal to the conserved 4-momentum of the isolated system at spatial infinity. Therefore they tend to the Wigner 3-spaces (1.1) of the inertial rest frame asymptotically.

These non-inertial frames can be centered on the external Fokker-Pryce center of inertia like the inertial ones and are described by the following embeddings

$$
\begin{gathered}
z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx z^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) = Y^\mu(\tau) + u^\mu(\vec{h})\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, [\sigma^r + g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)],\\
\rightarrow_{|\vec{\sigma}|\,\rightarrow\infty} z^\mu_W(\tau,\sigma^u) = Y^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \sigma^r, \qquad x^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu_F(\tau,0^u),\\
g(\tau,0^u) = g^r(\tau,0^u) = 0, \qquad g(\tau,\sigma^u)\ \rightarrow_{|\vec{\sigma}|\rightarrow\infty}\ 0, \qquad g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)\ \rightarrow_{|\vec{\sigma}|\rightarrow\infty}\ 0.
\end{gathered}
\tag{5.14}
$$

These embeddings are a special case of Eqs.(4.1) with $x^\mu(\tau) = Y^\mu(\tau)$ and $F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h})\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, [\sigma^r + g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)]$, $\epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h}) = h^\mu = \dot{Y}^\mu(\tau)$.

For the induced metric we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u) &\approx z^\mu_{F\,\tau}(\tau,\sigma^u) = h^\mu\, [1 + \partial_\tau\, g(\tau,\sigma^u)] + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \partial_\tau\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
z^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u) &\approx z^\mu_{F\,r}(\tau,\sigma^u) = h^\mu\, \partial_r\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_s(\vec{h})\, [\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s(\tau,\sigma^u)],\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{F\,\tau\tau}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= [1 + \partial_\tau\, g(\tau,\sigma^u)]^2 - \sum_r [\partial_\tau\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)]^2 =\\
&= \Big[(1 + n_F)^2 - h^{rs}_F\, n_{F\,r}\, n_{F\,s}\Big](\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{F\,\tau u}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= [1 + \partial_\tau\, g(\tau,\sigma^u)]\, \partial_u\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) - \sum_r \partial_\tau\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)\, [\delta^r_u + \partial_u\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)] =\\
&= \Big([1 + \partial_\tau\, g]\, \partial_u\, g - \partial_\tau\, g^u - \sum_r \partial_\tau\, g^r\, \partial_u\, g^r\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) = -n_{F\,u}(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{F\,uv}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -h_{F\,uv}(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \partial_u\, g(\tau,\sigma^u)\, \partial_v\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) - \sum_r [\delta^r_u + \partial_u\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)]\, [\delta^r_v + \partial_v\, g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)] = \\
&= -\delta_{uv} + \Big(\partial_u\, g\, \partial_v\, g - (\partial_u\, g^v + \partial_v\, g^u) - \sum_r \partial_u\, g^r\, \partial_v\, g^r\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The admissibility conditions of Eqs.(2.9), plus the requirement $1 + n_F(\tau,\sigma^u) > 0$, can be written as restrictions on the functions $g(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and $g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)$.

The unit normal $l^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and the tangent 4-vectors $z^\mu_{F\,r}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ to the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ can be projected on the asymptotic tetrad $h^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h})$, $\epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})$

$$\begin{aligned}
z^\mu_{F\,r}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big[\partial_r\, g\, h^\mu + \partial_r\, g^s\, \epsilon^\mu_s(\vec{h})\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) \\
l^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, \epsilon^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\, z^\alpha_{F1}\, z^\beta_{F2}\, z^\gamma_{F3}\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, \Big[det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s)\, h^\mu - \\
&- \delta^{ra}\, \epsilon_{asu}\, \epsilon_{vwt}\, \partial_v\, g\ \partial_w\, g^s\ \partial_t\, g^u\, \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\Big](\tau,\sigma^u), \\
1 + n_F(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\sigma^u)\, l_{F\mu}(\tau,\sigma^u) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\sigma^u)}}\, \Big[(1 + \partial_\tau\, g\, det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s) - \\
&- \partial_\tau\, g^r\, \epsilon_{rsu}\, \epsilon_{vwt}\, \partial_v\, g\ \partial_w\, g^s\ \partial_t\, g^u\Big](\tau,\sigma^u), \\
l^2_F(\tau,\sigma^u) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon},\ \Rightarrow\ \gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big[\Big(det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s)\Big)^2 - \\
&- 2\, \epsilon_{vwt}\, \partial_v\, g\ \partial_w\, g^s\ \partial_t\, g^u\, \epsilon_{hmn}\, \partial_h\, g\ \partial_m\, g^s\ \partial_n\, g^u\Big](\tau,\sigma^u).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

To define the non-inertial rest-frame instant form we must find the form of the internal Poincare' generators replacing the ones of the inertial rest-frame one, given in Eqs.(5.2).

Eq.(3.8) and the first of Eqs.(3.17) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^\mu &= Mc\, h^\mu = \int d^3\sigma\, \rho^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx \\
&\approx h^\mu \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \Big(\frac{det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s)}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\, T_{F\, \perp\perp} - \\
&- \partial_r\, g\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\, \perp s} \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) + \\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h}) \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(- \frac{\delta^{ua}\, \epsilon_{asr}\, \epsilon_{vwt}\, \partial_v\, g\ \partial_w\, g^s\ \partial_t\, g^r}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\, T_{F\, \perp\perp} - \\
&- (\delta^u_r + \partial_r\, g^u)\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\, \perp s} \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) = \\
&\overset{def}{=} \int d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.17}
$$

so that the internal mass and the rest-frame conditions become (Eqs.(5.2) are recovered for the inertial rest frame)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Mc &= \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(\frac{det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, T_{F\, \perp\perp} - \partial_r\, g\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\, \perp s} \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u), \\
\hat{\mathcal{P}}^u &= \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(- \frac{\delta^{ua}\, \epsilon_{asr}\, \epsilon_{vwt}\, \partial_v\, g\ \partial_w\, g^s\ \partial_t\, g^r}{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}\, T_{F\, \perp\perp} - \\
&- (\delta^u_r + \partial_r\, g^u)\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\, \perp s} \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.18}
$$

By using Eqs.(3.17) for the angular momentum we get $J^{\mu\nu} \approx \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(z^\mu_F\, \rho^\nu_F - z^\nu_F\, \rho^\mu_F \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)$ with $\rho^\mu_F(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big[\sqrt{\gamma_F}\, \Big(T_{\perp\perp}\, l^\mu_F - T_{\perp s}\, h^{sr}_F\, z^\mu_{Fr} \Big) \Big](\tau, \sigma^u)$, where z^μ_F, z^μ_{Fr} and l^μ_F are given in Eqs.(5.14), (5.15) and (5.16) respectively. The description of the isolated system as a pole-dipole carried by the external center of mass $\vec{z}$ requires that we must identify the previous J^{ij} and J^{oi} with the expressions like the ones given in Eqs.(5.1), now functions of $\vec{z}$, $\vec{h}$, Mc of Eq.(5.18) and of an effective spin $\tilde{\vec{S}}$. This identification will allow to find the effective spin $\tilde{\vec{S}}$ and three constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^r \approx 0$ eliminating the internal 3-center of mass: in the limit of the inertial rest frame they must reproduce the quantities in Eqs.(5.2).

By using Eqs.(5.18) this procedure implies ($\hat{\mathcal{K}}^r$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^r$ are the analogue of the quantities defined in Eqs.(5.8) for the embedding (5.14))

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu} &\approx \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(z^\mu_F\, \rho^\nu_F - z^\nu_F\, \rho^\mu_F \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) = \\
&= Mc\, \Big(Y^\mu(0)\, h^\nu - Y^\nu(0)\, h^\mu \Big) + \hat{\mathcal{P}}^u\, \Big(Y^\mu(0)\, \epsilon^\nu_u(\vec{h}) - Y^\nu(0)\, \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h}) \Big) + \\
&+ \Big(\tau\, \hat{\mathcal{P}}^u + \hat{\mathcal{K}}^u \Big)\, \Big(h^\mu\, \epsilon^\nu_u(\vec{h}) - h^\nu\, \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h}) \Big) + \delta^{un}\, \epsilon_{nvr}\, \hat{S}^r\, \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_v(\vec{h}) \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big(Y^\mu(0)\, h^\nu - Y^\nu(0)\, h^\mu \Big) + \hat{\mathcal{K}}^u\, \Big(h^\mu\, \epsilon^\nu_u(\vec{h}) - h^\nu\, \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h}) \Big) + \\
&+ \delta^{un}\, \epsilon_{nvr}\, \hat{S}^r\, \epsilon^\mu_u(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_v(\vec{h}),
\end{aligned}$$

so that we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{ij} &= z^i\, h^j - z^j\, h^i + \delta^{iu}\, \delta^{jv}\, \epsilon_{uvk}\, \tilde{S}^k \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big(Y^i(0)\, h^j - Y^j(0)\, h^i \Big) + \hat{\mathcal{K}}^u\, \Big(h^i\, \epsilon^j_u(\vec{h}) - h^j\, \epsilon^i_u(\vec{h}) \Big) + \\
&+ \delta^{un}\, \epsilon_{nvr}\, \hat{S}^r\, \epsilon^i_u(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^j_v(\vec{h}), \\
J^{oi} &= -\sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}\, z^i + \frac{\delta^{in}\, \epsilon_{njk}\, \tilde{S}^j\, h^k}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \vec{h}^2}} \approx \\
&\approx Mc\, \Big(Y^o(0)\, h^i - Y^i(0)\, h^o \Big) + \hat{\mathcal{K}}^u\, \Big(h^o\, \epsilon^i_u(\vec{h}) - h^i\, \epsilon^o_u(\vec{h}) \Big) + \\
&+ \delta^{un}\, \delta^{vm}\, \epsilon_{nmr}\, \hat{S}^r\, \epsilon^o_u(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^i_v(\vec{h}). \qquad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, by using the expression of $Y^\mu(0)$ given after Eq.(5.2), the constraints eliminating the 3-center of mass and the effective spin are

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{K}}^u &= \int d^3\sigma\, \Big(g\, \Big[\delta^{ur}\, \partial_r\, g\, T_{F\perp\perp} - (\delta^u_r + \partial_r\, g^u)\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\perp s} \Big] - \\
&- (\sigma^u + g^u)\, \Big[\frac{det\, (\delta^s_r + \partial_r\, g^s)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, T_{F\perp\perp} - \partial_r\, g\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\perp s} \Big] \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0, \\
\tilde{S}^r &\approx \hat{S}^r = \frac{1}{2}\, \delta^{rn}\, \epsilon_{nuv} \int d^3\sigma\, \Big((\sigma^u + g^u)\, \Big[\delta^{vm}\, \partial_m\, g\, T_{F\perp\perp} - (\delta^v_r + \partial_r\, g^v)\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\perp s} \Big] - \\
&- (\sigma^v + g^v)\, \Big[\delta^{um}\, \partial_m\, g\, T_{F\perp\perp} - (\delta^u_r + \partial_r\, g^u)\, h^{rs}_F\, T_{F\perp s} \Big] \Big)(\tau, \sigma^u). \qquad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

and these formulas allow to recover Eqs.(5.2) of the inertial rest frame.

Therefore the non-inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics is well defined.

D. The Hamiltonian of the Non-Inertial Rest-Frame Instant Form

We have now to find which is the effective Hamiltonian of the non-inertial rest-frame instant form replacing Mc of the inertial rest-frame one. The gauge fixing (5.20) is a special case of Eqs.(4.1), whose final Dirac Hamiltonian is given in Eq.(4.4) [or in Eq.(4.35) in the radiation gauge].

To be able to impose this gauge fixing, let us put $F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) = h^\mu\, g(\tau,\sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, [\sigma^r + g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)]$ in Eq.(4.1), but let us leave $x^\mu(\tau)$ as an arbitrary time-like observer to be restricted to $Y^\mu(\tau)$ at the end. We will only assume that $x^\mu(\tau)$ is canonically conjugate with $P^\mu = \int d^3\sigma\, \rho^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$, $\{x^\mu(\tau), P^\nu\} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \eta^{\mu\nu}$.

Due to the dependence of $F^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ and of $Y^\mu(\tau)$ on $\vec{h} = \vec{P}/\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, P^2}$ we must develop a different procedure for the identification of the Dirac Hamiltonian.

In this case the constraints (3.10) can be rewritten in the following form ($\mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is defined in Eq.(5.17))

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) + \delta^3(\sigma^u) \int d^3\sigma_1\, \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u_1) \approx 0,\\
with &\qquad \int d^3\sigma\, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \equiv 0,\\
&\Downarrow\\
\rho^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) &\approx P^\mu\, \delta^3(\sigma^u) + \Big[\mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) - \delta^3(\sigma^u)\, \mathcal{R}^\mu_F(\tau)\Big] =\\
&= \delta^3(\sigma^u)\, H^\mu(\tau) + \mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
&\quad H^\mu(\tau) = P^\mu - \mathcal{R}^\mu_F(\tau) \approx 0, \qquad \mathcal{R}_F(\tau) \overset{def}{=} \int d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

In this way the original canonical variables $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are replaced by the observer $x^\mu(\tau)$, P^μ and by relative variables with respect to it.

From Eq.(5.14) we get:

a) the gauge fixing to the constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$ is

$$\psi^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u) = \frac{\partial\, \chi^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\, \sigma^r} = \Big(z^\mu_r - \epsilon^\mu_s(\vec{h})\, \Big[\delta^s_r + \frac{\partial\, g^s}{\partial\, \sigma^r} - u^\mu(\vec{h})\, \frac{\partial\, g}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\Big]\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0; \tag{5.22}$$

b) the gauge fixing to the constraints $H^\mu(\tau) = P^\mu - \mathcal{R}^\mu_F \approx 0$ is $\chi^\mu(\tau,0) = z^\mu(\tau,0) - Y^\mu(\tau) = x^\mu(\tau) - Y^\mu(\tau) \approx 0$.

The gauge fixing (5.22) has the following Poisson brackets with the collective variables $x^\mu(\tau)$, P^μ

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{P^\mu, \psi^\nu_r(\tau,\sigma^u)\} = 0,\\
&\{x^\mu(\tau), \psi^\nu_r(\tau,\sigma^u)\} = -\frac{\partial\, \epsilon^\nu_s(\vec{h})}{\partial\, P_\mu} \Big(\delta^s_r + \frac{\partial\, g^s(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\Big) - \frac{\partial\, \epsilon^\nu_\tau(\vec{h})}{\partial\, P_\mu}\, \frac{\partial\, g(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\, \sigma^r} \not= 0. \qquad (5.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x^\mu(\tau)$ is no more a canonical variable after the gauge fixing $\psi^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$.

By introducing the notation ($\epsilon^A_\mu = \eta^{AB}\, \epsilon_{B\mu}\, \Rightarrow\, \epsilon^\tau_\mu(\vec{h}) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, h_\mu$)

$$\mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} h^\mu\, \mathcal{T}^\tau_F(\tau,\sigma^u) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \mathcal{T}^r_F(\tau,\sigma^u), \qquad \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}^A_F(\tau,\sigma^u) = \epsilon^A_\mu(\vec{h})\, \mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u), \quad (5.24)$$

the angular momentum generator of Eq.(3.17) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu} &= x^\mu(\tau)\, P^\nu - x^\nu(\tau)\, P^\mu + S^{\mu\nu},\\
S^{\mu\nu} &\approx \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_s(\vec{h}) \int d^3\sigma\, \Big[(\sigma^r + g^r)\, \mathcal{T}^s - (\sigma^s + g^s)\, \mathcal{T}^r\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) +\\
&+ \Big(\epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_\tau(\vec{h}) - \epsilon^\nu_r(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\mu_\tau(\vec{h})\Big) \int d^3\sigma\, \Big[(\sigma^r + g^r)\, \mathcal{T}^\tau + g\, \mathcal{T}^r\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) =\\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A(\vec{h})\, \epsilon^\nu_B(\vec{h})\, S^{AB},\\
S^{rs} &= \int d^3\sigma\, \Big[(\sigma^r + g^r)\, \mathcal{T}^s - (\sigma^s + g^s)\, \mathcal{T}^r\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} \delta^{rn}\, \epsilon_{nsu}\, \mathcal{J}^u,\\
S^{\tau r} &= -S^{r\tau} = -\int d^3\sigma\, \Big[(\sigma^r + g^r)\, \mathcal{T}^\tau + g\, \mathcal{T}^r\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} \mathcal{K}^r, \qquad (5.25)
\end{aligned}$$

where only the constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$ have been used.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^\mu(\tau), S^{\alpha\beta}\} &= 0,\\
\{\frac{\partial\, z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\, \sigma^r}, S^{\alpha\beta}\} &= \Big(\frac{\partial\, z^\beta}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\, \eta^{\mu\alpha} - \frac{\partial\, z^\alpha}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\, \eta^{\mu\beta}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx\\
&\approx \Big(\Big[\epsilon^\beta_s(\vec{h})\, (\delta^s_r + \frac{\partial\, g^s}{\partial^r}) + h^\beta\, \frac{\partial\, g}{\partial\, \sigma^r}\Big]\, \eta^{\mu\alpha}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u), \qquad (5.26)
\end{aligned}$$

after the gauge fixing the new canonical variable for the observer becomes

$$\tilde{x}^\mu(\tau) = x^\mu(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{\sigma\,A}(\vec{h})\,\frac{\partial\,\epsilon^A_\rho(\vec{h})}{\partial\,P_\mu}\,S^{\sigma\rho}, \qquad \{\tilde{x}^\mu(\tau), \psi^\nu_r(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\} = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

If we eliminate the relative variables by going to Dirac brackets with respect to the second class constrainta $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$, $\psi^\mu_r(\tau,\sigma^u) \approx 0$, the canonical variables $z^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$, $\rho_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ are reduced to the canonical variables $\tilde{x}^\mu(\tau)$, P^μ.

By defining $\mathcal{R}_F(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,h_\mu\,\mathcal{R}^\mu_F(\tau) \approx Mc = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,P^2}$, the remaining constraints are

$$\begin{aligned} H^\mu(\tau) \;&=\; h^\mu\,\Big(\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,P^2} - \mathcal{R}_f(\tau)\Big) + \epsilon^\mu_r(\vec{h})\,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^r, \\ &or \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,h^\mu\,H_\mu(\tau) = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,P^2} - \mathcal{R}_F(\tau) \approx 0, \qquad \epsilon^r_\mu(\vec{h})H^\mu(\tau) = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^r \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Like in Eqs.(4.1) and (4.2), after this reduction the Dirac multiplier $\lambda^\mu(\tau,\sigma^u)$ in the Dirac Hamiltonian (3.16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\mu(\tau,\sigma^u) \;&=\; \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,h_\mu\,\Big(\lambda_\tau(\tau) - \frac{\partial g(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau}\Big) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\epsilon_{\mu\,r}(\vec{h})\,\Big(\lambda^r(\tau) - \frac{\partial g^r(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau}\Big) \overset{\circ}{=} \\ &\overset{\circ}{=}\; -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\frac{\partial z^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

At this stage the Dirac Hamiltonian depends only on the residual Dirac multipliers $\lambda_\tau(\tau)$ and $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$

$$H_D = \lambda_\tau(\tau)\,(\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,P^2} - \mathcal{R}_F) - \vec{\lambda}(\tau)\cdot\hat{\vec{\mathcal{P}}} + \int d^3\sigma\,\Big(\frac{\partial\,g^r}{\partial\,\tau}\,\mathcal{T}_{F\,r} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial\tau}\,\mathcal{T}_{F\,\tau}\Big)(\tau,\sigma^u), \tag{5.30}$$

where we introduced the notation $\mathcal{T}_{F\,A}(\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\epsilon_{\mu\,A}(\vec{h})\,\mathcal{T}^\mu_F(\tau,\sigma^u)$ so that $\mathcal{T}^\tau_F = \mathcal{T}_{F\,\tau}, \qquad \mathcal{T}^r_F = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\mathcal{T}_{F\,r}$.

To implement the gauge fixing $x^\mu(\tau) - Y^\mu(\tau) \approx 0$ requires two other steps:

1) Firstly we impose the gauge fixing $\tilde{x}^\mu(\tau)\,h_\mu = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,\tau$. It implies $\lambda_\tau(\tau) = -1$ and $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\,P^2} = Mc \equiv \mathcal{R}_F$. The Dirac Hamiltonian becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{FD} &= \mathcal{M}\, c - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \hat{\vec{\mathcal{P}}} + \int d^3\sigma \Big[\mu\, \pi^\tau - A_\tau\, \Gamma\Big](\tau, \sigma^u),\\
\mathcal{M}\, c &= Mc + \int d^3\sigma \Big(\frac{\partial\, g^r}{\partial\, \tau}\, \mathcal{T}_{F\, r} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}\, \mathcal{T}_{F\, \tau}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.31}
$$

2) Then we add the gauge fixing $\hat{\mathcal{K}}^r \approx 0$ to the rest-frame conditions $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^r \approx 0$. In this way we get $x^\mu(\tau) \approx Y^\mu(\tau)$ and we also eliminate the internal 3-center of mass. Having chosen the Fokker-Pryce external 4-center of inertia $Y^\mu(\tau)$ as origin of the 3-coordinates the constraints $\hat{\mathcal{K}}^r \approx 0$ correspond to the requirement $S^{\tau r} \approx 0$.

In conclusion the effective Hamiltonian $\mathcal{M}\, c$ (modulo electro-magnetic gauge transformations) of the non-inertial rest-frame instant form is not the internal mass Mc, since it describes the evolution from the point of view of the asymptotic inertial observers. There is an additional term interpretable as an inertial potential producing relativistic inertial effects (see Eqs.(5.16) for $1 + n_F(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and Eqs.(5.15) for $n_{F\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u)$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}\, c &= Mc + \int d^3\sigma \Big(\frac{\partial\, g^r}{\partial\, \tau}\, \mathcal{T}_{F\, r} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}\, \mathcal{T}_{F\, \tau}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) =\\
&= \int d^3\sigma\, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\Big(\Big[\, h_\mu\Big(1 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}\Big) + \epsilon_{\mu\, r}\, \frac{\partial g^r}{\partial \tau}\,\Big]\, \mathcal{T}^\mu_F\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u) =\\
&= \int d^3\sigma\, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \Big((1 + n_F)\, T_{F\, \perp\perp} + n^r_F\, T_{F\, \perp r}\Big)(\tau, \sigma^u)
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.32}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, T_{F\, \perp\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, T'_{F\, \perp\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) +\\
&\qquad + \sum_i \delta(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i)\, \sqrt{m_i^2\, c^2 + h^{rs}_F(\tau, \sigma^u)\, (\kappa_{ir}(\tau) - Q_i\, A_r(\tau, \sigma^u))\, (\kappa_{is}(\tau) - Q_i\, A_s(\tau, \sigma^u))},\\
&\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, T_{F\, \perp r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = F_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u) - \sum_i \delta(\sigma^u - \eta^u_i)\, (\kappa_{ir}(\tau) - Q_i\, A_r(\tau, \sigma^u)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.33}
$$

with $T'_{\perp\perp}$ given in Eq. (4.28).

Let us remark that a similar procedure should be applied also to the gauge fixing (4.1) if we want to reproduce the results of Subsection B for arbitrary non-inertial frames. We do not add these calculations, because they agrees substantially with the results of this Subsection and do not alter the conclusions of Section IV.

VI. NON-INERTIAL MAXWELL EQUATIONS IN NEARLY RIGID ROTATING FRAMES

In the 3+1 point of view the Maxwell equations (4.17) in an arbitrary inertial frame are identical to the Maxwell equations in general relativity, but now the 4-metric is describing only the inertial effects present in the given frame. Therefore we can adapt the techniques used in general relativity to non-inertial frames, for instance the definition of electric and magnetic fields done in Ref.[28] (see Appendix B) or the geometrical optic approximation to light rays of Ref.[29].

For the 1+3 point of view on this topic see for instance Ref.[30] and its bibliography. In particular, for the treatment of electromagnetic wave in rotating frame by means of Fermi coordinates [31] and for the determination of the helicity-rotation coupling, as a special case of spin-rotation coupling [32, 33]. In all these calculations the locality hypothesis is used.

In the case of linear acceleration an analysis of the inertial effects has been done in Ref.[34]. The same non-inertial 4-metric has been used in Ref.[35] to study the optical position meters constituents of the laser interferometers on ground used for the detection of gravitational waves. However the 4-metric used has a bad behavior at spatial infinity, so that the conclusions on the electro-magnetic waves in these frames (even if supposed to hold at distances smaller than those where there are coordinate singularities) are questionable because the Cauchy problem for Maxwell equations is not well posed.

In this Section we study some properties of electro-magnetic waves and of geometrical optic approximation to light rays in the radiation gauge in the admissible rotating non-inertial frame defined by the embedding (2.14), ensuring a well-posed Cauchy problem, at small distances from the rotation axis where the $O(c^{-1})$ deviations from rigid rotations is governed by Eqs.(2.15) and (2.16). Even if we will ignore these deviations, doing the calculations in the radiation gauge in locally rigidly rotating frames, they could be taken into account in a more refined version of the subsequent calculations base on the 3+1 point of view, which is free from coordinate singularities. This would also allow to verify the validity of the locality hypothesis. In particular we consider the Phase Wrap Up effect [31, 36], the Sagnac effect [37, 38] and the Faraday Rotation [39].

A. The 3+1 Point of View on Electro-Magnetic Waves and Light Rays in Nearly Rigidly Rotating Non-Inertial Frames.

Let us consider a non-inertial frame of the type (2.14) with vanishing linear acceleration and τ-independent angular velocity and centered on an inertial observer. In the notation of Eqs.(2.15), (2.16) and (4.48), we have $x^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \tau$, i.e. $\vec{v}(\tau) = \vec{w}(\tau) = 0$, and $\vec{\Omega}(\tau) = \vec{\Omega} =$

const. (whose components are $\tilde{\Omega}^r = const.$). We will ignore the higher order terms, so that locally we have a rigidly rotating frame, but with more effort small deviations from rigid rotation could be taken into account.

In this case the Hamiltonian (4.35), or (4.52), gives the following Hamilton equations for the transverse electro-magnetic field ($\vec{A}_\perp = \{A_{\perp\, r} = \tilde{A}^r_\perp \neq A^r_\perp\} + O(c^{-2})$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{A}^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\tau} &= \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{c}\int d^3\sigma'\, \Big[-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}'\times\vec{\partial}'\,\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}') + \vec{\Omega}\times\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}')\Big]^s\, \mathbf{P}^{sr}(\vec{\sigma}',\vec{\sigma}),\\
\frac{\partial \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\tau} &= \Delta\tilde{A}^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{c}\int d^3\sigma'\, \Big[-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}'\times\vec{\partial}'\,\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}') + \vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}')\Big]^s\, \mathbf{P}^{sr}(\vec{\sigma}',\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ \sum_i Q_i\, \Big(\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big)^s\, \mathbf{P}^{sr}(\vec{\eta}_i,\vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

For the study of homogeneous solutions of these equations, i.e. for incoming electro-magnetic waves propagating in regions where there are no charged particles, these equations can be replaced with the following ones (we use the vector notation of Subsection C of Section IV)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\tau} &= \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{c}\,\Big[-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}\,\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{\Omega}\times\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big],\\
\frac{\partial \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\tau} &= \Delta\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{c}\,\Big[-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}\,\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

As shown in Appendix B, this result allows to recover the form given by Schiff in Appendix A of ref.[28] for the Landau-Lifschitz non-inertial electro-magnetic fields [17].

Let us look at solutions of Eqs.(6.2) in the following two ways.

1. *Going back to an Inertial Frame*

Let us look at solution by reverting to an inertial frame.

By introducing the 3-coordinates

$$X^a(\tau) = R^a{}_r(\tau)\,\sigma^r, \tag{6.3}$$

at each value of τ by means of a τ-dependent rotation (it would become also point-dependent if we go beyond rigid rotations) we can go from the rigidly rotating non-inertial frame with radar 4-coordinates $(\tau; \sigma^u)$ to an instantaneously comoving inertial frame, centered on the same inertial observer, with 4-coordinates $(\tau; X^a)$.

Let us assume that the non-inertial transverse electromagnetic potential $A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ can be obtained from the instantaneously comoving inertial transverse potential $A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}(\tau, X^a(\tau))$ defined by

$$A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}\Big(\tau, X^a(\tau) = R^a{}_s(\tau)\, \sigma^s\Big)\, R^a{}_r(\tau), \tag{6.4}$$

which by definition satisfies the inertial Maxwell equations in the radiation gauge (obtainable by putting Eqs.(6.4) into Eqs.(6.2))

$$\frac{\partial^2\, A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}(\tau, X^b)}{\partial \tau^2} - \Delta_X\, A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}(\tau, X^b) = 0, \qquad \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial X^a}\, A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}(\tau, X^b) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

This result is in accord with the general covariance of non-inertial Maxwell equations and is also consistent with the locality hypothesis (see Subsection B of Section II) of the the 1+3 approach.

If we consider the following plane wave solution with constant F_a and $\hat{K}_a$ and $\sum_a \hat{K}_a\, F_a = 0$ (transversality condition)

$$A^{(com)}_{\perp\, a}(\tau, X^b) = \frac{1}{\omega}\, F_a\, e^{i\, \frac{\omega}{c}\, \left(\tau - \sum_a \hat{K}_a\, X^a\right)}, \tag{6.6}$$

we get the following expression for the non-inertial solution

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= F_a\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\, \frac{\omega}{c}\, \Phi(\tau, \sigma^u)}, \\ \Phi(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \tau - \hat{K}_a\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, \sigma^r \approx |_{\vec{\Omega}=const.}\, \tau\, \Big(1 + \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{K}\Big) - \hat{K} \cdot \vec{\sigma} + O(\Omega^2/c^2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

2. Eikonal Approximation

Let us now look at solutions by making the following eikonal approximation (without any commitment with the locality hypothesis)

$$A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \frac{1}{\omega}\, a_r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, e^{i\, \frac{\omega}{c}\, \Phi(\tau, \sigma^u)} + O(1/\omega^2). \tag{6.8}$$

and by putting this expression in Eqs.(6.2).

Let us consider the case in which we have $\omega/c >> 1$ e $\Omega/c << 1$, so that Eqs.(6.2) become a power series in ω/c. By neglecting terms in Ω^2/c^2 and terms in $(c/\omega)^{-k}$ for $k \geq 0$, the dominant terms are:

a) at the order ω/c the equation for the phase Φ, named *eikonal equation*;

b) at the order $(\omega/c)^o = 1$ the equation for the amplitude a_r, named *first-order transport equation.*

These equations have the following form ($\vec{a} = \{a_r\}$)

$$\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau}\Big)^2 - 2\,\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}\,\Phi - \Big(\vec{\partial}\,\Phi\Big)^2\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = 0$$

$$\Big[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau}\,\Big(\frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\times\vec{a} - \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}\,\vec{a}\Big) - \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial \tau}\,\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}\,\Phi - \Big(\vec{\partial}\,\Phi\cdot\vec{\partial}\Big)\,\vec{a}\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\,\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \tau^2} - 2\,(\vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\partial})\,\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} - \triangle\Phi\Big)\,(\tau,\sigma^u) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$$

$$\Big[\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\partial}\,\Phi\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) = 0 \quad (transversality\, condition). \tag{6.9}$$

Let us look for solutions of the *eikonal equation* for Φ of the form

$$\Phi(\tau,\sigma^u) = \tau + F(\sigma^u), \tag{6.10}$$

where we have chosen the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} = 1. \tag{6.11}$$

This condition implies that the solution of Eq.(6.8) describes a ray emitted from a source having a characteristic frequency ω when it is at rest in the non-inertial frame. Let us remark that in more general cases this type of boundary conditions are possible only if the *3-metric* h_{rs} and the *lapse*, n, and *shift*, n^r, functions are stationary in the non-inertial frame.

An expansion in powers of Ω/c of $F(\sigma^u)$, namely $F(\sigma^u) = F_o(\sigma^u) + \frac{\Omega}{c}\,F_1(\sigma^u) + O\Big(\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\Big)$, gives the following form of the eikonal equation

$$\Big[1 - \Big(\vec{\partial}\,F_o(\sigma^u)\Big)^2\Big] - \frac{2\Omega}{c}\,\Big[\hat{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\partial}F_o(\sigma^u) + \vec{\partial}\,F_o(\sigma^u)\cdot\vec{\partial}\,F_1(\sigma^u)\Big] + O\Big(\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\Big) = 0, \tag{6.12}$$

implying:

a) the equation $1 - \Big(\vec{\partial}\, F_o(\sigma^u)\Big)^2 = 0$ at the order zero in Ω. If $\hat{k}$ is an arbitrary unit vector (the propagation direction of the plane wave in the inertial limit $\Omega \mapsto 0$), its solution is

$$F_o(\sigma^u) = -\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}. \tag{6.13}$$

b) the equation $\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial}\, F_1(\sigma^u) = -\hat{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{k}$ for $F_1(\sigma^u)$, after having used Eq.(6.13), at the order one in Ω. Since we have $(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial})\, (\hat{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{k}) = 0$ and $(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial})\, (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) = 1$, the solution for $F_1(\sigma^u)$ is

$$F_1(\sigma^u) = -\Big(\hat{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{k}\Big)\, (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}). \tag{6.14}$$

Therefore the solution for Φ is

$$\Phi(\tau, \sigma^u) = \tau - \hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\, \Big(1 + \vec{\hat{\Omega}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \times \hat{k}\Big). \tag{6.15}$$

The phases in the solutions (6.7) and (6.15) of Eqs.(6.2) are different since the solutions have different boundary conditions. The solution (6.7) satisfies also the eikonal equation but not the boundary condition (6.11), since we have $\frac{\partial\, \Phi}{\partial\, \tau} = 1 - \hat{K}_a\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, \epsilon_{ruv}\, \tilde{\Omega}^u\, \sigma^v \neq 1$.

Let us remark that both the solutions (6.7) and (6.15) have the following structure

$$\tilde{A}^r_{\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) \sim\, \mathcal{A}^r(\tau, \sigma^u)\, e^{i\, \varphi(\tau, \sigma^u)}, \tag{6.16}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^r(\tau, \sigma^u) \sim O(1/\omega)$ is the *amplitude* and $\varphi(\tau, \sigma^u) \sim O(\omega)$ is the *phase*. The only difference is that the solution (6.7) holds for every value of ω (also for the small values corresponding to the radio waves of the GPS system), while the solution (6.15) for the phase of the eikonal approximation (6.8) holds only for higher values of ω, corresponding to visible light.

3. Light Rays

Given the phase of Eq.(6.16), the trajectories of the light rays are defined as the lines orthogonal (with respect to the 4-metric g_{AB} of the 3+1 splitting) to the hyper-surfaces $\varphi(\tau, \sigma^u) = const.$. Therefore the trajectories $\sigma^A(s)$ (s is n affine parameter) satisfy the equation

$$\frac{d\sigma^A(s)}{ds} = g^{AB}(\sigma(s))\, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma^B}(\sigma(s)). \tag{6.17}$$

For instance in the case of our rigidly rotating foliation, for which Eqs.(2.14)-(2.16) imply $g^{\tau\tau} = 1$, $g^{\tau r} = -(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{\sigma})^r$, $g^{rs} = -\delta^{rs} + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$, Eqs.(6.17) take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\tau(s)}{ds} &= \omega + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \vec{\sigma} \right) + O(\Omega^2/c^2), \\
\frac{d\sigma^r(s)}{ds} &= \omega \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \vec{\sigma} \right)^r + k^r \left(1 + \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k} \right) - \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \hat{k} \right)^r (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) + O(\Omega^2/c^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

whose solution has the form

$$\vec{\sigma}(\tau) - \vec{\sigma}(0) = \hat{k}\, \tau + \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \hat{k} \right) \tau^2 + O(\Omega^2/c^2). \tag{6.19}$$

This equation shows that in the rotating frame the ray of light appears to deviate from the *inertial* trajectory $\vec{\sigma}(\tau) = \hat{k}\, \tau$ due to the *centrifugal correction* $\vec{c}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c} \times \hat{k} \right) \tau^2 + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ implying $\hat{k} \cdot \vec{c}(\tau) = 0 + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

B. Sources and Detectors

To connect the previous solutions to the interpretation of observed data we need a schematic description of *sources* and *detectors*.

In many applications *sources* and *detectors* are described from point-like objects, which follow a prescribed world-line $\zeta^A(\tau) = (\tau, \eta^u(\tau))$ with unit 4-velocity $v^A(\tau) = \frac{d\zeta^A(\tau)}{d\tau} \left(g_{CD}(\zeta(\tau)) \frac{d\zeta^C(\tau)}{d\tau} \frac{d\zeta^D(\tau)}{d\tau} \right)^{-1/2}$.

This description is enough for studying the influence of the relative motion between source and detector on the frequency emitted from the source and that observed by the detector (it works equally well for the Doppler effect and for the gravitational redshift in presence of gravity). With solutions like Eq.(6.16) the frequency emitted by a source located in ${\zeta_s}^A$ and moving with 4-velocity ${v_s}^A$ and that observed by a detector in ${\zeta_r}^A$ and moving with 4-velocity ${v_r}^A$ are $\omega_s = {v_s}^A\, \partial_A\, \varphi(\zeta_s)$ and $\omega_r = {v_r}^A\, \partial_A\, \varphi(\zeta_r)$, respectively.

This justifies the boundary condition (6.11), because sources at rest in the rotating frame with coordinates (τ, σ^r) have 4-velocity $v^A = (1, 0)$.

However, to measure the electro-magnetic field in assigned (spatial) polarization direction we must assume that the detector is endowed with a tetrad orthonormal with respect to the 4-metric of the 3+1 splitting, such that the time-like 4-vector is the unit 4-velocity of the detector: in 4-coordinates adapted to the 3+1 splitting they are $\mathcal{E}^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau) = \Big(\mathcal{E}^A_{(o)}(\tau) =$

$v^A(\tau); \mathcal{E}^A_{(i)}(\tau)\Big)$, $g_{AB}(\zeta_r(\tau))\, \mathcal{E}^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau)\, \mathcal{E}^B_{(\beta)}(\tau) = \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}$ (see Subsection B of Section II for the 1+3 point of view). A detector measures the following field strengths along the spatial polarization directions $\mathcal{E}^A_{(i)}(\tau)$: $\check{E}_{(i)} = F_{AB}\, v^A\, \mathcal{E}^B_{(i)}$ and $\check{B}_{(i)} = (1/2)\, \epsilon_{(i)(j)(k)}\, F_{AB}\, \mathcal{E}^A_{(j)}\, \mathcal{E}^B_{(k)}$.

Let us consider the following two cases.

1. Detectors at Rest in an Inertial Frame

A detector at rest in the instantaneous inertial frame with coordinates $(\tau; X^a(\tau))$ follows the straight world-line $\zeta^\mu_{r,in}(\tau) = \tau\, \epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_a\, \eta^a_{in}$ with $\eta^a_{in} = const.$ and has the 4-velocity $u^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau$. If the reference asymptotic tetrad ϵ^μ_A of the foliation is related by $\epsilon^\mu_A = \Lambda^\mu_{(o)\nu}\, e^\nu_{(A)}$ to a tetrad $e^\mu_{(A)} = \delta^\mu_A$ aligned to the axes of the inertial frame in Cartesian coordinates, then a generic time-independent non-rotating tetrad associated with the detector will be $\mathcal{G}^\mu_{(A)} = \Lambda_{(A)}{}^{(B)}\, e^\mu_{(B)} = \Lambda_{(A)}{}^{(\mu)}$ if $\mathcal{G}^\mu_{(\tau)} = u^\mu$. Here the Λ's denote Lorentz transformations. The detector will measure the standard electric and magnetic fields $\check{E}_{(i)} = F_{\mu\nu}\, u^\mu\, \mathcal{G}^\nu_{(i)}$ and $\check{B}_{(i)} = (1/2)\, \epsilon_{(i)(j)(k)}\, F_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{G}^\mu_{(j)}\, \mathcal{G}^\nu_{(k)}$.

2. Sources and Detectors at Rest in Inertial and Rotating Frames

Lt us now consider sources and detectors at rest in the nearly rigid rotating frame described by the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \sigma^s + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$, so that $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, \dot{R}^r{}_s(\tau)\, \sigma^s + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ and $z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \epsilon^\mu_s\, R^s{}_r(\tau) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

The world-line of these objects will have the form $\zeta^\mu(\tau) = \tau\, \epsilon^\mu_\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \eta^s_o + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, \zeta^A(\tau)$ with $\eta^r_o = const.$. We have $\zeta^\tau(\tau) = \tau$ and $\zeta^r(\tau) = R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \eta^s_o + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$. Therefore these objects coincide with some of the observers belonging at the non-surface forming congruence generated by the evolution vector field as said in Subsection B of Section II. Since the world-lines of the Eulerian observers of the other congruence are not explicitly known, it is not possible to study the behavior of objects coinciding with some of these observers.

Therefore the unit 4-velocity $u^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_A\, v^A(\tau)$ will have the components $v^A(\tau)$ proportional to $\dot{\zeta}^A(\tau) = \Big(1; \dot{R}^r{}_s(\tau)\, \eta^s_o + O(\Omega^2/c^2)\Big) \approx |_{\vec{\Omega}=const.} \Big(1; R^r{}_s(\tau)\, (\vec{\eta}_o \times \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c})^s + O(\Omega^2/c^2)\Big)$, where the definitions after Eq.(2.14) have been used.

We can also write $u^\mu(\tau) = \tilde{u}^A(\tau)\, z^\mu_A(\tau, \eta^u_o)$ by using the non-orthonormal tetrads $z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^u)$. Then we get $v^\tau(\tau) = \tilde{u}^\tau(\tau) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ and $v^r(\tau) = \tilde{u}^\tau(\tau)\, \dot{R}^r{}_s(\tau)\, \eta^s_o + R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \tilde{u}^s(\tau) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$. While the quantities $v^A(\tau)$ give the description of the 4-velocity with respect to the asymptotic non-rotating inertial observers, the quantities $\tilde{u}^A(\tau)$ explicitly show the effect of the rotation at the position η^r_o of the object. Therefore it should be $\tilde{u}^A(\tau) = (1; 0)$ at the lowest or-

der: indeed we get $\tilde{u}^\tau(\tau) = 1+O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ and $\tilde{u}^r(\tau) = v^s(\tau)\, R_s{}^r(\tau) - \tilde{u}^\tau\, \Big(R^{-1}(\tau)\, \dot{R}(\tau)\Big)^r{}_s\, \eta^s_o = 0 + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

For the constant unit normal to the instantaneous 3-spaces we get $l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau = \tilde{l}^A(\tau,\eta^r_o)\, z^\mu(\tau,\eta^r_o)$ with $\tilde{l}^\tau(\tau,\eta^r_o) = 1 + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ and $\tilde{l}^r(\tau,\eta^u_o) = -\tilde{l}^\tau(\tau,\eta^u_o)\, \Big(R^{-1}(\tau)\, \dot{R}(\tau)\Big)^r{}_s\, \eta^s_o = -(\vec{\eta}_o \times \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c})^r + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

Let us introduce an orthonormal tetrad $\mathcal{W}^\mu_{(\alpha)}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{W}^\mu_{(\alpha)}\, \mathcal{W}^\nu_{(\beta)} = \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}$, whose time-like 4-vector is l^μ, i.e. We have $\mathcal{W}^\mu_{(o)} = l^\mu = \epsilon^\mu_\tau = \mathcal{W}^A_{(o)}\, \epsilon^\mu_A = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^u_o)\, z^\mu_A(\tau,\eta^u_o)$ with $.\mathcal{W}^A_{(o)} = (1;0)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^u_o) = \tilde{l}^A(\tau,\eta^u_o) = \Big(1; -(\vec{\eta}_o \times \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c})^r\Big) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$. The spatial axes $\mathcal{W}^\mu_{(i)} = \mathcal{W}^A_{(i)}\, \epsilon^\mu_A = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(i)}(\tau,\eta^u_o)\, z^\mu_A(\tau,\eta^u_o)$ with $l_\mu\, \mathcal{W}^\mu_{(i)} = [\tilde{l}^A\, g_{AB}\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^B](\tau,\eta^u_o) = 0$ must be non-rotating with respect to the observer with 4-velocity proportional to $z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\eta^u_o)$. Therefore we must have $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(i)} = \Big(0; \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)}\Big)$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)} = const.$. As a consequence we have $\mathcal{W}^A_{(i)}(\tau) = \Big(0; R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^s_{(i)}\Big) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

The polarization axes of sources and detectors will be defined by a tetrad $\mathcal{E}^\mu_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\eta^r_o) = \mathcal{E}^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)\, \epsilon^\mu_A = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)\, z^\mu_A(\tau,\eta^r_o)$, $\eta_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{E}^\mu_{(\alpha)}\, \mathcal{E}^\nu_{(\beta)} = \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}$ with the following properties:

a) the time-like 4-vector $\mathcal{E}^\mu_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)$ is such that its components $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)$ coincide with the components $\tilde{u}^A(\tau) = (1;0) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ of the 4-velocity $u^\mu(\tau)$ of the object located at $\zeta^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau,\eta^r_o)$: as a consequence we have $\mathcal{E}^\mu_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^r_o) = z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\eta^r_o) + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = u^\mu(\tau)$;

b) the spatial axes $\mathcal{E}^\mu_{(i)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)$, orthogonal to the 4-velocity $u^\mu(\tau)$, must be at rest in the rotating frame: we have to identify their components $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(i)}(\tau,\eta^r_o)$.

If at the observer position we consider the Lorentz transformation sending l^μ to $u^\mu(\tau)$, i.e. $L^\mu{}_\nu(l \mapsto u(\tau))$, its projection $L^A{}_B(\vec{\beta}) \overset{def}{=} \epsilon^A_\mu\, L^\mu{}_\nu(l \mapsto u(\tau))\, \epsilon^\nu_B$ is a Wigner boost, see Eq.(2.8), with parameter $\vec{\beta} = \{\beta^r = R^r{}_s(\tau)\, \Big(\vec{\eta}_o \times \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\Big)^s$ (so that $\gamma = \sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^2} = 1 + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$). Therefore the transformation sending the components $\tilde{l}^A(\tau,\eta^u_o)$ of the unit normal into the components $\tilde{u}^A(\tau)$ of the 4-velocity modulo terms of order $O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(o)}(\tau,\eta^u_o) &= \tilde{u}^A(\tau) = (1;0) + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = \\
&= \Big(z^A_\mu\, \epsilon^\mu_C\, L^C{}_D(\vec{\beta})\, \epsilon^D_\nu\, z^\nu_B\, \tilde{l}^B_{(o)}\Big)(\tau,\eta^u_o) + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = \\
&= \Big(z^A_\mu\, \epsilon^\mu_C\, L^C{}_D(\vec{\beta})\, \epsilon^D_\nu\, z^\nu_B\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^B_{(o)}\Big)(\tau,\eta^u_o) + O(\Omega^2/c^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(i)}(\tau,\eta^u_o) = \Big(z^A_\mu\, \epsilon^\mu_C\, L^C{}_D(\vec{\beta})\, \epsilon^D_\nu\, z^\nu_B\Big)(\tau,\eta^u_o)\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^B_{(i)}. \tag{6.20}
$$

This complete the construction of the non-rotating tetrads $\mathcal{E}^\mu_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\eta^u_o)$ for the objects at rest at η^r_o.

A detector endowed of such a non-rotating tetrad will measure the following projections of the electro-magnetic field strength on its polarization directions

$$\hat{E}_{(i)} = F_{AB}\, \tilde{u}^A\, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^B_{(i)}, \qquad \hat{B}_{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\, \epsilon_{(i)(j)(k)}\, F_{AB}\, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^A_{(j)}\, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^B_{(k)}. \tag{6.21}$$

These quantities have to be confronted with the non-inertial electric and magnetic fields E_r and B_r, whose projections on the non-rotating spatial axes $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(i)} = (0; \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)})$ inside the instantaneous 3-space are

$$E_{(i)} = E_r\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)}, \qquad B_{(i)} = B_r\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)}. \tag{6.22}$$

Eqs.(6.20) imply the following connection among these quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_{(i)} &= E_{(i)} + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2/c^2), \\ \hat{B}_{(i)} &= B_{(i)} - \epsilon_{ijk}\, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(j)}\, \delta_{rs} \left(\vec{\eta}_o \times \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\right)^s E_{(k)} + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2/c^2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

For radio wave (like in the case of GPS) the directions $\mathcal{G}^a_{(i)}$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^r_{(i)}$ are realized by means of antennas attached to both emitters and receivers. In the optical range the antennas are replaced by components of the macroscopic devices used for the emission and the detection.

C. The Phase Wrap Up Effect

The *phase wrap up* is a modification of the phase when a receiver in rotational motion analyzes the circularly polarized radiation emitted by a source at rest in an inertial frame. Till now the effect has been explained by using the 1+3 point of view and the locality hypothesis in Refs.[31], where it shown that it is a particular case of helicity-rotation coupling (the spin-rotation coupling for photons). It has been verified experimentally, in particular in GPS [36], where the receiving antenna on the Earth surface is rotating with Earth.

We will explain the effect by using the non-inertial solution (6.7) and an observer at rest in an inertial frame endowed of the tetrad $\mathcal{G}^\mu_{(A)}$ defined in Subsubsection 1 of Subsection B. We rewrite the spatial axes in the form $\mathcal{G}^a_{(i)} = \left(I^a_{(1)}, I^a_{(2)}, \hat{K}^a\right)$ with the vectors satisfying $\vec{I}_{(1)} \cdot \vec{I}_{(2)} = 0$, $\vec{I}_{(\lambda)} \cdot \hat{K} = 0$ $(\lambda = 1,2)$, $\vec{I}^2_{(\lambda)} = 1$. Then we pass to a circular basis by introducing the vectors $\vec{I}_{(\pm)} = \frac{\vec{I}_{(1)} + i\, \vec{I}_2}{\sqrt{2}}$, which satisfy $\hat{K} \cdot \vec{I}_{(\pm)} = 0$, $\vec{I}^2_{(\pm)} = 0$ and $\vec{I}_{(+)} \cdot \vec{I}_{(-)} = 1$.

In the rotating non-inertial frame a right-circularly polarized wave, emitted in the inertial frame, will have the form (6.7) ($\hat{K} \cdot \vec{I}_{(+)} = 0$ is the transversality condition)

$$A_{\perp r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \frac{F}{\omega}\, I_{(+)a}\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}. \tag{6.24}$$

Let us remark that in the circular basis we have $\vec{A}_\perp = A_n\,\hat{n} + A_+\,\vec{I}_{(+)} + A_-\,\vec{I}_{(-)}$, but the components A_n, $A_\pm$, coincide with either linearly or circularly polarized states of the electro-magnetic field only for $\hat{n} = \hat{k}$, since $\hat{K} = \frac{\omega}{c}\,\hat{k}$ ($\hat{K}^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}$) is the wave vector.

From Eqs(6.24) we obtain the following non-inertial magnetic and electric fields (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
B_r &= -\frac{F}{c}\, I_{(+)a}\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} \overset{def}{=} B_o\, I_{(+)a}(K)\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})},\\
E_r &= -i\,\frac{F}{c}\; I_{(+)a}\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} + \frac{1}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{B} =\\
&\overset{def}{=} E_o\, I_{(+)a}\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\, e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} + E_\ell\, \hat{K}_a\, R^a{}_r(\tau)\; e^{i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})},
\end{aligned}$$

$$B_o = -\frac{F}{c}, \qquad E_o = -i\,\frac{F}{c} + \frac{1}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{B}\cdot\vec{I}_{(-)}, \quad E_\ell = \frac{1}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\times\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{B}\cdot\hat{K}. \tag{6.25}$$

Let us now consider a receiver at rest in the rotating frame. Since its 4-velocity is $\tilde{u}^A = (1;0)$, it can be endowed with the non-rotating tetrad $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^A_{(\alpha)}$ of Subsubsection 2 of Subsection B. If $\hat{n}$ is the unit vector in the direction of the rotation axis (), i.e. if $\vec{\Omega} = \Omega\,\hat{n}$, we can choose the spatial axes $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)} = (\epsilon^r_{(1)}, \epsilon^r_{(2)}, \hat{n}^r)$ with $\vec{\epsilon}_{(1)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}_{(2)} = 0$, $\vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}\cdot\hat{K} = 0$, $\vec{\epsilon}^{\,2}_{(\lambda)} = 1$. If we introduce the circular basis $\vec{\epsilon}_{(\pm)} = \frac{\vec{\epsilon}_{(1)} + i\,\vec{\epsilon}_2}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have $\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}_{(\pm)} = 0$, $\vec{\epsilon}^{\,2}_{(\pm)} = 0$, $\vec{\epsilon}_{(+)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}_{(-)} = 1$ and $R^a{}_r(\tau)\,\epsilon_{(\pm)}{}^r = \epsilon^a_{(\pm)}\; e^{\left[\pm i\,\frac{\Omega}{c}\,\tau\right]}$.

The receiver will measure the following magnetic and electric fields

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n &= B_r\,\hat{n}^r = B_o\,\left(\vec{I}_{(+)a}\hat{n}^a\right)\,\exp\left[i\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right],\\
B_{(\pm)} &= B_r\,\epsilon^r_{(\mp)} = B_o\,\left(\vec{I}_{(+)a}\epsilon^a_{(\mp)}\right)\,\exp\left[\frac{i}{c}\,\left(\mp\Omega\,\tau + \omega\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\right)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n &= E_r\,\hat{n}^r = \left[E_o\,\left(\vec{I}_{(+)a}\hat{n}^a\right) + E_\ell\,\hat{K}_a\hat{n}^a\right]\,\exp\left[i\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right],\\
E_{(\pm)} &= E_r\,\epsilon^r_{(\mp)} = \left[E_o\,\left(\vec{I}_{(+)a}\epsilon^a_{(\mp)}\right) + E_\ell\,\hat{K}_a\,\epsilon^a_{(\pm)}\right]\,\exp\left[\frac{i}{c}\,\left(\mp\Omega\,\tau + \omega\,\Phi(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

In the case $\hat{n}^a = \hat{K}^a$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n \;&=\; B_{(-)} = 0 \\
B_{(+)} \;&=\; B_o\, e^{\left[\frac{i}{c}\left((\omega-\Omega)\tau+\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\right)\right]}, \\
E_n \;&=\; E_\ell\, e^{\left[i\frac{\omega}{c}\left(\omega\tau+\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\right)\right]}, \qquad E_{(+)} = 0 \\
E_{(+)} \;&=\; E_o\, e^{\left[\frac{i}{c}\left((\omega-\Omega)\tau+\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\right)\right]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Therefore the components $B_{(+)}, E_{(+)}$ have the frequency modified to $\omega \mapsto \omega - \Omega$: this is the phase wrap up effect. These are same results as in Ref.[31] at the lowest order in Ω/c. The only new fact is the presence of the component $E_n \neq 0$.

It would be interesting to make the calculation of the deviations of order $O(\Omega^2/c^2)$ from rigid rotation, to see whether the result $\omega \mapsto \gamma\,(\omega \pm \Omega)$ (γ is a Lorentz factor), found in Ref.[31] by using the locality hypothesis and supporting the interpretation with the helicity-rotation coupling, is confirmed.

D. The Sagnac Effect

Following a suggestion of Ref.[37] let us consider the solution (6.8) in the eikonal approximation, which describes the propagation of the radiation along a ray of light whose trajectory is given in Eq.(6.19). This solution allows to get a derivation of the Sagnac effect (described in Appendix A) along the lines of Ref.[38].

Let us consider two receivers A and B at rest in the rotating frame and characterized by the 3-coordinates η^r_A and η^r_B respectively. Let us assume that A and B lie in the same 2-plane containing the origin $\sigma^r = 0$ and orthogonal to $\vec{\Omega}$. Therefore we have $\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A = \vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\eta}_B = 0$. Let us assume that A and B are both on the trajectory of a ray of light, so that Eq.(6.19) implies the existence of a time τ_{AB} such that we have

$$\vec{\eta}_B - \vec{\eta}_A = \hat{k}\,\tau_{AB} + \left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\times\hat{k}\right)\,\tau^2_{AB} + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2/c^2). \tag{6.28}$$

The phase difference between A and B at the same instant τ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\varphi_{AB} &= \frac{\omega}{c}\,[\Phi(\tau,\vec{\eta}_B)-\Phi(\tau,\vec{\eta}_A)] =\\ &= -\frac{\omega}{c}\left[\hat{k}\cdot(\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A)+(\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\eta}_B)\left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_B\times\hat{k}\right)-(\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A)\left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A\times\hat{k}\right)\right]+\\ &+\ O(\Omega^2/c^2).\end{aligned}\tag{6.29}$$

Eq.(6.28) implies

$$\vec{\eta}_B=\vec{\eta}_A+\hat{k}\,\tau_{AB}+O(\Omega/c)\ \Rightarrow\ \frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_B\times\hat{k}=\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A\times\hat{k}+O(\Omega^2/c^2),\tag{6.30}$$

so that we get

$$\Delta\varphi_{AB}=-\frac{\omega}{c}\left[\hat{k}\cdot(\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A)+\hat{k}\cdot(\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A)\left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A\times\hat{k}\right)+O(\Omega^2/c^2)\right].\tag{6.31}$$

Since Eq.(6.28) also implies $\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A=\mid\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A\mid\ \hat{k}+O(\Omega^2/c^2)$, we arrive at the result

$$\Delta\varphi_{AB}=-\frac{\omega}{c}\left[\mid\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A\mid+\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A\times(\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A)\right]+O(\Omega^2/c^2).\tag{6.32}$$

If A_{BAO} is the area of the triangle BAO in the 2-plane orthogonal to $\vec{\Omega}$, we have $\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\cdot\vec{\eta}_A\times(\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A)=\pm 2\,\frac{\Omega}{c}\,A_{BAO}$ (the choice of ± depends on the direction of motion of the ray). As a consequence, the phase difference is the sum of the following two terms

$$\Delta\varphi_{AB}=-\frac{\omega}{c}\mid\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A\mid+\delta\varphi_{AB}+O(\Omega^2/c^2).\tag{6.33}$$

While the first term, $-\frac{\omega}{c}\mid\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A\mid$, is present also in the inertial frames, the second term

$$\delta\varphi_{AB}=\mp\frac{2\,\omega\,\Omega}{c^2}\,A_{BAO},\tag{6.34}$$

is the extra phase variation due to the rotation of the frame. This is the Sagnac effect.

E. The Inertial Faraday Rotation

Let us give the derivation of the rotation of the polarization of an electro-magnetic wave in a rotating frame, named inertial Faraday rotation, which is important in astrophysics

[39], were it is induced by the gravitational field (due to the equivalence principle only non-inertial frames are allowed in general relativity). Our approach is analogous to the one of Ref.[29] in the case of Post-Newtonian gravity.

Let us consider the amplitude $\vec{a}$ of the solution (6.8) in the eikonal approximation: it carries the information about the polarization of a ray of light. To study the first-order transport equation for it, the second of Eqs.(6.9), let us make the series expansion

$$\vec{a}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{a}_o(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \frac{\Omega}{c}\,\vec{a}_1(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + O\Big(\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\Big), \tag{6.35}$$

and let us make the ansatz (in an inertial frame it corresponds to a plane wave)

$$\vec{a}_o(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{a}_o = \text{ const.}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial \vec{a}_o}{\partial \tau} = 0, \qquad \partial_r\,\vec{a}_o = 0. \tag{6.36}$$

This ansatz implies the following form of the second and third equation in Eqs.(6.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Omega}{c}\,\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial \vec{a}_1}{\partial \tau} + \hat{\Omega}\times\vec{a}_o\Big) - (\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\partial})\,\vec{a}_1\Big] + O\Big(\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\Big) = 0,\\ &\vec{a}_o\cdot\hat{k} + \frac{\Omega}{c}\,\Big[\vec{a}_o\cdot\Big(\hat{k}\,(\hat{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\hat{k}) - (\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\,(\hat{\Omega}\times\hat{k})\Big) + \vec{a}_1\cdot\hat{k}\Big] + O\Big(\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2}\Big) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

To study these equations, let us assume that each rotating receiver is endowed with a tetrad of the type given in Eq.(6.20): the spatial axes $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^r_{(i)} = (R^r_1(k), R^r_2(k), \hat{k}^r)$ with $\vec{R}_\lambda(k)\cdot\vec{R}_{\lambda'}(k) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$, $\vec{R}_\lambda(k)\cdot\hat{k} = 0$.

The second of Eqs.(6.37) for the unknown $\vec{a}_o$, $\vec{a}_1$ is the transversality condition and it implies

$$order\,0\,in\,\Omega \;\rightarrow\; \vec{a}_o\cdot\hat{k} = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \vec{a}_o = a^\lambda_o\,\vec{R}_\lambda(k),$$

$$order\,1\,in\,\Omega$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a}_1\cdot\hat{k} &= -\vec{a}_o\cdot\Big(\hat{k}\,(\hat{\Omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\times\hat{k}) + (\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\,(\hat{\Omega}\times\hat{k})\Big) =\\ &= -a^\lambda_o\,\vec{R}_\lambda(k)\cdot(\hat{\Omega}\times\hat{k})\,(\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

Due to the ansatz (6.36) the first of Eqs.(6.37) is of order 1 in Ω and gives the following condition on $\vec{a}_1$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{a}_1}{\partial \tau} - \hat{\Omega} \times \vec{a}_o + (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial})\, \vec{a}_1 = 0. \tag{6.39}$$

If we project this equation on the directions $\hat{k}$, $\vec{R}_\lambda(k)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\, (\vec{a_1} \cdot \hat{k}) - \hat{\Omega} \times \vec{a}_o \cdot \hat{k} + (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial})\, (\vec{a}_1 \cdot \hat{k}) = 0, \\ &\frac{\partial a_1^\lambda}{\partial \tau} - \hat{\Omega} \times \vec{R}_{\lambda'}(k) \cdot \vec{R}_\lambda(k)\, a_o^{\lambda'} + (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\partial})\, a_1^\lambda = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

While the first of Eqs.(6.40) is automatically satisfied, the second one is an equation for the components a_1^λ. The simplest solutions are obtained with the following ansatz

$$\frac{\partial a_1^\lambda}{\partial \tau} = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_1^\lambda(\tau) = \Big[\hat{\Omega} \times \vec{R}_{\lambda'}(k) \cdot \vec{R}_\lambda(k)\Big]\; \hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\, a_o^{\lambda'}. \tag{6.41}$$

The final solution for the transverse electro-magnetic potential is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}_\perp \;&=\; \frac{{a_o}^1}{\omega}\, \left[\vec{R}_1 + \theta(\vec{\sigma})\, \vec{R}_2(k) - \frac{\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{c}\, (\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{R}_2(k))\, \hat{k}\right]\, e^{\left(i\, \frac{\omega}{c}\, \Phi\right)} + \\ &+\; \frac{{a_o}^2}{\omega}\, \left[\vec{R}_2(k) - \theta(\vec{\sigma})\, \vec{R}_1(k) + \frac{\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{c}\, (\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{R}_1(k))\, \hat{k}\right]\, e^{\left(i\, \frac{\omega}{c}\, \Phi\right)} + O(1/\omega^2), \\ &\qquad \mathit{with} \\ \theta(\vec{\sigma}) \;&=\; \frac{1}{c}\, (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\, (\vec{\Omega} \cdot \hat{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

The resulting non-inertial magnetic and electric fields are ($\vec{B} = \{B_r\}$, $\vec{E} = \{E_r\}$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{B} \;&=\; -\frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c}\,\Big[\vec{R}_2(k)-\theta(\vec{\sigma})\,\vec{R}_1(k)\Big]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}\,-\\
&-\;\frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c}\,\left[\left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\times\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{k}\right)\,\vec{R}_2(k)-\frac{\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_1(k))\,\hat{k}\right]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+\\
&+\;\frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c}\,\Big[\vec{R}_1(k)+\theta(\vec{\sigma})\,\vec{R}_2(k)\Big]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+\\
&+\;\frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c}\,\left[\left(\frac{\vec{\Omega}}{c}\times\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{k}\right)\,\vec{R}_1(k)+\frac{\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_2(k))\,\hat{k}\right]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+\\
&+\;O(1/\omega)+O(\Omega^2/c^2)=\\
&\overset{def}{=}\;b(\vec{\sigma})\;e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+O(1/\omega)+O(\Omega^2/c^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{E} \;&=\; -\frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c}\,\left[\vec{R}_1(k)+\theta(\vec{\sigma})\,\vec{R}_2(k)-\frac{\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_2(k))\,\hat{k}\right]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}\,-\\
&-\;\frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c}\,\left[\vec{R}_2(k)-\theta(\vec{\sigma})\,\vec{R}_1(k)+\frac{\hat{k}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_1(k))\,\hat{k}\right]\,e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+\\
&+\;O(1/\omega)+O(\Omega^2/c^2)=\\
&\overset{def}{=}\;f(\vec{\sigma})\;e^{\left(i\,\frac{\omega}{c}\,\Phi\right)}+O(1/\omega)+O(\Omega^2/c^2). \qquad (6.43)
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case of the Sagnac effect let us consider two receivers A and B at the endpoints of the same light ray described by Eqs, (6.19) and (6.28). The magnetic field observed by A, $\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_A)$, differs from the one observed by B, $\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_B)$. Since the phase changes have been already analyzed for the Sagnac effect, let us concetrate on the amplitudes $\vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_A)$ and $\vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_B)$. Since Eq.(6.28) gives $\vec{\eta}_B-\vec{\eta}_A=\hat{k}\,\tau_{AB}+O(\Omega/c)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_B) - \vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_A) &= \frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c}\,\delta\theta_{BA}\,\vec{R}_1(k) + \frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c}\,\delta\theta_{BA}\,\vec{R}_2(k) + \\ &+ \frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c}\left[\frac{\mid \vec{\eta}_B - \vec{\eta}_A \mid}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_1(k))\,\hat{k}\right] + \\ &+ \frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c}\left[\frac{\mid \vec{\eta}_B - \vec{\eta}_A \mid}{c}\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{R}_2(k))\,\hat{k}\right] + O(\Omega^2/c^2), \\ & \qquad with \\ \delta\theta_{BA} &= \theta(\vec{\eta}_B) - \theta(\vec{\eta}_A) = \frac{1}{c}\,\mid \vec{\eta}_B - \vec{\eta}_A \mid\,(\vec{\Omega}\cdot\hat{k}) + O(\Omega^2/c^2).\end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

$\delta\theta_{BA}$ is the angle of the *inertial Faraday rotation* (in this case it is small, $\delta\theta_{AB} \sim \Omega/c$). It agrees with Eq.(4) of Ref.[39], where it has the form $\delta\theta_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_A^B \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}}\,(\nabla\times\vec{n})\cdot d\vec{\sigma}$ as a line integral along the spatial trajectory of the light ray. This formula agrees with our result, because, due to the approximations we have done, we have $g_{\tau\tau} = 1$, $(\nabla\times\vec{n}) = -\frac{2\vec{\Omega}}{c}$ and our ray trajectory is $\vec{\sigma}(\tau) = \hat{k}\,\tau + \vec{\sigma}_o + O(\Omega^2/c^2)$.

To make the *rotation* explicit, let us write the components along the two polarization directions: $b_{(\lambda)}(\vec{\eta}_A) = \vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_A)\cdot\vec{R}_\lambda(k)$ and $b_{(\lambda)}(\vec{\eta}_B) = \vec{b}(\vec{\eta}_B)\cdot\vec{R}_\lambda(k)$. In this way we get

$$\begin{aligned}b_{(1)}(\vec{\eta}_B) &= b_{(1)}(\vec{\eta}_A) + \delta\theta_{AB}\,\frac{i\,{a_o}^1}{c} + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = b_{(1)}(\vec{\eta}_A) - \delta\theta_{AB}\,b_{(2)}(\vec{\eta}_A) + O(\Omega^2/c^2), \\ b_{(2)}(\vec{\eta}_B) &= b_{(2)}(\vec{\eta}_A) + \delta\theta_{AB}\,\frac{i\,{a_o}^2}{c} + O(\Omega^2/c^2) = b_{(2)}(\vec{\eta}_A) + \delta\theta_{AB}\,b_{(1)}(\vec{\eta}_A) + O(\Omega^2/c^2)\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

This is just a small angle rotation with $b_{(\lambda)}(\vec{\eta}_B) = {R_\lambda}^{\lambda'}(k)\,(\delta\theta_{AB})\,b_{(\lambda')}(\vec{\eta}_A)$.

The electric field may be treated in the same way.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have defined the general theory of *non-inertial frames* in Minkowski space-time. It is based on Møller-admissible 3+1 splittings of Minkowski space-time (they give conventions for clock synchronization, i.e. for the identification of instantaneous 3-spaces) and on parametrized Minkowski theories for isolated systems admitting a Lagrangian description. The transition from a non-inertial frame to every other one is formalized as a gauge transformation, so that physical results do not depend on how the clock are synchronized.

The Møller conditions, implying the absence of rotational velocities higher than the velocity of light c and requiring that the three eigenvalues of the non-inertial 3-metric inside the instantaneous Riemannian 3-spaces has three non-null positive eigenvalues, have to be implemented with the following two extra conditions:

a) the lapse function must be positive definite in each point of the instantaneous 3-space, so to avoid the intersection of 3-spaces at different times;

b) the space-like hyper-surfaces corresponding to the Riemannian 3-spaces must become space-like hyper-planes (Euclidean 3-spaces) at spatial infinity with a direction-independent unit normal $l^\mu_{(\infty)}$ (asymptotic inertial observers to be identified with the fixed stars).

Among the admissible non-inertial frames we identified the *non-inertial rest frames*, generalizing the inertial rest frames and relevant for canonical gravity [5, 11, 12].

All the properties of the inertial rest-frame instant form of dynamics, studied in details in Refs.[8], have been extended to non-inertial frames. Again every isolated system may be described as a decoupled non-covariant external center of mass carrying a pole-dipole structure: the internal mass of the system and an effective spin (becoming the rest spin in the inertial rest frame). In particular we have found the non-inertial generalization of the second class constraints eliminating the internal 3-center of mass inside the instantaneous 3-spaces.

This theory of non-inertial frames is free by construction from the coordinate singularities of all the approaches to accelerated frames based on the 1+3 point of view, in which the instantaneous 3-spaces are identified with the local rest frames of the observer. The pathologies of this approach are either the horizon problem of the rotating disk (rotational velocities higher than c), which is still present in all the calculations of pulsar magnetosphere in the form of the light cylinder, or the intersection of the local rest 3-spaces. The main difference between the 3+1 and 1+3 points of view is that the Møller conditions forbid *rigid rotations* in relativistic theories. The simplest example of 3+1 splitting with *differential* *rotations* is given and the 3+1 point of view for the rotating disk and the Sagnac effect is evaluated. This splitting is also used to give a special relativistic generalization of the non-relativistic non-inertial International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS) used to describe fixed coordinates on the surface of the rotating Earth in the conventions IERS2003 [40].

We have done a detailed study of the isolated system of positive-energy scalar particles with Grassmann-valued electric charges plus the electro-magnetic field extending to non-inertial frames its Hamiltonian description given in the inertial rest frame in Ref.[8].

By using a non-covariant (i.e. coordinate-dependent) decomposition of the electro-magnetic potential we obtained the *non-inertial radiation gauge*, in which the electro-magnetic field is described by means of transverse quantities (the Dirac observables). This allowed us to find the non-inertial expression of the Coulomb potential, which is now dependent also on the field strengths and the inertial potentials. The non-covariance of the description is natural due to the presence in the Hamiltonian of the *relativistic inertial potentials*, namely the components $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^r)$ of the 4-metric induced by the 3+1 splitting, which are *intrinsically coordinate dependent*. The non-relativistic limit of the inertial potentials reproduces the standard (again coordinate-dependent) Newtonian ones. The Hamiltonian in non-inertial frames turns out to be the sum of the invariant mass (now coordinate-dependent due to its dependence on the 4-metric) of the system plus terms in the inertial potentials disappearing in the inertial rest frame.

Then we re-examined some properties of the electro-magnetic wave solutions of non-inertial Maxwell equations, which till now were described only by means of the 1+3 point of view, in the 3+1 framework, where there is a well-posed Cauchy problem due to the absence of coordinate singularities. By considering admissible nearly rigid rotating frames we recover the results of the 1+3 approach and open the possibility to make these calculations in presence of deviations from rigid rotations.

A still open problem are the constitutive equations for electrodynamics in material media in non-inertial systems. For linear isotropic media see the Wilson-Wilson experiment in Refs.[41] and Refs.[37, 42], while for an attempt towards a general theory in arbitrary media (including the premetric extension of electro-magnetism) see Refs.[43]

In conclusion we have now a good understanding of particles and electro-magnetism in non-inertial frames in Minkowski space-time, where the 4-metric induced by the admissible 3+1 splitting describes all the inertial effects. Going to canonical gravity in the York canonical basis of Ref.[12] is possible to see which components remain inertial effects and which become dynamical tidal effects (the physical degrees of freedom of the gravitational field); moreover the inertial 3-volume element and some inertial components of the extrinsic curvature of the instantaneous 3-spaces become complicated functions of both general relativistic inertial and tidal effects, because they are determined by the solution of the super-Hamiltonian constraint (the Lichnerowicz equation) and of the super-momentum constraints.

APPENDIX A: THE ROTATING DISK AND THE SAGNAC EFFECT

In this Appendix we give the description of a rotating disk and of the Sagnac effect starting from an admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time of the type of Eqs.(2.14). An enlarged exposition with a rich bibliography is given in Section I Subsection D and E and in Section VI Subsections B and C of the first paper in Ref.[3].

While at the non-relativistic level one can speak of a rigid (either geometrical or material) disk put in global rigid rotatory motion, the problem of the relativistic rotating disk is still under debate (see Refs.[16, 44]) after one century from the enunciation of the Ehrenfest paradox about the 3-geometry of the rotating disk. The problems arise when one tries to define measurements of length, in particular that of the circumference of the disk. Einstein [45] claims that while the rods along the radius R_o are unchanged those along the rim of the disk are Lorentz contracted: as a consequence more of them are needed to measure the circumference, which turns out to be greater than $2\pi\, R_o$ (non-Euclidean 3-geometry even if Minkowski space-time is 4-flat) and not smaller. This was his reply to Ehrenfest [46], who had pointed an inconsistency in the accepted special relativistic description of the disk [16] in which it is the circumference to be Lorentz contracted: as a consequence this fact was named the *Eherenfest paradox* (see the historical paper of Grøn in Ref.[47]).

Since relativistic rigid bodies do not exist, at best we can speak of *Born rigid motions* [48] and *Born reference frames* [17]. However Grøn [47] has shown that the acceleration phase of a material disk is not compatible with Born rigid motions and, moreover, we do not have a well formulated and accepted relativistic framework to discuss a relativistic elastic material disk.

As a consequence most of the authors treating the rotating disk (either explicitly or implicitly) consider it as a *geometrical entity* described by a congruence of time-like world-lines (helices in Ref.[50]) with non-zero vorticity, i.e. non-surface forming and therefore non-synchronizable (see for instance Ref.[51]). This means that there is no notion of instantaneous 3-space where to visualize the disk (see Ref.[44] for the attempts to define rods and clocks associated to this type of congruences): every observer on one of these time-like world-lines can only define the local rest frame and try to define a local accelerated reference frame as said in Section IIB.

---

[16] If R and R_o denote the radius of the disk in the rotating and inertial frame respectively, then we have $R = R_o$ because the velocity is orthogonal to the radius. But the circumference of the rim of the disk is Lorentz contracted so that $2\pi\, R < 2\pi\, R_o$ inconsistently with Euclidean geometry.

[17] A reference frame or platform is *Born-rigid* [49] if the expansion Θ and the shear $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ of the associated congruence of time-like observers vanish, i.e. if the spatial distance between neighboring world-lines remains constant.

In the 3+1 point of view the disk is considered to be a relativistic isolated system (either a relativistic material body or a relativistic fluid or a relativistic dust as a limit case [18]) with compact support always contained in a finite time-like world-tube W, which in the Cartesian 4-coordinates of an inertial system is a time-like cylinder of radius R. Each admissible 3+1 splitting of Minkowski space-time, centered on an arbitrary time-like observer, gives a visualization of the disk in its instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ: at each instant τ the points of the disk in $W \cap \Sigma_\tau$ are synchronized and through each one of them pass an Eulerian observer. Instead the irrotational congruence of the disk is described by the second congruence (whose unit 4-velocity is $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)/\sqrt{\epsilon\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \sigma^u)}$ and whose observers follow generalized helices $\sigma^u = \sigma^u_o$) associated to the admissible 3+1 splitting: each of the observers of this congruence, whose world-lines are inside W, has no intrinsic notion of synchronization.

As a consequence, each instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ of an admissible 3+1 splitting has a well defined (in general Riemannian) notion of 3-geometry and of spatial length: the radius and the circumference of the disk are defined in $W \cap \Sigma_\tau$, so that the disk 3-geometry is 3+1 splitting dependent. When the material disk can be described by means of a parametrized Minkowski theory, all these 3-geometry are gauge equivalent like the notions of clock synchronization.

The other important phenomenon connected with the rotating disk is the *Sagnac effect* (see the recent review in Ref.[53] for how many interpretations of it exist), namely the phase difference generated by the difference in the time needed for a round-trip by two light rays, emitted in the same point, one co-rotating and the other counter-rotating with the disk [19]. This effect, which has been tested (see the bibliography of Refs.[53, 55]) for light, X rays and matter waves (Cooper pairs, neutrons, electrons and atoms), has important technological applications and must be taken into account for the relativistic corrections to space navigation, has again an enormous number of theoretical interpretations (both in special and general relativity) like for the solutions of the Ehrenfest paradox. Here the lack of a good notion of simultaneity leads to problems of *time discontinuities or desynchronization effects* when comparing clocks on the rim of the rotating disk.

---

[18] As an example of a congruence simulating a geometrical rotating disk we can consider the relativistic dust described by generalized Eulerian coordinates of Ref.[52] after the gauge fixing to a family of differentially rotating parallel hyper-planes.

[19] For monochromatic light in vacuum with wavelength λ the fringe shift is $\delta z = 4\, \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}/\lambda\, c$, where $\vec{\Omega}$ is the Galilean velocity of the rotating disk supporting the interferometer and $\vec{A}$ is the vector associated to the area $|\vec{A}|$ enclosed by the light path. The time difference is $\delta t = \lambda\, \delta z/c = 4\, \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}/c^2$, which agrees, at the lowest order, with the proper time difference $\delta\tau = (4\, A\, \Omega/c^2)\, (1 - \Omega^2\, R^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$, $A = \pi\, R^2$, evaluated in an inertial system with the standard rotating disk coordinates. This proper time difference is twice the time lag due to the *synchronization gap* predicted for a clock on the rim of the rotating disk with a non-time orthogonal metric. See Refs.[37, 53, 54] for more details. See also Ref.[36] for the corrections included in the GPS protocol to allow the possibility of making the synchronization of the entire system of ground-based and orbiting atomic clocks in a reference local inertial system. Since usually, also in GPS, the rotating coordinate system has $t^{'} = t$ (t is the time of an inertial observer on the axis of the disk) the gap is a consequence of the impossibility to extend Einstein's convention of the inertial system also to the

Another area which is in a not well established form is electrodynamics in non-inertial systems either in vacuum or in material media (*problem of the non-inertial constitutive equations*). Its clarification is needed both to derive the Sagnac effect from Maxwell equations without gauge ambiguities [37] and to determine which types of experiments can be explained by using the locality principle to evaluate the electro-magnetic fields in the comoving system (see the Wilson experiment and the associated controversy [41] on the validity of the locality principle) without the need of a more elaborate treatment like for the radiation of accelerated charges. It would also help in the tests of the validity of special relativity (for instance on the possible existence of a preferred frame) based on Michelson-Morley - type experiments [34, 56].

Instead (see also Ref.[37]) we remark that the Sagnac effect and the Foucault pendulum are *experiments which signal the rotational non-inertiality of the frame*. The same is true for neutron interferometry [57], where different settings of the apparatus are used to *detect either rotational or translational non-inertiality of the laboratory*. As a consequence a null result of these experiments can be used to give a definition of *relativistic quasi-inertial system*.

Let us remark that the disturbing aspects of rotations are rooted in the fact that there is a deep difference between translations and rotations at every level both in Newtonian mechanics and special relativity: the generators of translations satisfy an Abelian algebra, while the rotational ones a non-Abelian algebra. As shown in Refs.[58], at the Hamiltonian level we have that the translation generators are the three components of the momentum, while the generators of rotations are a pair of canonical variables (L^3 and $arctg\, \frac{L^2}{L^1}$) and an unpaired variable ($|\vec{L}|$). As a consequence we can separate globally the motion of the 3-center of mass of an isolated system from the relative variables, but we cannot separate in a global and unique way three Euler angles describing an overall rotation, because the residual vibrational degrees of freedom are not uniquely defined.

We will now give the 3+1 point of view on these topics (Subsection 1), followed by a discussion on the rotating 3-coordinates fixed to the Earth surface (Subsection 2).

---

non-inertial one rotating with the disk: after one period two nearby synchronized clocks on the rim are out of synchrony.

1. The 3+1 Point of View on the Rotating Disk and the Sagnac Effect.

Let us describe an abstract geometrical disk with an admissible 3+1 splitting of the type (2.14), in which the instantaneous 3-spaces are parallel space-like hyper-planes with normal l^μ centered on an inertial observer $x^\mu(\tau) = l^\mu\,\tau$

$$z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = l^\mu\,\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r_{(3)\,s}(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^s. \tag{A1}$$

The rotation matrix $R_{(3)}$ describes a differential rotation around the fixed axis "3" (we take a constant ω, but nothing changes with $\omega(\tau)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^r_{(3)\,s}(\tau, \sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\,\theta(\tau, \sigma) & -\sin\,\theta(\tau, \sigma) & 0 \\ \sin\,\theta(\tau, \sigma) & \cos\,\theta(\tau, \sigma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\\
&\theta(\tau, \sigma) = F(\sigma)\,\omega\,\tau, \quad F(\sigma) < \frac{c}{\omega\,\sigma},\\
&\Omega^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma) = \Big(R^{-1}_{(3)}\,\frac{dR_{(3)}}{d\tau}\Big)^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma) = \omega\,F(\sigma)\,\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\\
&\Omega(\tau, \sigma) = \Omega(\sigma) = \omega\,F(\sigma).
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

A simple choice for the gauge function $F(\sigma)$ is $F(\sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}}$ (in the rest of the Section we put $c = 1$), so that at spatial infinity we get $\Omega(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{\omega}{1 + \frac{\omega^2\,\sigma^2}{c^2}} \rightarrow_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

By introducing cylindrical 3-coordinates r, φ, h by means of the equations $\sigma^1 = r\,\cos\,\varphi$, $\sigma^2 = r\,\sin\,\varphi$, $\sigma^3 = h$, $\sigma = \sqrt{r^2 + h^2}$, we get the following form of the embedding and of its gradients

$$\begin{aligned}
z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= l^\mu\,\tau + \epsilon^\mu_1\,[\cos\,\theta(\tau, \sigma)\,\sigma^1 - \sin\,\theta(\tau, \sigma)\,\sigma^2] + \\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_2\,[\sin\,\theta(\tau, \sigma)\,\sigma^1 + \cos\,\theta(\tau, \sigma)\,\sigma^2] + \epsilon^\mu_3\,\sigma^3 = \\
&= l^\mu\,\tau + \epsilon^\mu_1\,r\,\cos\,[\theta(\tau, \sigma) + \varphi] + \epsilon^\mu_2\,r\,\sin\,[\theta(\tau, \sigma) + \varphi] + \epsilon^\mu_3\,h,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial \tau} &= z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = l^\mu - \omega\, r\, F(\sigma)\, \Big(\epsilon^\mu_1\, \sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] - \epsilon^\mu_2\, \cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] \Big),\\
\frac{\partial z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial \varphi} &= z^\mu_\varphi(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\epsilon^\mu_1\, r\, \sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] + \epsilon^\mu_2\, r\, \cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi]\\
\frac{\partial z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial r} &= z^\mu_{(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\epsilon^\mu_1\, \Big((\cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] - \frac{r^2\omega\tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\, \sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] \Big) +\\
&+\ \epsilon^\mu_2\, \Big(\sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] + \frac{r^2\omega\tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] \Big)\\
\frac{\partial z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial h} &= z^\mu_h(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \epsilon^\mu_3 - \epsilon^\mu_1\, \Big(\frac{rh\omega\tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\, \sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] \Big) +\\
&+\ \epsilon^\mu_2\, \Big(\frac{rh\omega\tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\, \cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] \Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

where we have used the notation (r) to avoid confusion with the index r used as 3-vector index (for example in σ^r).

In the cylindrical 4-coordinates τ, r, φ and h the 4-metric is

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= 1 - \omega^2\, r^2\, F^2(\sigma), \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\omega\, r^2\, F(\sigma), \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\varphi\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -r^2,\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\omega^2\, r^3\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, F(\sigma)\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau h}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\frac{\omega^2\, r^2\, h\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, F(\sigma)\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{(r)(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -1 - \frac{r^4\, \omega^2\, \tau^2}{r^2+h^2}\, \Big(\frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\Big)^2,\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{hh}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -1 - \frac{r^2\, h^2\, \omega^2\, \tau^2}{r^2+h^2}\, \Big(\frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\Big)^2,\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{(r)\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\omega\, r^3\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{h\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\frac{\omega^2\, r^2\, h\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma},\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{h(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{r^3\, h\, \omega^2\, \tau^2}{r^2+h^2}\, \Big(\frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}\Big)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$with\, inverse$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= 1, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\tau\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\omega\, F(\sigma),\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\tau(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\tau h}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{(r)(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{hh}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -1,\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\varphi\varphi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{1+\omega^2\, r^2\, [\tau^2\, (\frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma})^2 - F^2(\sigma)}{r^2},\\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\varphi(r)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\omega\, r\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g^{\varphi h}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \frac{\omega\, h\, \tau}{\sqrt{r^2+h^2}}\, \frac{dF(\sigma)}{d\sigma}. \qquad \text{(A4)}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that the congruence of (non inertial) observers defined by the 4-velocity field

$$\frac{z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}} = \frac{l^\mu - \omega\, r\, F(\sigma)\, \Big(\epsilon^\mu_1\, \sin[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi] - \epsilon^\mu_2\, \cos[\theta(\tau,\sigma)+\varphi]\Big)}{1-\omega^2\, r^2\, F^2(\sigma)}, \qquad \text{(A5)}$$

has the observers moving along the world-lines

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^\mu_{\vec{\sigma}_o}(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}_o) =\\
&= l^\mu\, \tau + r_o\, \Big(\epsilon^\mu_1\, \cos[\omega\, \tau\, F(\sigma_o)+\varphi_o] + \epsilon^\mu_2\, \sin[\omega\, \tau\, F(\sigma_o)+\varphi_o]\Big) + \epsilon^\mu_3\, h_o. \qquad \text{(A6)}
\end{aligned}$$

The world-lines (A6) are labeled by their initial value $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\sigma}_o = (\varphi_o, r_o, h_o)$ at $\tau = 0$.

In particular for $h_o = 0$ and $r_o = R$ these world-lines are *helices* on the *cylinder* in the Minkowski space

$$\epsilon^\mu_3\, z_\mu = 0, \qquad (\epsilon^\mu_1\, z_\mu)^2 + (\epsilon^\mu_2\, z_\mu)^2 = R^2, \qquad or \qquad r = R, \qquad h = 0. \qquad \text{(A7)}$$

These helices are defined the equations $\varphi = \varphi_o$, $r = R$, $h = 0$ if expressed in the embedding adapted coordinates φ, r, h. Then the congruence of observers (A5), defined by the foliation (A1), defines on the cylinder (A7) the *rotating observers* usually assigned to the rim of a rotating disk, namely observes running along the helices $x^\mu_{\vec{\sigma}_o}(\tau) = l^\mu\, \tau + R\, \Big(\epsilon^\mu_1\, \cos[\Omega(R)\, \tau\, + \varphi_o] + \epsilon^\mu_2\, \sin[\Omega(R)\, \tau\, + \varphi_o]\Big)$ after having put $\Omega(R) \equiv \omega\, F(R)$.

On the cylinder (A7) the line element is obtained from the line element $ds^2 = g_{AB}\, d\sigma^A\, d\sigma^B$ for the metric (A4) by putting $dh = dr = 0$ and $r = R$, $h = 0$. Therefore the cylinder line element is

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, (ds_{cyl})^2 = \Big[1 - \omega^2\, R^2\, F^2(R)\Big]\, (d\tau)^2 - 2\, \omega\, R^2\, F(R)\, d\tau d\varphi - R^2\, (d\varphi)^2. \tag{A8}$$

We can define the *light rays on the cylinder*, i.e. the null curves on it, by solving the equation

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, (ds_{cyl})^2 = (1 - R^2\, \Omega^2(R))\, d\tau^2 - 2\, R^2\, \Omega(R)\, d\tau\, d\varphi - R^2\, d\varphi^2 = 0, \tag{A9}$$

which implies

$$R^2 \left(\frac{d\varphi(\tau)}{d\tau} \right)^2 + 2\, R^2\, \Omega(R) \left(\frac{d\varphi(\tau)}{d\tau} \right) - (1 - R^2\, \Omega(R)) = 0. \tag{A10}$$

The two solutions

$$\frac{d\varphi(\tau)}{d\tau} = \pm\, \frac{1}{R} - \Omega(R), \tag{A11}$$

define the world-lines on the cylinder for *clockwise or anti-clockwise* rays of light.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma_1 : \qquad & \varphi(\tau) - \varphi_o = \left(+\frac{1}{R} - \Omega(R)\right)\, \tau, \\ & \\ \Gamma_2 : \qquad & \varphi(\tau) - \varphi_o = \left(-\frac{1}{R} - \Omega(R)\right)\, \tau \end{array} . \tag{A12}$$

This is the *geometric origin* of the *Sagnac Effect*. Since Γ_1 describes the world-line of the ray of light emitted at $\tau = 0$ by the rotating observer $\varphi = \varphi_o$ in the increasing sense of φ (anti-clockwise), while Γ_2 describes that of the ray of light emitted at $\tau = 0$ by the same observer in the decreasing sense of φ (clockwise), then the two rays of light will be re-absorbed by the same observer at *different τ-times* [20] $\tau_{(\pm\, 2\pi)}$, whose value, determined by the two conditions $\varphi(\tau_{(\pm\, 2\pi)}) - \varphi_o = \pm\, 2\pi$, is

$$\Gamma_1 : \qquad \tau_{(+2\pi)} = \tfrac{2\pi\, R}{1 - \Omega(R)\, R}, \qquad \Gamma_2 : \qquad \tau_{(-2\pi)} = \tfrac{2\pi\, R}{1 + \Omega(R)\, R}. \tag{A13}$$

The *time difference* between the re-absorption of the two light rays is

$$\Delta\tau = \tau_{(+2\pi)} - \tau_{(-2\pi)} = \frac{4\pi\, R^2\, \Omega(R)}{1 - \Omega^2(R)\, R^2} = \frac{4\pi\, R^2\, \omega\, F(R)}{1 - \omega^2\, F^2(R)\, R^2}, \tag{A14}$$

---

[20] Sometimes the *proper time of the rotating observer* is used: $d\mathcal{T}_o = d\tau \sqrt{1 - \Omega^2(R)\, R^2}$.

and it corresponds to the phase difference named the *Sagnac effect*

$$\Delta\Phi = \Omega\,\Delta\tau, \qquad \Omega = \Omega(R) = \omega\,F(R). \tag{A15}$$

We see that we recover the standard result if we take a function $F(\sigma)$ such that $F(R) = 1$. In the non-relativistic applications, where $F(\sigma) \to 1$, the correction implied by admissible relativistic coordinates is totally irrelevant.

With an admissible notion of simultaneity, all the clocks on the rim of the rotating disk lying on a hyper-surface Σ_τ are automatically synchronized. Instead for rotating observers of the irrotational congruence there is a *desynchronization effect or synchronization gap* because they cannot make a global synchronization of their clocks: *usually a discontinuity in the synchronization of clocks is accepted and taken into account* (see Ref.[36] for the GPS).

To clarify this point and see the emergence of this gap, let us consider a reference observer $(\varphi_o = const., \tau)$ and another one $(\varphi = const. \neq \varphi_o, \tau)$. If $\varphi > \varphi_o$ we use the notation (φ_R, τ), while for $\varphi < \varphi_o$ the notation (φ_L, τ) with $\varphi_R - \varphi_o = -(\varphi_L - \varphi_o)$.

Let us consider the two rays of light $\Gamma_{R\,-}$ and $\Gamma_{L\,-}$, with world-lines given by Eqs.(A12), emitted in the right and left directions at the event (φ_o, τ_-) on the rim of the disk and received at τ at the events (φ_R, τ) and (φ_L, τ) respectively. Both of them are reflected towards the reference observer, so that we have two rays of light Γ_{R+} and Γ_{L+} which will be absorbed at the event (φ_o, τ_+). By using Eq.(A13) for the light propagation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{R-}:\ (\varphi - \varphi_o) = \frac{1 - R\Omega(R)}{R}\,(\tau - \tau_-), \qquad &&\Gamma_{R+}:\ (\varphi - \varphi_o) = \frac{1 + R\Omega(R)}{R}\,(\tau_+ - \tau),\\
&\Gamma_{L-}:\ (\varphi - \varphi_o) = -\frac{1 + R\Omega(R)}{R}\,(\tau - \tau_-), \qquad &&\Gamma_{L+}:\ (\varphi - \varphi_o) = -\frac{1 - R\Omega(R)}{R}\,(\tau_+ - \tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

As shown in Section II, Eqs.(2.17) and (2.18), of the first paper in Ref.[3], in a neighborhood of the observer (φ_o, τ) [(φ, τ) is an observer in the neighborhood] we can only define the following local synchronization [21]

---

[21] See Ref.[54] for a derivation of the Sagnac effect in an inertial system by using Einstein's synchronization in the locally comoving inertial frames on the rim of the disk and by asking for the equality of the one-way velocities in opposite directions.

$$c\,\Delta\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} = \sqrt{1 - R^2\,\Omega^2(R)}\,\Delta\tau_E = \sqrt{1 - R^2\,\Omega^2(R)}\,\Delta\tau - \frac{R^2\,\Omega^2(R)}{\sqrt{1 - R^2\Omega^2(R)}}\,\Delta\varphi. \qquad \text{(A17)}$$

If we try to extend this local synchronization to a global one for two distant observers (φ_o, τ) and (φ, τ) in the form of an Einstein convention (the result is the same both for $\varphi = \varphi_R$ and $\varphi = \varphi_L$)

$$\tau_E = \frac{1}{2}\,(\tau_+ + \tau_-) = \tau - \frac{R^2\Omega(R)}{1 - R^2\,\Omega^2(R)}(\varphi - \varphi_o), \qquad \text{(A18)}$$

we arrive at a contradiction, because the curves defined by $\tau_E = constant$ are *not closed*, since they are helices that assign the *same time* τ_E to different events on the world-line of an observer $\varphi_o = constant$. For example (φ_o, τ) and $\left(\varphi_o, \tau + 2\pi\,\frac{R^2\Omega(R)}{1-R^2\,\Omega^2(R)}\right)$ are on the same helix $\tau_E = constant$. As a consequence we get the synchronization gap.

As shown in both papers of Ref.[3], by using the global synchronization on the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ we can define a generalization of Einstein's convention for clock synchronization by using the radar time τ. If an accelerated observer A emits a light signal at τ_-, which is reflected at a point P of the world-line of a second observer B and then reabsorbed at τ_+, then the B clock at P has to be synchronized with the following instant of the A clock [$n = +$ for $\varphi = \varphi_R$, $n = -$ for $\varphi = \varphi_L$]

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\tau_-, n, \tau_+) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}(\tau_+ + \tau_-) - \frac{n\,R\,\Omega(R)}{2}\,(\tau_+ - \tau_-) \overset{def}{=} \tau_- + \mathcal{E}(\tau_-, n, \tau_+)\,(\tau_+ - \tau_-),\\ &with \qquad \mathcal{E}(\tau_-, n, \tau_+) = \frac{1 - n\,R\,\Omega(R)}{2}, \qquad \Omega(R) = \omega\,F(R). \qquad \text{(A19)}\end{aligned}$$

Finally in the first paper of Ref.[3] [see Eqs.(6.37)-(6.47) of Section VI] there is the evaluation of the radius and the circumference of the rotating disk. If we choose the spatial length of the instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ of the admissible embedding (A1), we get aa Euclidean 3-geometry, i.e. a circumference $2\pi\,R$ and a radius R at each instant τ independently from the choice of the gauge function $F(\sigma)$. With other admissible 3+1 splittings we would get non-Euclidean results: as said they are gauge equivalent when the disk can be described with a parametrized Minkowski theory. Instead the use of a local notion of synchronization from the observers of the irrotational congruence located on the rim of the rotating disk implies a local definition of spatial distance based on the 3-metric ${}^3\gamma_{uv} = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\left(g_{uv} - \frac{g_{\tau u}\,g_{\tau v}}{g_{\tau\tau}}\right)$, i.e. a non-Euclidean 3-geometry. In this case the radius is R, but the circumference is $2\pi\, R/\sqrt{1 - R^2\, \Omega^2(R)}$. However this result holds only in the local rest frame of the observer with the tangent plane orthogonal to the observer 4-velocity (also called the abstract relative space) identified with a 3-space (see Section IIB).

See Subsection D of Section VI for a derivation of the Sagnac effect in nearly rigid rotating frames.

2. The Rotating ITRS 3-Coordinates fixed on the Earth Surface.

The embedding (2.14), describing admissible differential rotations in an Euclidean 3-space, can be used to improve the conventions IERS2003 (International Earth Rotation and Reference System Service) [40] on the non-relativistic transformation from the 4-coordinates of the Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS) to the International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS), the Earth-fixed geodetic system of the new theory of Earth rotation replacing the old precession-nutation theory. It would be a special relativistic improvement to be considered as an intermediate step till to a future development leading to a post-Newtonian (PN) general relativistic approach unifying the existing non-relativistic theory of the geo-potential below the Earth surface with the GCRS PN description of the geo-potential outside the Earth given by the conventions IAU2000 (International Astronomical Union) [40] for Astrometry, Celestial Mechanics and Metrology in the relativistic framework.

In the IAU 2000 Conventions the Solar System is described in the Barycentric Celestial Reference System (BCRS) as a *quasi-inertial* frame, centered on the barycenter of the Solar System, with respect to the Galaxy. BCRS is parametrized with harmonic PN 4-coordinates $x^\mu_{BCRS} = \Big(x^o_{BCRS} = c\, t_{BCRS}; x^i_{BCRS}\Big)$, where t_{BCRS} is the barycentric coordinate time and the mutually orthogonal spatial axes are *kinematically non-rotating* with respect to fixed radio sources. This a nearly Cartesian 4-coordinate system in a PN Einstein space-time and there is an assigned 4-metric, determined modulo $O(c^{-4})$ terms and containing the gravitational potentials of the Sun and of the planets, PN solution of Einstein equations in harmonic gauges: in practice it is considered as a special relativistic inertial frame with nearly Euclidean instantaneous 3-spaces $t_{BCRS} = const.$ (modulo $O(c^{-2})$ deviations) and with Cartesian 3-coordinates x^i_{BCRS}. This frame is used for space navigation in the Solar System. The geo-center (a fictitious observer at the center of the earth geoid) has a world-line $y^\mu_{BCRS}(x^o_{BCRS}) = \Big(x^o_{BCRS}; y^i_{BCRS}(x^o_{BCRS})\Big)$, which is approximately a straight line.

For space navigation near the Earth (for the Space Station and near Earth satellites using NASA coordinates) and for the studies from spaces of the geo-potential one uses the GCRS, which is defined outside the Earth surface as a local reference system centered on the geo-center. Due to the earth rotation of the Earth around the Sun, it deviates from a nearly inertial special relativistic frame on time scales of the order of the revolution time. Its harmonic 4-coordinates $x^{\mu}_{GCRS} = \Big(x^o_{GCRS} = c\, t_{GCRS}; x^i_{GCRS}\Big)$, where t_{GCRS} is the geocentric coordinate time, are obtained from the BCRS ones by means of a PN coordinate transformation which may be described as a special relativistic pure Lorentz boost without rotations (the parameter is the 3-velocity of the geo-center considered constant on small time scales) plus $O(c^{-4})$ corrections taking into account the gravitational acceleration of the geo-center induced by the Sun and the planets. As a consequence the GCRS spatial axes are kinematically non-rotating in BCRS and the relativistic inertial forces (for instance the Coriolis ones) are hidden in the geodetic precession; the same holds for the aberration effects and the dependence on angular variables. A PN 4-metric, determined modulo $O(c^{-4})$ terms, is given in IAU2000: it also contains the GCRS form of the geo-potential and the inertial and tidal effects of the Sun and of the planets. Again the instantaneous 3-spaces are considered nearly Euclidean (modulo $O(c^{-2})$ deviations) 3-spaces $t_{GCRS} = const.$.

In IAU200 the coordinate times t_{BCRS} and t_{GCRS} are then connected with the time scales used on Earth: SI Atomic Second, TAI (International Atomic Time), TT (Terrestrial Time), T_{EPH} (Ephemerides Time), UT and UT1 and UTC (Universal Times for civil use), GPS (Mastr Time), ST (Station Time).

Finally we need a 4-coordinate system fixed on the Earth crust. It is the ITRS with 4-coordinates $x^{\mu}_{ITRS} = \Big(x^o_{ItRS} \overset{def}{=} c\, t_{GCRS}; x^i_{ITRS}\Big)$, which uses the same coordinate time as GCRS. It is obtained from GCRS by making a suitable set of non-relativistic time-dependent *rigid* rotations on the nearly Euclidean 3-spaces $t_{GCRS} = const.$. The geocentric rectangular 3-coordinates x^i_{ITRS} match the reference ellipsoid WGS-84 (basis of the terrestrial coordinates (latitude, longitude, height) obtainable from GPS) used in geodesy. As shown in IERS2003, we have $x^i_{ITRS} = \Big(W^T(t_{GCRS})\, R^T_3(-\theta)\, C\Big)^i{}_j\, x^j_{GCRS}$, where $C = R^T_3(s)\, R^T_3(E)\, R^T_2(-d)\, R^T_3(-E)$ and $W(t_{GCRS}) = R_3(-s^{'})\, R_2(x_p)\, R_1(y_p)$ are rotation matrices. This convention is based on the new definition of the Earth rotation axis (θ is the angle of rotation about this axis): it is the line through the geo-center in direction of the Celestial Intermediate Pole (CIP) at date t_{GCRS}, whose position in GCRS is $n^i_{GCRS} = \Big(sin\, d\, cos\, E, sin\, d\, sin\, E, cos\, d\Big)$. The new non-rotating origin (NLO) of the rotation angle θ on the Earth equator (orthogonal to the rotation axis) is a point named the Celestial Intermediate Origin (CIO), whose position in CGRS requires the angle s, called the CIO locator. Finally in the rotation matrix $W^T(t_{GCRS})$ (named the polar motion or wobble matrix) the angles x_p and y_p are the angular coordinates of CIP in ITRS, while the angle $s^{'}$ is connected with the re-orientation of the pole from the ITRS z-axis to the CIP plus a motion of the origin of longitude from the ITRS x-axis to the so-called Terrestrial Intermediate Origin (TIO), used as origin of the azimuthal angle.

Let us now consider the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\, R^r{}_s(\tau, \sigma)\, \sigma^s$ of Eq.(2.14). Let us identify $x^\mu = z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ with the GCRS 4-coordinates x^μ_{GCRS} centered on the world-line of the geo-center assumed to move along a straight line. then, if we identify the space-like vectors ϵ^μ_r with the GCRS non-rotating spatial axes, we have $x^\mu(\tau) = \epsilon^\mu_\tau\, \tau = l^\mu\, \tau$, where l^μ is orthogonal to the nearly Euclidean 3-spaces $t_{GCRS} = const.$. the proper time τ of the geo-center coincides with $c\, t_{GCRS}$ modulo $O(c^{-2})$ corrections from the GCRS PN 4-metric.

Then a special relativistic definition of ITRS can be done by replacing the rigidly rotating 3-coordinates x^i_{ITRS} with the differentially rotating 3-coordinates σ^r. The rotation matrix $R(\tau, \sigma)$, with the choice $F(\sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\omega^2\, \sigma^2}{c^2}}$ for the gauge function (ω can be taken equal to the mean angular velocity for the Earth rotation), will contain three Euler angles determined by putting $R(\tau, \sigma)|_{F(\sigma)=1} = C^T\, R_3(-\theta)\, W(t_{GCRS})$.

In this way a special relativistic version of ITRS can be given as a preliminary step towards a PN general relativistic formulation of the geo-potential inside the Earth to be joined consistently with GCRS outside the Earth. Even if this is irrelevant for geodesy inside the geoid, it could lead to a refined treatment of effects like geodesic precession taking into account a model of geo-potential interpolating smoothly between inside and outside the geoid and the future theory of heights over the reference ellipsoid under development in a formulation of relativistic geodesy based on the use of the new generation of microwave and optical atomic clocks both on the Earth surface and in space.

APPENDIX B: THE LANDAU-LIFSCHITZ NON-INERTIAL ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

Sometimes, see for instance Ref.[17], the following *generalized non-inertial electric and magnetic fields* are introduced

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^s_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= -\Big[\frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}{\sqrt{1+n_F}}\, h^{sr}_F\, (F_{\tau r} - n^v_F\, F_{vr})\Big](\tau,\sigma^u) \overset{\circ}{=} \pi^s(\tau,\sigma^u)),\\
\mathcal{B}^w_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^{wt}\,\epsilon_{tsr}\,\big[(1+n_F)\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\, h^{sv}_F\, h^{ru}_F\, F_{vu} - (n^s_F\,\pi^r - n^r_F\,\pi^s)\big](\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

They allow us to rewrite the Hamilton-Dirac Eqs.(4.15) in the following form (we use a vector notation as in the 3-dimensional Euclidean case)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r\, \mathcal{E}^r{}_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,\overline{\rho}(\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\epsilon_{ruv}\,\partial_u\,\mathcal{B}^v{}_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}^r_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} &= \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,\overline{J}^r(\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

namely *in the same form of the usual source- dependent Maxwell equations in an inertial frame.*

Since Eqs.(B1) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^s_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \Big[+\frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}{\sqrt{(1+n_F)}}\, h^{sr}_F\, E_r - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_F}}{\sqrt{(1+n_F)}}\, h^{sr}_F\,\epsilon_{ruv}\, n^u_F\, B_v\Big](\tau,\sigma^u),\\
\mathcal{B}^w_{(F)}(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \delta^{wt}\,\epsilon_{tsr}\,\Big[\frac{1}{2}\,(1+n_F)\,\sqrt{\gamma_F}\, h^{sv}_F\, h^{ru}_F\,\epsilon_{vu\ell}\, B_\ell + n^s_F\, E_r\Big](\tau,\sigma^u),
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

we get the following form of the Maxwell equations for the field strengths E_r and B_r

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r\, E_r(\tau,\sigma^u) &= \sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,\Big[\overline{\rho}(\tau,\sigma^u) - \overline{\rho}_R(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big],\\
\epsilon_{suv}\,\partial_u\, B_v(\tau,\sigma^u) - \frac{\partial\, E_s(\tau,\sigma^u)}{\partial\tau} &= \delta_{sr}\,\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau,\sigma^u)}\,\Big[\overline{J}^r(\tau,\sigma^u) - \overline{J}^r_R(\tau,\sigma^u)\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

where the new charge and current densities are the following functions only of the metric tensor and of the fields E_r, B_r

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\rho}_R(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \partial_r\, \Big(\mathcal{E}^r_{(F)}(\tau, \sigma^u) - \delta^{rs}\, E_s(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big)\,, \\
\overline{J}^r_R(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \Big[- \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\, \Big(\mathcal{E}^r_{(F)}(\tau, \sigma^u) - \delta^{rs}\, E_s(\tau, \sigma^u)\Big) + \\
&\quad + \delta^{rs}\, \epsilon_{suv}\, \partial_u\, \Big({\mathcal{B}^v}_{(F)} - \delta^{vk}\, B_k\Big)\, (\tau, \sigma^u)\Big]. \qquad \text{(B5)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Instead, as a consequence of Eqs.(4.10), the homogeneous equations take the form

$$
\epsilon_{ruv}\, \partial_u\, E_v(\tau, \sigma^s) = - \frac{\partial\, B_r(\tau, \sigma^s)}{\partial\, \tau}, \qquad \epsilon_{ruv}\, \partial_u\, B_v(\tau, \sigma^s) = 0. \qquad \text{(B6)}
$$

By using Eq.(6.2) of Section VI we find the results of the Appendix A of Ref.[18]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{(F)}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \vec{E}(\tau, \sigma^u) + (\frac{\vec{\tilde{\Omega}}(\tau)}{c} \times \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}(\tau, \sigma^u), \\
\vec{\mathcal{B}}_{(F)}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \vec{B} + (\frac{\vec{\tilde{\Omega}}(\tau)}{c} \times \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{E}(\tau, \sigma^u) + (\frac{\vec{\tilde{\Omega}}(\tau)}{c} \times \vec{\sigma}) \times [(\frac{\vec{\tilde{\Omega}}(\tau)}{c} \times \vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}(\tau, \sigma^u)]. \text{(B7)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In absence of sources Eqs.(4.17) are the generally covariant equations $\nabla_\nu\, F^{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$, suggested by the equivalence principle, in the 3+1 point of view after having taken care of the asymptotic properties at spatial infinity.

Let us remark that in the case of the nearly rigid limit of the foliation (2.14) (see Section VI) and with $\vec{\tilde{\Omega}}(\tau) = (0, 0, \tilde{\Omega} = const.)$ Eqs.(B4) and (B6) coincide with Eqs.(9) of Schiff [28] if we identify $\bar{\rho}_R$ with σ and $\bar{j}^r_R$ with j^r. This is due to the fact that Schiff's fields $\vec{E}$, $\vec{B}$, have the components coinciding with the covariant fields E_r and B_r of Eqs.(4.10); these fields obviously differ from the fields (B3) defined in Ref.[17].

Eqs. (B4) and (B5), with the metric associated to the admissible notion of simultaneity (2.14), should be the starting point for the calculations in the magnetosphere of pulsars, where one always assumes a rigid rotation ω with the consequent appearance of the so-called *light cylinder* for $\omega\, R = c$ (the horizon problem of the rotating disk). See Refs.[59] based on Schiff's equations [28] (B4) and (B7) or the more recent literature of Refs. [60]. Instead in Refs.[61] the light cylinder is avoided using the rotating coordinates of Refs.[19], but at the price of a bad behavior at spatial infinity.

These equations also show that the non-inertial electric and magnetic fields $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{(F)}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}_{(F)}$ are *not*, in general, *equal* to the fields obtained from the inertial ones $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ with a Lorentz transformations to the comoving inertial system like it is usually assumed following Rohrlich [62] and the locality hypothesis.

APPENDIX C: COVARIANT AND NON-COVARIANT DECOMPOSITIONS OF THE ELECTRO-MAGNETIC FIELD AND THE RADIATION GAUGE IN NON-INERTIAL REST FRAMES.

In inertial frames the identification of the physical degrees of freedom (Dirac observables) of the free electro-magnetic field was done in Refs. [26, 63, 64, 65] by means of the Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to the first class constraints $\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ and $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) = \partial_r\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$. The final canonical basis identifies the *radiation gauge* with its transverse fields as the natural one from the point of view of constraint theory.

In the parametrized Minkowski theories of Setion III Subsection A, due to the last two lines of Eqs.(3.15), we see that two successive gauge transformations, of generators $G_i(\tau, \sigma^u) = \lambda^\mu_i(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$, $i = 1, 2$, do not commute but imply an electro-magnetic gauge transformation. Since the effect of the $i = 1, 2$ gauge transformations is to modify the notions of simultaneity, also the definition of the Dirac observables of the electro-magnetic field will change with the 3+1 splitting. In general, given two different 3+1 splittings, the two sets of Dirac observables associated with them will be connected by an electro-magnetic gauge transformation.

Since it is not clear whether it is possible to find a quasi-Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to $\mathcal{H}_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, $\pi^\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ [22], the search of the electro-magnetic Dirac observables must be done with the following strategy:

i) make the choice of an admissible 3+1 splitting by adding four gauge-fixing constraints determining the embedding $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$, so that the induced 4-metric $g_{AB}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ becomes a numerical quantity and is no more a configuration variable;

ii) find the Dirac observables on the resulting completely fixed simultaneity surfaces Σ_τ with a suitable Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to the two remaining electro-magnetic constraints.

Let us remark that a similar scheme has to be followed also in the canonical Einstein-Maxwell theory: only after having fixed a 3+1 splitting (a system of 4-coordinates on the solutions of Einstein's equations) we can find the Dirac observables of the electro-magnetic field.

This strategy is induced by the fact that, while the Gauss law constraint $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u) = \partial_r\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ is a scalar under change of admissible 3+1 splittings [23], the gauge vector potential $A_r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is the pull-back to the base of a connection one-form and can be considered as a tensor only with topologically trivial surfaces Σ_τ (like in the case we are considering). Since a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to the Gauss law constraint transforms $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)$ in one of the new momenta, it is not clear how to define a conjugate gauge variable $\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ such that $\{\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u), \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u_1)\} = \delta^3(\sigma^u, \sigma^u_1)$ and two conjugate pairs of Dirac observables having vanishing Poisson brackets with both $\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $\Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)$ when the 3-metric on Σ_τ is not Euclidean ($g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \neq -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \delta_{rs}$).

---

[22] $\mathcal{H}_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) = \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)\, l_\mu(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, like an ordinary Hamiltonian, can be included in the adapted Darboux-Shanmugadhasan basis only in case of integrability of the equations of motion.

[23] $\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is a vector density like in canonical metric gravity.

With every fixed type of instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ with non-trivial 3-metric, $g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) \neq -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \delta_{rs}$, we have to find suitable gauge variable $\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and the Dirac observables replacing $A^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $\pi^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u)$.

Let us consider an arbitrary admissible non-inertial frame identified by the embedding $z^\mu_F(\tau, \sigma^u) = x^\mu(\tau) + F^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ of Eq.(4.1). In it the fields $A_r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ and $\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ admit both a *covariant* and a *non-covariant* decomposition.

The *covariant decomposition* [66] is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \hat{\pi}^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) + \hat{\pi}^r_L(\tau, \sigma^u)\\
&\hat{\pi}^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\delta^r_s - \nabla^r_F\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \nabla_{F\,s}\Big)\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\delta^r_s - \nabla^r_F\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \partial_s\Big)\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&\Rightarrow\ \nabla_{F\,r} \hat{\pi}^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0,\\
&\hat{\pi}^r_L(\tau, \sigma^u) = \nabla^r_F\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \nabla_{F\,s}\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u) = \nabla^r_F\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \partial_s\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&\\
&A_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \hat{A}_{\perp r}(\tau, \sigma^u) + \hat{A}_{L\,r}(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&\hat{A}_{\perp r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\delta^s_r - \nabla_{F\,r}\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \nabla^r_F)\, A_r(\tau, \sigma^u)\ \Rightarrow\ \nabla^r_F \hat{A}_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0,\\
&\hat{A}_{L\,r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \nabla_{F\,r}\, \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, \nabla^s_F\, A_s(\tau, \sigma^u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{C1}
$$

Here ∇^r_F and $\triangle_F = \nabla^r_F\, \nabla_{F\,r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}}\, \partial_r\, \Big(\sqrt{\gamma_F(\tau, \sigma^u)}\, \gamma^{rs}_F(\tau, \sigma^u)\, \partial_s\Big)$ are the covariant derivative and the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the positive 3-metric $h_{F\,rs}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}^u)$, respectively. The inverse of Laplace-Beltrami operator $(1/\Delta_F)$ is defined by the *fun-*

damental solution of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $G(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)$ [24] $f(\sigma^u) = \frac{1}{\Delta_F}\, g(\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} \int d^3\sigma' \, \sqrt{\gamma({\sigma'}^u)}\, G(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)\, g({\sigma'}^u)$, such that $\Delta_F\, f(\sigma^u) = g(\sigma^u)$.

Since $\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is a vector density, we have $\partial_r\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \nabla_{F\,r}\, \pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u)$: this quantity is a *3-scalar density* on Σ_τ.

Instead the *non-covariant decomposition* [1, 5, 9, 60] in a transverse and a longitudinal part ($\hat{\partial}^r \overset{def}{=} \delta^{rs}\, \partial_r$, $\triangle = \partial_r\, \hat{\partial}^r = \vec{\partial}^2$) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) = \pi^r_{\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) + \pi^r_L(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&\pi^r_{\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\delta^r_s - \hat{\partial}^r\, \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \partial_s\Big)\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u) \;\Rightarrow\; \partial_r\, \pi^r_{\perp}(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0,\\
&\pi^r_L(\tau, \sigma^u) = \hat{\partial}^r\, \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \partial_s\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_r(\tau, \sigma^u) = A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) + A_{L\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
&A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \Big(\delta^s_r - \partial_r\, \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \hat{\partial}^s\Big)\, A_s(\tau, \sigma^u) \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{\partial}^r\, A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = 0,\\
&A_{L\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) = \partial_r \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \hat{\partial}^s A_s(\tau, \sigma^u).
\end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

In Eq.(C2) $\hat{\partial}^r\, A_r = \triangle\, \eta_{em}$ is a *non-covariant quantity.*

Here the inverse of Laplacian is defined used the standard (Euclidean-like) fundamental solution: $c(\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^3 (\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u)^2}}$, so that $f(\sigma^u) = \frac{1}{\Delta}\, g(\sigma^u) \overset{def}{=} \int d^3\sigma'\, c(\sigma^u - {\sigma'}^u) g({\sigma'}^u)$ and $\Delta\, f(\sigma^u) = \Big(\sum_{r=1}^3\, \hat{\partial}^r\, \partial_r\Big)\, f(\sigma^u) = g(\sigma^u)$.

Eq.(C2) allow us to define the following non-covariant Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation

---

[24] His existence is assured by existence's theorem (see for example Ref.[67], but a closed analytic form is not known. A general property of these fundamental solutions is a *singularity* when the geodesic distance $s(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)$ between $P = \{\sigma^u\}$ and $Q = \{{\sigma'}^u\}$ goes to zero $\lim_{s \mapsto 0}\, G(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u) \mapsto -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, \frac{1}{s(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)}$.

$$\begin{array}{|l|}\hline A_A \\ \hline \pi^A \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline A_\tau & \eta_{em} & A_{\perp\, r} \\ \hline \pi^\tau \approx 0 & \Gamma \approx 0 & \pi^r_\perp \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_r(\tau, \sigma^u) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^r}\, \eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u) + A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
\pi^r(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \pi^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) + \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \hat{\partial}^r\, \Gamma(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= -\hat{\partial}^r\, A_r(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \Big(\delta^s_r - \partial_r\, \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \hat{\partial}^s\Big)\, A_s(\tau, \sigma^u),\\
\pi^r_\perp(\tau, \sigma^u) &= \Big(\delta^r_s - \hat{\partial}^r\, \frac{1}{\Delta}\, \partial_s\Big)\, \pi^s(\tau, \sigma^u),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\{\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u), \Gamma(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)\} = \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u),$$

$$\{A_{\perp\, r}(\tau, \sigma^u), \pi^s_\perp(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)\} = c\, (\delta^{rs} - \frac{\partial_r\, \hat{\partial}^s}{\Delta})\, \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u). \tag{C3}$$

If we add the gauge fixing $\eta_{em}(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$, then its τ-constancy implies $A_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u) \approx 0$ and we get a non-inertial realization of the *non-covariant radiation gauge*.

While with the non-covariant decomposition we can easily find a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation adapted to the Gauss law constraint with the standard canonically conjugate (but non-covariant) Dirac observables $\vec{A}_\perp$ and $\vec{\pi}_\perp$ of the radiation gauge, it is not clear whether the covariant decomposition can produce such a canonical basis. In any case, as shown in Ref.[66], the radiation gauge formalism is well defined in both cases if we add suitable gauge fixings.

In the inertial rest-frame instant form reviewed in Section III Subsection B the 3-metric inside the Wigner 3-spaces is $g_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, h_{rs}(\tau, \sigma^u) = -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\, \delta_{rs}$ and the two decompositions coincide.

In Subsection B of Section IV there is the non-covariant Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation in non-inertial frames in presence of charged particles.

Let us remark that on the non-Euclidean 3-space we are using a delta function $\delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)$, with the properties $\delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u) = \delta^3({\sigma'}^u, \sigma^u)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^r} \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial {\sigma'}^r} \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)$, such that $d^3\sigma' \, \delta^3(a^u, {\sigma'}^u) \, f({\sigma'}^u) = f(a^u)$, and not a covariant one $D^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)}} \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau, \sigma^u)}} \delta^3(\sigma^u, {\sigma'}^u)$ such that $\int d^3\sigma' \, \sqrt{\gamma(\tau, {\sigma'}^u)} \, D^3(a^u, {\sigma'}^u) \, f({\sigma'}^u) = f(a^u)$.

---

[1] L. Lusanna, *The N- and 1-Time Classical Descriptions of N-Body Relativistic Kinematics and the Electromagnetic Interaction*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 645 (1997).

[2] D.Alba, L.Lusanna and M.Pauri, *New Directions in Non-Relativistic and Relativistic Rotational and Multipole Kinematics for N-Body and Continuous Systems* (2005), in *Atomic and Molecular Clusters: New Research*, ed.Y.L.Ping (Nova Science, New York, 2006) (hep-th/0505005).

[3] D. Alba and L.Lusanna, *Simultaneity, Radar 4-Coordinates and the 3+1 Point of View about Accelerated Observers in Special Relativity* (2003) (gr-qc/0311058); *Generalized Radar 4-Coordinates and Equal-Time Cauchy Surfaces for Arbitrary Accelerated Observers* (2005), Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D16**, 1149 (2007) (gr-qc/0501090).

[4] D.Alba, H.W.Crater and L.Lusanna, *Hamiltonian Relativistic Two-Body Problem: Center of Mass and Orbit Reconstruction*, J.Phys. **A40**, 9585 (2007) (gr-qc/0610200).

[5] L.Lusanna, *The Chrono-Geometrical Structure of Special and General Relativity: A Re-Visitation of Canonical Geometrodynamics*, lectures at 42nd Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics: Current Mathematical Topics in Gravitation and Cosmology, Ladek, Poland, 6-11 Feb 2006, Int.J.Geom.Methods in Mod.Phys. **4**, 79 (2007). (gr-qc/0604120).

[6] C.M. Møller, *The Theory of Relativity* (Oxford Univ.Press, Oxford, 1957).

C.Møller, *Sur la dinamique des syste'mes ayant un moment angulaire interne*, Ann.Inst.H.Poincare' **11**, 251 (1949).

[7] E.Schmutzer and J.Plebansi, *Quantum Mechanics in Noninertial Frames of Reference*, Fortschr.Phys. **25**, 37 (1978).

[8] D.Alba, H.W.Crater and L.Lusanna, *Towards Relativistic Atom Physics. I. The Rest-Frame Instant Form of Dynamics and a Canonical Transformation for a system of Charged Particles plus the Electro-Magnetic Field* (arXiv: 0806.2383).

[9] H.W.Crater and L.Lusanna, *The Rest-Frame Darwin Potential from the Lienard-Wiechert Solution in the Radiation Gauge*, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **289**, 87 (2001).

[10] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *Quantum Mechanics in Noninertial Frames with a Multitemporal Quantization Scheme: I. Relativistic Particles*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A21**, 2781 (2006) (hep-th/0502194).

D.Alba, *Quantum Mechanics in Non-Inertial Frames with a Multi-Temporal Quantization Scheme: II) Non-Relativistic Particles*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A21**, 3917 (2006) (hep-th/0504060).

[11] L.Lusanna, *The Rest-Frame Instant Form of Metric Gravity*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **33**, 1579 (2001)(gr-qc/0101048).

L.Lusanna and S.Russo, *A New Parametrization for Tetrad Gravity*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 189 (2002)(gr-qc/0102074).

R.DePietri, L.Lusanna, L.Martucci and S.Russo, *Dirac's Observables for the Rest-Frame Instant Form of Tetrad Gravity in a Completely Fixed 3-Orthogonal Gauge*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 877 (2002) (gr-qc/0105084).

J.Agresti, R.De Pietri, L.Lusanna and L.Martucci, *Hamiltonian Linearization of the Rest-Frame Instant Form of Tetrad Gravity in a Completely Fixed 3-Orthogonal Gauge: a Radiation Gauge for Background-Independent Gravitational Waves in a Post-Minkowskian Einstein Spacetime*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **36**, 1055 (2004) (gr-qc/0302084).

J.Agresti, R.De Pietri, L.Lusanna and L.Martucci, *Hamiltonian Linearization of the Rest-*

Frame Instant Form of Tetrad Gravity in a Completely Fixed 3-Orthogonal Gauge: a Radiation Gauge for Background-Independent Gravitational Waves in a Post-Minkowskian Einstein Spacetime, Gen.Rel.Grav. **36**, 1055 (2004) (gr-qc/0302084).

[12] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *The York Map as a Shanmugadhasan Canonical Transformationn in Tetrad Gravity and the Role of Non-Inertial Frames in the Geometrical View of the Gravitational Field*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **39**, 2149 (2007) (gr-qc/0604086, v2; see v1 for an expanded version).

[13] R.M.Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago, 1984).

M.Heusler, *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1996); *Stationary Black Holes: Uniqueness and Beyond*, Living Reviews in Relativity 1998 (www.livingreviews.org/Articles/Volume1/1998-6heusler).

[14] N.Stergioulas, *Rotating Stars in Relativity*, Living Reviews in Relativity 2003 (www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2003-3).

[15] J.M.Bardeen and R.Wagoner, *Relativistic Disks. I. Uniform Rotation*, Ap.J. **167**, 359 (1971).

E.M.Butterworth and J.R.Ipser, *On the Structure and Stability of Rapidly Rotating Fluid Bodies in General Relativity. I. The Numerical Method for Computing Structure and its Application to Uniformly Rotating Homogeneous Bodies*, Ap.J. **204**, 200 (1976).

N.Comins and B.F.Schutz, *On the Ergoregion Instability*, Proc. R. Soc. London **A364**, 211 (1978); *On the Existence of Ergoregions in Rotating Stars*, Mon.Not.R.astr.Soc. **182**, 69 (1978).

J.L.Friedman, *Ergosphere Instability*, Commun.Math.Phys. **63**, 243 (1978).

[16] G.Rizzi and M.L.Ruggiero eds., *Relativity in Rotating Frames. Relativistic Physics in Rotating Reference Frames.* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003).

[17] L.Landau and E.Lifschitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, 1951).

[18] W.M.Irvine, *Electrodynamics in a Rotating System of Reference*, Physica **30**, 1160 (1964).

See the rich bibliography in K.T. McDonald, *Electrodynamics of Rotating Frames*, 2008 (http://cosmology.princeton.edu∼mcdonald/examples/).

Z.N.Osmanov, G.Z.Machabeli and A.D.Rogava, *Electromagnetic Waves in a Rigidly Rotating Frame*, Phys.Rev. **A66**, 042103 (2002).

J.C.Hauck and B.Mashhoon, *Electromagnetic Waves in a Rotating Frame of Reference*, Ann.Phys. (Leipzig) **12**, 275 (2003) (gr-qc/0304069).

[19] G.Trocheris, *Electrodynamics in a Rotating Frame of Reference*, Philos.Mag. **40**, 1143 (1949).

H.Takeno, *On Relativistic Theory of Rotating Disk*, Prog.Thor.Phys. **7**, 367 (1952).

[20] J.F.Corum, *Relativistic Rotation and the Anholonomic Object*, J.Math.Phys. **18**, 770 (1977). *Relativistic Covariance and Rotational Electrodynamics*, J.Math.Phys. **21**, 2360 (1980).

B.Chakraborty and S.Sarkar, *Physics in Rotating Frames. I. On Uniform Rotation about a Fixed Axis in Some Holonomic and Anholonomic Frames*, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **163**, 167 (1985).

P.N.Arendt jr, *Electromagnetic Forces and Fields in a Rotating Reference Frame*, (astro-ph/9801194).

V.Bashkov and M.Malakhaltsev, *Relativistic Mechanics on Rotating Disk* (gr-qc/0104078); *Geometry of Rotating Disk and the Sagnac Effect* (gr-qc/0011061).

[21] W.T.Ni and M.Zimmermann, *Inertial and Gravitational Effects in the Proper Reference Frame of an Accelerated, Rotating Observer*, Phys.Rev. **D17**, 1473 (1978).

R.A.Nelson, *Generalized Lorentz Transformation for an Accelerated, Rotating Frame of Reference*, J.Math.Phys. **28**, 2379 (1987) [erratum J.Math.Phys. **35**, 6224 (1994)].

R.N.Henriksen and L.A.Nelson, *Clock Synchronization by Accelerated Observers: Metric Con-*

struction for Arbitrary Congruences of Worldlines, Can.J.Phys. **63**, 1393 (1985).

[22] K.P.Marzlin, *Fermi Coordinates for Weak Gravitational Fields*, Phys.Rev. **D50**, 888 (1994).
B.Mashhoon and U.Muench, *Length Measurement in Accelerated Systems*, Ann.Phys. (Leipzig) **11**, 532 (2002).
D.Bini, L.Lusanna and B.Mashhoon, *Limitations of Radar Coordinates for Accelerated Observers*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D14**, 1413 (2005) (gr-qc/0409052).

[23] D.Klein and P.Collas, *General Transformation Formulas for Fermi-Walker Coordinates*, 2008 (arXiv: 0712.3838).

[24] B.Mashhoon, *Limitations of Spacetime Measurements*, Phys.Lett. **A143**, 176 (1990). *The Hypothesis of Locality in Relativistic Physics*, Phys.Lett. **A145**, 147 (1990). *Measurement Theory and General Relativity*, in *Black Holes: Theory and Observation*, Lecture Notes in Physics 514, ed. F.W.Hehl, C.Kiefer and R.J.K.Metzler (Springer, Heidelberg, 1998), p.269. *Acceleration-Induced Nonlocality*, in Advances in General Relativity and Cosmology, ed. G.Ferrarese (Pitagora, Bologna, 2003) (gr-qc/0301065). *The Hypothesis of Locality and its Limitations*, (gr-qc/0303029).

[25] S.Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, ch.5 (Wiley, New York, 1972).

[26] P.A.M.Dirac, *Gauge Invariant Formulation of Quantum Electrodynamics*, Can.J.Phys. **33**, 650 (1955).

[27] See for instance H.Bethe and E.E.Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One and Two Electron Atoms* (Springer, Berlin, 1957) and O.Keller, *On the Theory of Spatial Photon Localization*, Phys.Rep. **411**, 1 (2005).

[28] L.I.Schiff, *A Question in General Relativity*, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. **25**, 391 (1939).

[29] J.Plebanski, *Electromagnetic Waves in Gravitational Fields*, Phys.Rev. **111**, 1396 (1960).

[30] C.G.Tsagas, *Electromagnetic Fields in Curved Spacetimes*, Class.Quantum Grav. **22**, 393 (2005) (gr-qc/0407080).
J.D.Barrow and C.G.Tsagas, , Class.Quantum Grav. **14**, 2539 (1997)(gr-qc/9704015).

[31] J.C.Hauck and B.Mashhoon, *Electromagnetic Waves in a Rotating Frame of Reference*, Ann.Phys. (Leipzig) **12**, 275 (2003) (gr-qc/0304069).
B.Mashhoon, R.Neutze, M.Hannam and G.E.Stedman, *Observable Frequency Shifts via Spin-Rotation Couplings*, Phys.Lett. **A249**, 161 (1998) (gr-qc/9808077).
B.Mashhoon, *Spin-Gravity Coupling*, Acta Phys,Polon. Suppl. **1**, 113 (2008) (arXiv: 0801.2134).

[32] B.Mashhoon, *Nonlocal Electrodynamics of Accelerated Systems*, Phys.Lett. **A366**, 545 (2007). *Modification of the Doppler Effect due to the Helicity-Rotation Coupling*, Phys.Lett. **A306**, 66 (2002). *Nonlocal Electrodynamics of Linearly Accelerated Systems*, Phys.Rev. **A70**, 062103 (2004). *Nonlocal Electrodynamics of Rotating Systems*, Phys.Rev. **A72**, 052105 (2005).
J.D.Anderson and B.Mashhoon, *Pioneer Anomaly and the Helicity-Rotation Coupling*, Phys.Lett. **A315**, 199 (2003).
U.Muench, F.W.Hehl and B.Mashhoon, *Acceleration-Induced Nonlocal Electrodynamics in Minkowski Spacetime*, Phys.Lett. **A271**, 8 (2000).

[33] B.Mashhoon, *Influence of Gravitation on the Propagation of Electromagnetic Radiation*, Phys.Rev. **D11**, 2679 (1975). *Can Einstein's Theory of Gravitation be tested beyond the Geometric Optics Limit?*, Nature **250**, 316 (1974). *Gravitational Coupling of Intrinsic Spin*, Class.Quant.Grav. **17**, 2399 (2000)(gr-qc/0003022). *On the Spin-Rotation Gravity Coupling* , Gen.Rel.Grav. **31**, 681 (1999).

[34] R.Nutze and G.E.Stedman, *Detecting the Effects of Linear Acceleration on the Optical Re-*

sponse of Matter, Phys.Rev. **A58**, 82 (1997).

[35] S.P.Tarabrin and A.A.Seleznyov, *Optical Position Meters analyzed in the Non-Inertial References Frames*, (arXiv: 0804.4292).

[36] N.Ashby, *Relativity in the Global Positioning System*, Living Reviews in Relativity (http://www.livingreviews.org).

N.Ashby and J.J.Spilker, *Introduction to Relativistic Effects on the Global Positioning System*, in *Global Positioning System: Theory and Applications*, Vol.1, eds. B.W.Parkinson and J.J.Spilker (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1995).

[37] E.J.Post, *Sagnac Effect*, Rev.Mod.Phys. **39**, 475 (1967).

[38] B.Mashhoon, R.Neutze, M.Hannam and G.E. Stedman, *Observable Frequency Shifts via Spin-Rotation Coupling*, Phys.Lett. **A249**, 161 (1998).

[39] M.Sereno, *Gravitational Faraday Rotation in a Weak Gravitational Field*, Phys.Rev. **D69**, 087501 (2004).

M.Giovannini and K.E.Kunze, *Faraday Rotation, Stochastic Magnetic Fields and CMB Maps*, arXiv 0804.3380

V.Faraoni, *The Rotation of Polarization by Gravitational Waves*, New Astronomy **13**, 178 (2008) (arXiv 0709.0386).

M.Halilsoy and O.Gurtug, *Search for Gravitational Waves through the Electromagnetic Faraday Rotation*, Phys.Rev. **D75**, 124021 (2007).

V.Perlick and W.Hasse, *Gravitational Faraday Effect in Conformally Stationary Spacetimes*, Clas.Q.Grav. **10**, 147 (1993).

P.Nag, S.Bharadwaj and S.Kar, *Can the Rotation of the Dark Matter Halo of our Galaxy be detected through its Effect on the Cosmic Microwave Background Polarization?*, arXiv astro-ph/0506009

[40] *IERS Conventions (2003)*, eds. D.D.McCarthy and G.Petit, IERS TN 32 (2004), Verlag des BKG.

M.Soffel, S.A.Klioner, G.Petit, P.Wolf, S.M.Kopeikin, P.Bretagnon, V.A.Brumberg, N.Capitaine, T.Damour, T.Fukushima, B.Guinot, T.Huang, L.Lindegren, C.Ma, K.Nordtvedt, J.Ries, P.K.Seidelmann, D.Vokroulicky', C.Will and Ch.Xu, *The IAU 2000 Resolutions for Astrometry, Celestial Mechanics and Metrology in the Relativistic Framework: Explanatory Supplement* Astron.J., **126**, pp.2687-2706, (2003) (astro-ph/0303376).

G.H.Kaplan, *The IAU Resolutions on Astronomical Reference Systems, Time Scales and Earth Rotation Models*, U.S.Naval Observatory circular No. 179 (2005) (astro-ph/0602086).

[41] M.Wilson and H.A.Wilson, *On the Electric Effect of Rotating a Magnetic Insulator in a Magnetic Field*, Proc.R.Soc. London **A89**, 99 (1913).

G.N.Pellegrini and A.R.Swift, *Maxwell's Equations in a Rotating Medium" Is there a Problem?*, Am.J.Phys. **63**, 694 (1995).

T.A.Weber, *Measurements on a Rotating Frame in Relativity and the Wilson and Wilson Experiment*, Am.J.Phys. **65**, 946 (1997).

C.T.Ridgely, *Applying Relativistic Electrodynamics to a Rotating Material Medium*, Am.J.Phys. **66**, 114 (1998). *Applying Covariant versus Contravariant Electromagnetic Tensors to Rotating Media*, Am.J.Phys. **67**, 414 (1998).

K.T.McDonald, *The Wilson-Wilson Experiment*, 2008 (http://cosmology.princeton.edu∼mcdonald/examples/

[42] E.J.Post and D.D.Bahulikar, *Note on the Electrodynamics of Accelerated Systems*, J.Math.Phys. **12**, 1098 (1971).

E.J.Post, *Kottler-Cartan-van Dantzig (KCD) and Noninertial Systems*, Found.Phys. **9**, 619

(1979).

B.M.Bolotovskii and S.N.Stolyarov, *Current Status of the Electrodynamics of Moving Media (Infinite Media)*, Sov.Phys.Usp. **17**, 875 (1975).

[43] F.W.Hehl, *Maxwell's Equations in Minkowski's World: their Premetric Generalization and the Electromagnetic Energy-Momentum Tensor*, Annalen der Physik... 2008 (arXiv: 0807.4249).

F.W.Hehl and Y.Obukov, *Electrodynamics of Moving Magnetoelectric Media: Variational Approach*, Phys.Lett. **A371**, 11 (2007); *Forces and Momenta caused by Electromagnetic Waves in Magnetoelectric Media* 2007 (arXiv: 0710.2219); *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charges, Flux and Metric* (Birkhauser, Boston, 2003).

V.A.De Lorenci and G.P.Goulart, *Magnetoelectric Birifrangence Revisited*, (arXiv: 0806.4685).

[44] G.Rizzi and M.L.Ruggiero, *Space Geometry of Rotating Platforms: an Operational Approach*, (gr-qc/0207104).

A.Tartaglia, *Lengths on Rotating Platforms*, Found.Phys.Lett. **12**, 17 (1999).

P.Dombrowski, J.Kuhlmann and U.Proff, *On the Spatial Geometry of a Non-Inertial Observer in Special Relativity*, in *Global Riemannian Geometry*, eds. T.J.Willmore and N.J.Hitchin (Horwood, Wiley, New York, 1984).

[45] A.Einstein, *Zum Ehrenfestschen Paradoxon*, Phys.Z. *12*, 509 (1911); *Die Grunlage der Allgemeinen Relativitatstheorie*, Annalen der Physik *49*, 769 (1916); *The Meaning of Relativity* (Princeton Univ.Press, Princeton, 1950).

[46] P.Ehrenfest, *Gleichförmige Rotation starrer Körper und Relativitätheorie*, Phys.Z. **10**, 918 (1909).

[47] Ø. Grøn, *Rotating Frames in Special Relativity*, Int.J.Theor.Phys. **16**, 603 (1977). *Relativistic Description of a Rotating Disk*, Am.J.Phys. **43**, 869 (1975). *Covariant Formulation of Hooke's Law*, Am.J.Phys. **49**, 28 (1981).

[48] M.Born, *Die Theorie des starren Elektrons in der Kinematik des Relativitätsprinzipe*, Ann.Phys.(Leipzig) **30**, 1 (1909).

[49] M.H.Soffel, *Relativity in Astrometry, Celestial Mechanics and Geodesy* (Springer, Berlin, 1989).

[50] J.L.Synge, *Time-like Helices in Flat Space-Time*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. A **65**, 27 (1967).

E.Honig, E.L.Schuking and C.V.Vishveshwara, *Motion of Charged Particles in Homogeneous Electro-magnetic Fields*, J.Math.Phys. **15**, 774 (1974).

B.R.Iyer and C.V.Vishveshwara, *The Frenet-Serret Formalism and Black Holes in Higher Dimensions*, Class.Quantum Grav. **5**, 961 (1988); *The Frenet-Serret Description of Gyroscopic Precession*, Phys.Rev. **D48**, 5706 (1993).

[51] W.A.Rodrigues jr and M.Sharif, *Rotating Frames in SRT: the Sagnac Effect and Related Issues*, Found.Phys. **31**, 1767 (2001); *Equivalence Principle and the Principle of Local Lorentz Invariance*, Found.Phys. **31**, 1785 (2001) [erratum Found.Phys. **32**, 811 (2002)].

[52] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *Generalized Eulerian Coordinates for Relativistic Fluids: Hamiltonian Rest-Frame Instant Form, Relative Variables, Rotational Kinematics*, to appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. (hep-th/0209032).

L.Lusanna and D.Nowak-Szczepaniak, *The Rest-Frame Instant Form of Relativistic Perfect Fluids with Equation of State* $\rho = \rho(n, s)$ *and of Non-Dissipative Elastic Materials*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15**, 4943 (2000) (hep-th/0003095).

[53] G.E.Stedman, *Ring-Laser Tests of Fundamental Physics and Geophysics*, Rep.Prog.Phys. **60**, 615 (1997).

[54] G.Rizzi and M.L.Ruggiero, *The Relativistic Sagnac Effect: two Derivations* (gr-qc/0305084).

[55] A.Tartaglia, *General Relativistic Corrections to the Sagnac Effect*, Phys.Rev. **D58**, 064009 (1998).

[56] A.Brillet and J.L.Hall, *Improved Laser Test of the Isotropy os Space*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **42**, 549 (1979).

C.Braxmaier, H.Müller, O.Pradl, J.Mlynek and A.Peters, *Tests of Relativity Using a Cryogenic Optical Resonator*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **88**, 010401 (2002).

J.A.Lipa, J.A.Nissen, S.Wang, D.A.Stricker and D.Avaloff, *New Limit on Signals of Lorentz Violation in Electrodynamics*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **90**, 060403 (2003).

P.Wolf, S.Bize, A.Clairon, A.N.Luiten, G.Santarelli and M.E.Tobar, *Tests of Relativity using a Microwave Resonator*, (gr-qc/0210049).

[57] H.Rauch and S.A.Werner, *Neutron Interferometry: Lessons in Experimental Quantum Mechanics* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000).

[58] D. Alba, L. Lusanna and M. Pauri, *Dynamical Body Frames, Orientation-Shape Variables and Canonical Spin Bases for the Nonrelativistic N-Body Problem* , J. Math. Phys. **43**, 373 (2002) (hep-th/0011014).

[59] W.M.Fawley, J.Arons and E.T.Scherlemann, *Potential Drops above Pulsar Polar Caps: Acceleration on Nonneutral Beams from the Stellar Surface*, Astrop.J. **217**, 227 (1977).

[60] F.C.Michel, *Theory of Pulsar Magnetosphere*, Rev.Mod.Phys. **54**, 1 (1982); *The State of Pulsar Theory*, Adv.Space Research **31**, 542 (2004).

S.P.Goodwin, J.Mestel, L.Mestel and G.A.E.Wright, *An Idealized Pulsar Magnetosphere: the Relativistic Force-Free Approximation*, Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc. **349**, 213 (2004).

A.G.Muslimov and A.K.Harding, *Effects of Rotation and Relativistic Charge Flow on Pulsar Magnetospheric Structure*, Astron.J. **630**, 454 (2005).

Z.Osmanov, O.Dolakishvili and G.Machabeli, *On the Reconstruction of a Magnetosphere nearby the Light Cylinder Surface*, Mon.Not. R.Astron. Soc. **383**, 1007 (2008).

[61] S.Kichenassamy and R.A.Krikorian, *The Relativistic Rotation Transformation and the Corotating Source Model*, Astrop.J. **371**, 277 (1991); *The Relativistic Rotation Transformation and Pulsar Electrodynamics*, Astrop.J. **431**, 715 (1994).

O.V.Chedia, T.A.Kahniashvili, G.Z.Machabeli and I.S.Nanobashvili, *On the Kinematics of a Corotating Relativistic Plasma Stream in the Perpendicular Rotator Model of a Pulsar Magnetosphere*, Astrophys.Space Science **239**, 57 (1996).

[62] F.Rohrlich, *The Principle of Equivalence*, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **22**, 169 (1963).

[63] S.Shanmugadhasan, *Canonical Formalism for Degenerate Lagrangians*, J.Math.Phys. **14**, 677 (1973).

[64] L.Lusanna, *The Shanmugadhasan Canonical Transformation, Function Groups and the Extended Second Noether Theorem*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A8**, 4193 (1993).

[65] L.Lusanna, *Towards a Unified Description of the Four Interactions in Terms of Dirac-Bergmann Observables*, invited contribution to the book *Quantum Field Theory: a 20th Century Profile*, of the Indian National Science Academy, ed.A.N.Mitra, forewards by F.J.Dyson (Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2000) (hep-th/9907081).

[66] S.Deser, *Covariant Decomposition of Symmetric Tensors and the Gravitational Cauchy Problem*, Ann.Inst.H.Poincare' **VII**, 146 (1967).

R.Arnowitt, S.Deser and C.W.Misner, *Gravitational Electromagnetic Coupling and the Classical Self-Energy Problem*, Phys.Rev. **120**, 313 (1960).

[67] G.F.D. Duff, *Partial Differential Equations* (Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1956)),